Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2024-2025



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Baldwin Vista Green Streets Project
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, LA Sanitation and Environment (LASAN)
Total Funding Requested	\$9,076,647
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to Score 20	 Concern that geotechnical report did not include investigation near all proposed BMP locations Applicant provided nearby geotech information, confirming there was not high groundwater around proposed dry well locations. Borings were located 1,000 ft away.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	-Unable to Score 30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	•
TOTALS	69	110	Unable to Score 68	Meets minimum threshold score





Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Reservoir Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of Signal Hill
Total Funding Requested	\$6,676,878
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to Score 20	 Provided geotechnical data is limited for a project seeking construction funds Request for additional geotechnical data Request for infiltration test data Provided nearby geotechnical information, 0.3 to 0.5 miles away from the project location, showing a feasible infiltration rate Assumption of very conservative reduction factor for infiltration, having a site specific geotech rate will potentially lower costs due to elimination of treat and release elements.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to Score 30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	•
TOTALS	69	110	Unable to Score 67	Meets minimum points threshold

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2024-2025



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	South El Monte High School Stormwater Improvement Project
Project Lead	El Monte Union High School District
Total Funding Requested	\$8,753,600
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20	Unable to Score 11	 Concern over no provided geotechnical report with infiltration tests and results. High ground water concern Provided clarifying language about infiltration infeasibility and applicant will use a combination of underdrain and liner as a treat and release BMP.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to Score 30	 Project relies on filtration and not infiltration. 85th percentile storm volume needs to be confirmed (11.2 AF) Applicant fixed the 85th percentile capacity figure
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10	10	 Community Investment points may change due to additional WQ data Concern over whether the project will be able to address localized flooding
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	•
TOTALS	65	110	Unable to Score 65	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	South Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Dominguez Channel Parkway BMPs Prioritization Project
Project Lead	City of Torrance
Total Funding Requested	\$5,007,374
Project Type	Dry
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	10	13	Unable to Score 0	Request for additional information to verify Water Supply claim
Water Supply Part 2	9	12	Unable to Score 0	 Did not provide documentation from LA County Sanitation Districts that there is capacity to divert flows.
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	14	15	14	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds				Provided a letter of support that
Part 2	0	4	1	 was previously not included SC is concerned with the lack of community engagement
TOTALS	78	110	Unable to Score 60	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Green Street Demonstration Project on Main Street
Project Lead	City of Alhambra
Total Funding Requested	\$2,027,000
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	YES

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to Score 20	 Concern over infiltration rate being too low (0.25 in/hr) to feasibly infiltrate. Request for additional geotech data to justify dry wells Applicant provided geotech information from sites located .75 to 1.5 miles away from the proposed project site
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to Score 30	•
Water Supply Part 1	6	13	Unable to Score 6	 No letter from Watermaster provided. Letter provided from Watermaster
Water Supply Part 2	4	12	Unable to Score 4	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	One way engagement
TOTALS	85	110	Unable to Score 84	Meets minimum point threshold





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Osborne Street Stormwater Capture Green Street Project
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles Bureau of Street Services (StreetsLA)
Total Funding Requested	\$9,500,000
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	YES

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to Score 7	 Recommendation to adjust project infiltration rate to 0.59 cfs and capacity based on design storm. Adjust submitted map to show location of the project in relation to the existing dry wells Provided clarifying information identifying the location of the geotechnical data in relation to the project site.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to Score 30	•
Water Supply Part 1	6	13	Unable to Score 6	Provided a Letter from the Watermaster
Water Supply Part 2	6	12	Unable to Score 6	•
Community Investment	10	10	10	•
Nature-Based Solutions	11	15	11	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	 Robust community outreach and engagement
TOTALS	90	110	Unable to Score 77	Meets minimum points threshold