



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Baldwin Vista Green Streets Project
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, LA Sanitation and Environment (LASAN)
Total Funding Requested	\$9,076,647
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to Score 20	 Concern that geotechnical report did not include investigation near all proposed BMP locations Applicant provided nearby geotech information, confirming there was not high groundwater around proposed dry well locations. Borings were located 1,000 ft away.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	-Unable to Score 30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	•
TOTALS	69	110	Unable to Score 68	Meets minimum threshold score





Watershed Area	Lower Los Angeles River
Project Name	Lynwood City Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of Lynwood
Total Funding Requested	\$22,200,000
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	25	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	 Primarily a treat and release project
Water Supply Part 2	9	12	0	Project not anticipated to recharge an aquifer
Community Investment	10	10	5	No points for greening of schools for vegetation implemented adjacent to a school
Nature-Based Solutions	12	15	12	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	 3 letters of support 1 tabling event
TOTALS	80	110	64	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	El Dorado Park Regional Stormwater Capture Project, Construction
Project Lead	City of Long Beach
Total Funding Requested	\$37,386,870
Project Type	Dry
WS Scoring Pilot	YES

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score		Notes
Water Quality	20	20	20		
Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•	
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•	
Water Supply Part 1	6	13	6	•	
Water Supply Part 2	8	12	8	•	
Community Investment	5	10	5	•	
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•	
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•	
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	1	•	Limited outreach conducted.
TOTALS	73	110	70	•	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Heartwell Park at Clark Channel Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of Long Beach
Total Funding Requested	\$2,864,472
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	YES

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score		Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	projec	mmendation to classify the ct as a dry weather project complex watershed system
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•	
Water Supply Part 1	2	13	2	•	
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	5	•	
Community Investment	10	10	5	weath	ood protection benefits for dry ler projects. earby DAC.
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•	
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•	
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	 Older 	letters of support provided
TOTALS	71	110	65	 Meets 	s minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Independence Park Runoff Capture Facility
Project Lead	City of Downey
Total Funding Requested	\$1,310,458
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score		Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•	Design only project
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•	Effective drawdown is high due to the Project being a treat and release.
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•	
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•	
Community Investment	5	10	5	•	Project is not within a DAC but may benefit surrounding DACs.
Nature-Based Solutions	13	15	13	•	Significant reduction in hardscape
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•	
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	•	
TOTALS	72	110	71	•	Meets minimum points threshold.





Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Reservoir Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of Signal Hill
Total Funding Requested	\$6,676,878
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to Score 20	 Provided geotechnical data is limited for a project seeking construction funds Request for additional geotechnical data Request for infiltration test data Provided nearby geotechnical information, 0.3 to 0.5 miles away from the project location, showing a feasible infiltration rate Assumption of very conservative reduction factor for infiltration, having a site specific geotech rate will potentially lower costs due to elimination of treat and release elements.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to Score 30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	•
TOTALS	69	110	Unable to Score 67	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Sorensen Park Multi-Benefit Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles County Public Works
Total Funding Requested	\$1,616,592
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	YES

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score		Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	14	20	14	•	Large variability in provided geotechnical data but used conservative infiltration rate.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•	
Water Supply Part 1	6	13	0	•	No letter provided by Watermaster Flow infiltrated will not reach aquifer
Water Supply Part 2	8	12	0	•	
Community Investment	5	10	5	•	
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•	
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	•	
Leveraging Funds Part 2	0	4	1	•	Significant community engagement
TOTALS	76	110	63	•	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	North Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Agoura Hills Stormwater Diversion Project
Project Lead	City of Agoura Hills (Jessica Forte and Kelly Fisher)
Total Funding Requested	\$2,972,449
Project Type	Dry
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	Low flow diversion
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	• > 200 acres
Water Supply Part 1	13	13	13	 Flows will go to Tapia Water Reclamation Plant until Las Virgenes Municipal Water District's PURE Water system is up and running
Water Supply Part 2	12	12	12	•
Community Investment	2	10	2	•
Nature-Based Solutions	0	15	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	\$1.2M secured from DWR
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	•
TOTALS	74	110	72	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	South El Monte High School Stormwater Improvement Project
Project Lead	El Monte Union High School District
Total Funding Requested	\$8,753,600
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20	Unable to Score 11	 Concern over no provided geotechnical report with infiltration tests and results. High ground water concern Provided clarifying language about infiltration infeasibility and applicant will use a combination of underdrain and liner as a treat and release BMP.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to Score 30	 Project relies on filtration and not infiltration. 85th percentile storm volume needs to be confirmed (11.2 AF) Applicant fixed the 85th percentile capacity figure
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10	10	 Community Investment points may change due to additional WQ data Concern over whether the project will be able to address localized flooding
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	•
TOTALS	65	110	Unable to Score 65	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Washington Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of Pasadena
Total Funding Requested	\$12,649,271
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	Recommendation to classify Project as a dry weather project since only treating 0.21 in/hr
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	0	No new water is being captured
Community Investment	10	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	14	15	14	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	•
TOTALS	83	110	62	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	South Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Dominguez Channel Parkway BMPs Prioritization Project
Project Lead	City of Torrance
Total Funding Requested	\$5,007,374
Project Type	Dry
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality	20	20	20	
Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	10	13	Unable to Score 0	Request for additional information to verify Water Supply claim
Water Supply Part 2	9	12	Unable to Score 0	 Did not provide documentation from LA County Sanitation Districts that there is capacity to divert flows.
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	14	15	14	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds				Provided a letter of support that
Part 2	0	4	1	 was previously not included SC is concerned with the lack of community engagement
TOTALS	78	110	Unable to Score 60	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	South Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Torrance Airport Stormwater Basin Project
Project Lead	City of Torrance
Total Funding Requested	\$19,190,402
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	YES

