## Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity

Scientific Studies Program by: California State Polytechnic University, Pomona

Presentation by: Dr. Ali Sharbat (PhD, PE), Dr. Mehrad Kamalzare (PhD, PE)





### **Study Overview**

### Summary of Study:

- Track the infiltration capacity of recently installed drywells over a period of five years
- **Two drywell sites** will be carefully selected to represent a range of factors, including:
  - Drywell design & construction
  - Pre-treatment methods
  - Operations / maintenance practices
  - Drywell's basin size & annual runoff volume
  - Land use & traffic volumes
  - Soil types


### <u>Why?</u>

- Tremendous uncertainties in drywell performance & appropriate maintenance procedures
- Drywell systems may be improperly managed and maintained resulting in degraded capacity over time

### <u>Outcome</u>

- Identify **best practices** for different drywells with various site conditions & disseminate the findings
- Benefits to local disadvantaged communities (DACs) (workforce development and local stormwater infrastructure improvements)
- Development of trained work-force by the University
- Support regional sustainability goals by promoting stormwater capture and local water supply recharge





### Watershed to be Studied:

• Lower San Gabriel River Watershed

### Study Location:

 Locations will be further chosen from both existing and proposed drywell locations

### • Benefits for the entire LA County:

- The implementation of updated best practice will benefit tax-payers via increased drywell cost-effectiveness
- Improved & more resilient stormwater treatment, reduced pollutant runoff, and enhanced water quality

## Similar Studies

- This study would be the first of its kind by identifying best practices for maintaining drywell capacity. It appears that there is no study to quantify the effectiveness of LID/GSI maintenance protocols in extending the longevity of drywells
- Sedimentation compartments and vegetative pretreatment systems were demonstrated to reduce the clogging rate of infiltration systems. (Edwards et. al., 2016)
- Infiltration infrastructure are prone to clogging even if there are no suspended sediments introduced to the infrastructure, as evidenced by multiple studies examining physical, chemical, and biological clogging mechanisms in soil columns (Baveye et. al., 1998). These mechanisms are commonly observed in practice, and various physical and chemical causes of clogging have been documented.
- The geometry of the pore space is closely related to the chemical properties of solid particles in soils. Factors such as electrolyte concentration, organic compound, acidity, redox potential, mineralogical composition of the soil, surface characteristics, and chemical reactions all influence the shape and stability of the pores, and the value of hydraulic conductivity.
- Various carbon/energy sources, such as plant residues, and hydrocarbones have been found to both accelerate and enhance soil clogging (Frankenberger et al., 1979). Moreover, addition of nitrogen affects clogging (Frankenberger et al., 1979).



### **Study Goals**

Study Details

- Determine which commonly used drywell design / construction methods provide the best balance between *cost* and *long-term performance*;
- Determine which common **pre-treatment** and **maintenance** practices provide the best balance between *cost and long-term performance*;
- Determine how soil characteristics can impact long-term drywell performance and provide recommendations for design and maintenance to address fine-grained soils.
- Develop guidelines for maintenance practices and frequency, for different levels of land-use and traffic loading;
- Train next generation of **workforce** for the local industry.



 Stormwater Infiltration is a cost-effective, resilient approach for managing wet weather impacts, that provides many community benefits.

## Study Details

### **Watershed Benefits**

- More accurate and customized post-construction planning for O&M
- More accurate budgeting for drywell maintenance
- More groundwater recharge for less money
- More sustainable and resilient drywells in the watershed
- Better efficiency and long-term performance of drywell systems
   Better water quality and improved local water supply (aligned with SCW Goals)

This study's recommendations will <u>optimize the return on investment</u> from stormwater infrastructure, and contribute to the longevity of drywell systems.



## Scope of Work

- Task 1: Study-site selection
- Task 2: Operations documentation
- Task 3: Planning the field program
- Task 4: Infiltration testing
- Task 5: Field visits for O&M activities
- Task 6: Outreach and engagement
- Task 7: Reporting and publication









## Scope of Work and Schedule

Phase	Description	Completion Date		
Task 1	Study-Site Selection	06/30/2025		
Task 2	<b>Operations Documentation</b>	12/31/2025		
Task 3	Planning Field Program	09/30/2025 + (Q1 every following year)		
Task 4	Infiltration Testing	06/31/2029		
Task 5	Field Visits for O&M Activities	06/31/2029		
Task 6	Outreach & Engagement	06/31/2029		
Task 7	Reporting & Publications	06/31/2029		

		2024	1-25			202	5-26			202	6-27			202	7-28			202	8-29	
Tasks	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
1: Study-Site Selection																				
2: Operations Documentation																				
3: Planning Field Program																				
4: Infiltration Testing																				
5: Field Visits: O&M Activities																				
6: Outreach & Engagement																				
7: Reporting & Publications																				

## Funding Request

WASC	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	TOTAL
CSMB	\$79,989	\$81,181	\$82,176	\$80,937	\$84,588	\$408,871
LLAR	\$79,989	\$81,181	\$82,176	\$80,937	\$84,588	\$408,871
LSGR	\$79,989	\$81,181	\$82,176	\$80,937	\$84,588	\$408,871
NSMB	\$79,989	\$81,181	\$82,176	\$80,937	\$84,588	\$408,871
RH	\$79,989	\$81,181	\$82,176	\$80,937	\$84,588	\$408,871
SCR	\$79,989	\$81,181	\$82,176	\$80,937	\$84,588	\$408,871
SSMB	\$79,989	\$81,181	\$82,176	\$80,937	\$84,588	\$408,871
ULAR	\$208,972	\$211,953	\$214,440	\$211,343	\$220,470	\$1,067,178
USGR	\$199,972	\$202,953	\$205,440	\$202,343	\$211,470	\$1,022,178
TOTAL	\$968,867	<i>\$983,173</i>	\$995,112	\$980,245	\$1,024,056	\$4,951,453



### Cal Poly Pomona

- Ali Sharbat, PhD, PE Water Resources Engineering
- Mehrad Kamalzare, PhD, PE Geotechnical Engineering
- Alan Fuchs, PhD Filtration Engineering
- Seema Shah-Fairbank, PhD, PE Water Resources Engineering
- Yasser Salem, PhD, PE Professional Civil Engineer

Cal Poly Pomona Students (Future workforce for local stormwater projects)

### University of California Santa Barbara

• Hugo Loaiciga, PhD, PE - Hydrologist

### Private Consultants

• Scott Kindred, P.E. (Kindred Hydro, Inc., State of Washington) - Hydrogeologist and Drywell expert

### Local Drywell Experts

- Geologists, engineers, and drywell Contractors
- Local drywell and stormwater infrastructure experts



### **Benefits to Technical Community:**

- Better Stormwater Infiltration Project Planning
- Identifying Best Practices Accepted by Stakeholders for Drywell Maintenance with Various Site Conditions
- Accurate and Cost-Effective Drywell Systems

### **Benefits to LA County Taxpayers:**

- Municipalities will get the <u>best value</u> for their investment in stormwater infiltration.
- Helping the community meet stormwater management and water-supply objectives faster and cheaper.
- Developing technical skills of <u>underserved minority students</u> at Cal Poly Pomona.
- Serving local <u>Disadvantaged Communities</u> by improving the existing stormwater infrastructure.



### **Broader Impacts of the Scientific Study for Regional Workforce Development:**

- Developing technical skills of <u>underserved minority students</u> at Cal Poly Pomona
- Offering Senior Project (EGR 4810/4820/4830) focused on stormwater engineering
- Developing a new technical elective course focused on Low Impact Development and Green Infrastructure
- Developing of a <u>certificate program</u> focused on stormwater engineering through CPP Extended University
- Hosting minority students sponsored by NSF and Department of Education in our scientific study project
- More than 90 students directly involved

# Questions

Central L.A. County







1. Al Mamun, A., Shahriar, S., & Nuruzzaman, M. (2020). Review on uncertainty of the firstflush phenomenon in diffuse pollution control. Applied Water Science, 10(1), 53. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-019-1127-1

2. ASCE. (2003). Long-term Groundwater Monitoring: State of the Art. Prepared by the Task Committee on the State of the Art in Long-term Groundwater Monitoring Design. Reston, VA: ASCE.