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20	11	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	85 th percentile storm project
Water Supply Part 1	7	13	7	 Provided clear and detailed information on Water Supply
Water Supply Part 2	7	12	7	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds				Outreach was mostly to airport
Part 2	4	4	2	organizations and not the local community.
TOTALS	74	110	72	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Arroyo Park Infiltration Gallery
Project Lead	City of South Pasadena
Total Funding Requested	\$7,160,127
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	YES

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20	11	Used conservative infiltration rate of 5.8 in/hr
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	 >90% pollutant reduction for both primary and secondary pollutants
Water Supply Part 1	6	13	6	Water Master letter shall be updated
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	5	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	3 stakeholder meetings. Limited community feedback.
TOTALS	74	110	72	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Bowtie Demonstration Project
Project Lead	The Nature Conservancy
Total Funding Requested	\$1,833,790
Project Type	Dry
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	 Diverting 100% of dry weather flows
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	 Only seeking O&M funding since construction is fully funded; construction will begin in early 2024.
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	Significant community engagement
TOTALS	62	110	62	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Green Street Demonstration Project on Main Street
Project Lead	City of Alhambra
Total Funding Requested	\$2,027,000
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	YES

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to Score 20	 Concern over infiltration rate being too low (0.25 in/hr) to feasibly infiltrate. Request for additional geotech data to justify dry wells Applicant provided geotech information from sites located .75 to 1.5 miles away from the proposed project site
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to Score 30	•
Water Supply Part 1	6	13	Unable to Score 6	 No letter from Watermaster provided. Letter provided from Watermaster
Water Supply Part 2	4	12	Unable to Score 4	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	One way engagement
TOTALS	85	110	Unable to Score 84	Meets minimum point threshold





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	La Crescenta Avenue Green Improvement Project
Project Lead	County of Los Angeles
Total Funding Requested	\$2,000,000
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	14	20	14	 High infiltration rates (>100 in/hr)
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	25	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	2	12	0	 Letter from Watermaster indicated a request for additional information.
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	14	15	14	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	•
TOTALS	70	110	66	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	LA River Green Infrastructure Project
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, LA Sanitation and Environment (LASAN)
Total Funding Requested	\$17,053,812
Project Type	Dry
WS Scoring Pilot	NO

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score		Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•	
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•	
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•	
Water Supply Part 2	9	12	9	•	Letter from Watermaster with specific details provided
Community Investment	5	10	5	•	
Nature-Based Solutions	12	15	12	•	
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•	
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	•	Strong community outreach and engagement
TOTALS	70	110	70	•	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Osborne Street Stormwater Capture Green Street Project
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles Bureau of Street Services (StreetsLA)
Total Funding Requested	\$9,500,000
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	YES

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to Score 7	 Recommendation to adjust project infiltration rate to 0.59 cfs and capacity based on design storm. Adjust submitted map to show location of the project in relation to the existing dry wells Provided clarifying information identifying the location of the geotechnical data in relation to the project site.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to Score 30	•
Water Supply Part 1	6	13	Unable to Score 6	Provided a Letter from the Watermaster
Water Supply Part 2	6	12	Unable to Score 6	•
Community Investment	10	10	10	•
Nature-Based Solutions	11	15	11	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	Robust community outreach and engagement
TOTALS	90	110	Unable to Score 77	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Sun Valley Green Neighborhood Infrastructure Project
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works LA Sanitation and Environment (LASAN)
Total Funding Requested	\$13,771,475
Project Type	Wet
WS Scoring Pilot	YES

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	14	20	14	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Water Supply Part 1	9	13	9	•
Water Supply Part 2	9	12	9	Letter provided from Water Master
Community Investment	10	10	5	No access to waterwayGreening should be at the school
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	•
TOTALS	86	110	80	Meets minimum points threshold





Watershed Area	Upper San Gabriel River			
Project Name	Finkbiner Park Stormwater Capture Project, Construction Phase			
Project Lead	City of Glendora			
Total Funding Requested	\$18,376,246			
Project Type	Wet			
WS Scoring Pilot	NO			

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10	5	 Unclear on the number of trees included in project Replacing natural turf with artificial turf
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	Partnership with NGO to conduct outreach
TOTALS	74	110	69	Meets minimum points threshold