3. Australian Rainfall and Runoff. (2021). A Guide to Flood Estimation, Commonwealth of Australia. https://arr.ga.gov.au/

4. Baveye, P., Vandevivere, P., Hoyle, B. L., DeLeo, P. C., & Sanchez de Lozada, D. (1998). Environmental Impact and Mechanisms of the Biological Clogging of Saturated Soils and Aquifer Materials. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology, 28(2), 123-191. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389891254197

5. California Department of Water Resources. (2010). Stormwater Management Guidelines: Developing and Implementing Stormwater Quality Plans. Retrieved from https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/All-Programs/Stormwater-Resources/Publications/StormwaterManagementGuidelines.pdf

6. City of Los Angeles. (2018). Toward A Greener Los Angeles, The Wilmington Urban Greening Plan. LA Sanitation, Los Angeles, California. http://www.lastormwater.org

7. Stormwater Management Practices and Procedures Manual (2017), Anne Arundel County, Maryland.

8. Edwards, E. C., Harter, T., Fogg, G. E., Washburn, B., & Hamad, H. (2016). Assessing the effectiveness of drywells as tools for stormwater management and aquifer recharge and their groundwater contamination potential. Journal of Hydrology, 539, 539-553.

9. Environmental Protection Agency. (1999). The Class V Underground Injection Control Study. Vol. 3: Storm Water Drainage Wells. Appendix E. Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water.

10. Faibishenko, B. A. (1985). Effect of entrapped air on water permeability of soils. Kiev State University. Translated from Vodnye Resursy, 4, 48–60. Water Resources, 304–315.

11. Felhendler, R., Shainberg, I., & Frenkel, H. (1974). Dispersion and hydraulic conductivity of soils in mixed solutions. Trans. Int. Cong. Soil Sci., 10th (1), 103–112.

12. Frenkel, H., Goertzen, J. O., & Rhoades, J. D. (1978). Effect of clay type and content, exchangeable sodium percentage, and electrolyte concentration on clay dispersion and soil hydraulic conductivity. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 42, 32–39.

13. Geesey, G. G., Mittelman, M. W., & Lieu, V. T. (1987). Evaluation of slime-producing bacteria in oil field core flood experiments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 53(2), 278–283.

14. Geosyntec. (2020). California Dry Well Guidance Research and Recommendations.

15. Gupta, R. P., & Swartzendruber, D. (1962). Flow-associated reduction in the hydraulic conductivity of quartz sand. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc., 26, 6–10.

16. Houle, J. J., Roseen, R. M., Ballestero, T. P., Puls, T. A., & Sherrard Jr, J. (2013). Comparison of maintenance cost, labor demands, and system performance for LID and conventional stormwater management. Journal of environmental engineering, 139(7), 932-938.

17. Kindred, J. S., & Reynolds, W. D. (2020). Using the borehole permeameter to estimate saturated hydraulic conductivity for glacially over-consolidated soils. Hydrogeology Journal, 29(5), 1909-1924.

18. Los Angeles County Department of Public Works. (2020). Stormwater Management System Overview. Retrieved from https://dpw.lacounty.gov/epd/stormwater/

19. Los Angeles County Department of Public Works. (2021). Measure W: Safe Clean Water Program. Retrieved from https://safecleanwaterla.org/

20. Maloney, E. D., Camargo, S. J., Chang, E., Colle, B., Fu, R., Geil, K. L., ... & Zhao, M. (2014). North American climate in CMIP5 experiments: Part III: Assessment of twenty-first-century projections. Journal of Climate, 27(6), 2230-2270.

21. Minnesota Department of Transportation, 2009. Issues of Concern Related to Underground Infiltration Systems for Stormwater Management and Treatment, pp. 1–13. <a href="http://www.lrb.org/media/reports/TRS0903.pdf">http://www.lrb.org/media/reports/TRS0903.pdf</a>>.

22. McGauhey, P. H., & Krone, R. B. (1967). Soil Mantle as a Wastewater Treatment System (Final Report, Report 67–11). Sanitary Engineering Research Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA.

23. McGauhey, P. H., & Winneberger, J. H. (1964). Causes and Prevention of Failure of Septic-Tank Percolation Systems. Sanitary Engineering Research Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA.

24. Mouhoumed, R., Ekmekcioglu, O., & Ozger, M. (2023). A hybrid MCDA approach for delineating sites suitable for artificial groundwater recharge using drywells. Journal of Hydrology, 620(Part A), 129387.

25. National Research Council. (2009). Urban Stormwater Management in the United States. National Academies Press.

26. Pupisky, H., & Shainberg, I. (1979). Salt effects on the hydraulic conductivity of a sandy soil. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 43, 429–433.

27. Rengasamy, P., McLeod, A. J., & Ragusa, S. R. (1996). Effects of dispersible soil clay and algae on seepage prevention from small dams. Agricultural Water Management, 29, 117–127.

28. Sasidharan, S., Bradford, S., Simunek, J., & Kraemer, S. (2021). Comparison of recharge from drywells and infiltration basins: A modeling study. Journal of Hydrology, 594, 125720.

29. Shainberg, I., & Levy, G. (1992). Physico-chemical effects of salts upon infiltration and water movement in soils. In R. J. Wagenet, P. Baveye, & B. A. Stewart (Eds.), Interacting processes in soil science (pp. 37–93). Lewis Publishers.

30. Shainberg, I. (1984). The effect of electrolyte concentration on the hydraulic properties of sodic soils. In I. Shainberg & J. Shalhevet (Eds.), Soil salinity under irrigation: Processes and management (pp. 49–99). Springer-Verlag.

31. Shaw, J. C., Bramhill, B., Wardlaw, N. C., & Costerton, J. W. (1985). Bacterial fouling in a model core system. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 49, 693–701.



#### Task 1: Study-Site Selection:

In this task, the core team will work closely with local agencies, cities, and other stakeholders in each watershed basin to finalize the list of drywells for the study. Two to five drywells will be identified for the study in each watershed. A scoring matrix will be developed to assess each site based on the following 9 criteria: 1. Age of Drywell, 2. Drywell Design and Construction, 3. Pre-Treatment Methods, 4. Land-use and Traffic Loading Scenarios, 5. Soil Types, 6. Proximity to a Nearby Fire Hydrant, 7. Minimal Disturbance to Residents and Businesses, 8. Minimal Traffic Control Requirements, and 9. Minimal Access and Permitting Challenges.

#### Task 2: Drywell Operations Documentation

This task will involve conducting interviews with municipalities that have significant experience in installing, operating, and maintaining drywell systems. These municipalities, located within the western United States, have relied on drywells for many decades, resulting in a wealth of anecdotal knowledge regarding the long-term capacity of these systems.

By engaging in interviews with representatives from these municipalities, we aim to gather valuable firsthand information and document their experiences.

#### • Task 3: Planning the Field Program

This task will involve conducting a detailed assessment of the selected drywell locations in collaboration with the relevant agencies responsible for overseeing these sites. By working closely with these agencies, we will gather information about the specific characteristics and conditions of each drywell site.

The survey process will involve evaluating the accessibility of each drywell and assessing the availability of nearby fire hydrants.

We will thoroughly examine the requirements for permits, right of entry, and any other necessary documentation to ensure compliance with legal and regulatory protocols. In cases where access to the drywell sites is challenging, we will develop appropriate strategies to obtain the required permissions.



#### Task 4: Infiltration Testing and Flow Rate Monitoring

Initial Infiltration testing will be conducted in each of the drywells as soon as the appropriate and suitable drywells were identified in each watershed ideally starting Q4 of year 1 of the project.

A constant head infiltration test will be conducted in each drywell by adjusting the flow rate to maintain a constant ponding depth in the drywell for a period of 4-6 hours. A pressure transducer will be installed in the bottom of the drywell to monitor the ponding depth during the test. Water will be supplied by the nearest fire hydrant and the flow rate will be measured using a flow meter.

A pressure transducer will be installed in the drywell following the infiltration test to monitor water levels and document runoff events during the duration of the study.

The water level data will be downloaded twice a year.

Based on results of the infiltration testing and methods provided by Kindred and Reynolds (2020), the water levels can be used to estimate flow rates into the drywells and determine how much runoff is infiltrated through the drywell. This is a much more cost-effective way to estimate runoff compared with retrofitting the drywells to include a flow meter.

Infiltration testing will be conducted every year in all the drywells to determine the change in capacity over time and evaluate the effects of different runoff volumes and any changes in operation and maintenance procedures.

#### • Task 5: Filed visits for Operation and Maintenance Activities

The primary objective of this task is to compile a comprehensive record of the maintenance activities undertaken, which will contribute to understanding the relationship between maintenance practices and the long-term performance of drywells. This task involves comprehensive tracking and documentation of maintenance activities conducted at each drywell throughout the duration of the study.

It is anticipated that the municipality responsible for the drywell will carry out regular maintenance activities to ensure optimal functionality. To facilitate this process, the project team will maintain close communication with the maintenance staff, actively monitoring and recording both past and planned maintenance activities.

The project team will be physically present at the drywell sites to observe and document a selected number of maintenance events. By being on-site, they will have the opportunity to gather valuable firsthand information about the maintenance procedures employed. Whenever feasible, the team will document the quantity and nature of materials removed during each maintenance event, distinguishing between trash and sediment.



#### Task 6: Outreach and Engagement

The purpose of this task is to ensure that potential users of these drywell infiltration testing and design methods are engaged during the study and the methods meets their needs when the work is complete. Outreach and engagement will include:

- Forming an Advisory Committee
- Integration into Engineering Courses
- Workshops with interested stakeholders to present results and solicit feedback.
- Presentations at conferences and technical meetings.
- Regular emails to present results and solicit feedback.

Outreach will be targeted at stakeholders such as regulators, municipal stormwater managers, and civil/geotechnical/hydrogeologic professionals that regularly conduct infiltration testing and design.

#### • Task 7: Documentation and Reporting

Interim quarterly reports will be submitted during the course of the project. All the interim reports and field procedures developed in the previous tasks will be compiled and summarized in a single technical report. This technical report will summarize the results of the study and provide an assessment of the best practices for stormwater drywell systems. In addition, the results of this study will be summarized and presented to the sponsoring Watershed Area Steering Committees. This will provide the region with methodology for optimal site selection, pre-treatment, drywell design and maintenance plan. The student research assistants participating in this scientific project will undergo comprehensive training, equipping them with the necessary skills and knowledge to contribute to the local stormwater engineering industry. To ensure a smooth transition and knowledge transfer, a peer-mentoring system will be established, connecting graduates from the project with the subsequent cohort of students involved in the ongoing study.

It is expected that one or more peer-reviewed papers will be produced and submitted to a technical journal for publication. This process will ensure that the study results are subject to technical review.

## The Application of Innovative Technology for Microbiological Testing in the Los Cerritos Channel Watershed

Scientific Studies Program

Fiscal Year 2023-2024

Watershed Area: Lower San Gabriel River

Study Lead: Not yet decided

**Presenter:** Richard Watson (Richard Watson & Associates)

**Study Developers:** Ken Kronschnabl (Kinnetic Environmental) and Dan Angelescu (Fluidion)


## **Study Overview**

Automated mobile analyzers in the Los Cerritos Channel (LCC) Watershed to provide timely bacterial data for improved monitoring, faster response times, and water capture infrastructure optimization

- This study is designed to make use of state-of-the-art monitoring equipment and methodologies to improve the monitoring of fecal indicators of both stormwater and dry-weather urban runoff in the LCC Watershed.
- This work will provide a better understanding of bacterial pollution dynamics, inform monitoring stormwater control strategies, and ultimately improve water quality in the LCC and other watersheds in the region.



## Study Location: Los Cerritos Channel





### **Study Lead**

### **Not Yet Decided**

Study Collaborators

### Los Cerritos Channel Watershed Management Group



### **Richard Watson & Associates**

Study Developers



**Kinnetic Environmental Inc.** 



### Fluidion US, Inc.





#### Richard Watson, President

- Longtime principal consultant for the LCC Watershed Group, leading the development of its comprehensive Watershed Management Program (WMP)
- Lead Watershed Coordinator for the Rio Hondo Watershed Area for the Safe Clean Water Program
- 30 years of stormwater management expertise, including implementation strategies and control measures for achieving water quality outcomes, as well as expert testimony on numerous stormwater policy issues

#### Ken Kronschnabl, CEO / Principal / Lead Scientist

- Expertise in municipal and industrial stormwater programs, empirical BMP studies, environmental sampling, data analysis
- Led numerous watershed studies, including 15 years of compliance monitoring, special studies for the City of Long Beach
- Currently leading three major Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Programs (CIMPs) for the Lower Los Angeles River, Lower San Gabriel River, and Los Cerritos Channel; and Integrated Monitoring Program for the City of Long Beach

#### Danielle Gonsman, Regional Manager, Los Alamitos Office

- Project Manager, QA/QC Officer, and Senior Chemist for various projects throughout the
- 13+ years in analytical laboratories, previously as Project Manager and Lab Manager prior to joining KEI



#### Dan Angelescu, Founder and CEO

- Founded Fluidion in 2012 focusing on breakthrough water quality and environmental sensor technologies
- Previously Professor of nano- and microtechnology, ESIEE Paris Université Paris-Est, and Senior Research Scientist at Schlumberger Technologies pioneering the company's first microfluidic platform for extreme environments
- Holder of 30+ patents, 50+ journal publications, and 3 book publications

#### David Wanless, Microbiologist

- Conducting research in new testing protocols and standards for measuring human bacterial markers in water samples
- 20 years of experience, including as Lab Manager at SCCWRP





**Problem Statement:** The LCC Watershed has installed numerous water capture projects in efforts to improve water quality, but meeting current FIB standards remains elusive due in part to the limitations of existing sampling and analysis methods

**Study Objective:** To improve upon existing bacterial monitoring methods and better protect human health and the environment, through the use of state-of-the-art rapid automated portable microbiology analyzers

### **Goals and Outcomes of the Study**

- Pinpoint contamination sources
- Gain insights into bacterial pollution dynamics
- Develop local capability within Los Angeles County for quantifying specific human markers
- Understand the role of particle-attached vs free bacteria, and corresponding risk implications
- Ultimately provide insights to optimize design, sizing, and cost of future stormwater capture projects


### **Study Methodology**

- Utilize Fluidion ALERT portable and in-situ microbiology instruments, validated or being utilized numerous agencies (USGS, WHO, etc.)
- Monitor wet weather flow using in-situ instruments to develop high-resolution pollutographs
- Analyze dry weather samples to forensically identify non-compliant outfalls
- Demonstrate an early-warning in-situ system for bacterial monitoring for recreational waters



## Study Details

#### ALERT V2 – Automated In-situ E.coli Monitoring



Paris, France

Delaware River

©USGS, 2022

Basin, NJ

Echo Park Lake, Los Angeles

#### ALERT Lab – Portable microbiology toolbox









## Cost & Schedule

Phase	Description	Cost	<b>Completion Date</b>
1	Development of monitoring plan	\$34,115	2/15/2025
2	Wet weather monitoring	\$345,722	2/15/2028
3	Water capture optimization analysis	\$21,735	4/30/2028
4	Dry weather monitoring	\$343,294	9/30/2027
5	Early warning system for recreational waters	\$95,469	10/15/2027
6	Understanding the role of particle-bound bacteria in dry and wet weather flows	Included in Tasks 2 and 4 above	4/30/2028
7	Development of local capability for measuring human markers in environmental samples	\$259,350	6/30/2026
8&9	Data visualization, archival, and analysis, and delivery of Final Report	\$84,145	4/30/2028
	Sample control, training, meetings	\$34,984	4/30/2028
TOTAL		\$1,218,814	



WASC	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	TOTAL STUDY
LSGR	\$488,595	\$366,889	\$363,330	
TOTAL	\$488,595	\$366,889	\$363,330	\$1,218,814
	<ul> <li>Study kickoff</li> <li>Wet and dry weather monitoring</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Wet and dry weather monitoring</li> <li>Early warning system</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Final monitoring, data analysis</li> <li>Deliver Final Report</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Human marker in-house development</li> </ul>			



- Significant reduction in logistical bottlenecks and costs by having water samples collected autonomously and analyzed onsite at the source
- An improved understanding of pollution dynamics for the optimization of water capture projects thanks to the data insights provided by automated in-situ analyzers, resulting in significant cost savings and improved performance
- Swift identification of dry weather polluting outfalls by using portable analyzers, aiding the detection and elimination of illicit discharges
- New knowledge on the **role of particle-attached bacteria**, currently unavailable with EPA-approved lab methods, which will **improve the accuracy of risk assessments**

## Questions?

CH MISH LUGA

**Richard Watson** Richard Watson & Associates

rwatson@rwaplanning.com (949) 394-8495 Danielle Gonsman Kinnetic Environmental

dgonsman@kinneticenv.com (562) 357-4685 Dan Angelescu Fluidion US

d.angelescu@fluidion.com (626) 765-5580

## Independence Park Runoff Capture and Infiltration Facili Requesting Design Funds


Infrastructure Program Fiscal Year 2024-2025 Lower San Gabriel River WASC Project Lead: City of Downey Presented by John Hunter Previously Awarded TRP – No

## **Project Overview**


Regional Stormwater Infiltration Project with bioretention basin, permeable pavement and bioswale

- Primary Objective:
  - Remove and infiltrate wet-weather runoff flows from Lower San Gabriel River Watershed.
- Secondary Objective:
  - Ensure the dry weather flow will be held and can be sent to the Caruthers Park Project for irrigation use.
- Project Status: Design
- Total Funding Requested: \$ 1,310,458.00





- The Project is located in the City of Downey and is located within the Lower San Gabriel River Watershed
- Total Drainage Area: 560 acres





• The Project is effectively surrounded by DACs but is itself not located in a DAC





- Why was the Project Location selected?
  - The Project is in a "high" park needs area with heavily trafficked areas during big events
- How was the Project developed?
  - This is a LSGR Priority Project
  - Initial conceptual plan completed in 2016 by LSGR WMP Group
- Which regional water management plan includes the proposed project?
  - LSGR WMP
- Description of benefits to municipality/municipalities
  - Improving water quality and achieving MS4 compliance and TMDL milestones
  - Enhanced recreational opportunities
  - Improved flood management
- Description of benefits to Disadvantaged Communities
  - Improved parkland facilities
  - Improved water quality
  - Reduce water usage (reuse at Caruthers Park)



- The City of Downey is the project lead, and the Lower San Gabriel River WMG is an additional collaborator
- The Project received support from the Downey Ponytail Girls Athletic Association, Toque (futsal soccer organization), and the Keep Downey Beautiful Community Organization
- The project has received a letter of concurrence from the City
- The Project has received a letter of concurrence from the Flood Control District
- The City has engaged the vector control district and they have offered some conceptual comments







Pretreatment	CITY OF DOWNEY MAINTENANCE YARD	BI-3150 Line A Proposed	orm Drain (66" Dia.)	WATER QUALITY IMP	PROVEMENT
Proposed Diversion (CDS Unit, 25 CFS) Add	Permeable Paving	.25 AC-FT Bioretention Basin	Proposed Diversion Line (12" Dia., 3.34 CFS)	PRIMARY POLLUTANT (ZINC) POLLUTANT REDUCTION	144 lb/yr (90%)
	Limit of Work (Area 2) Replace Ex PCC Path with New DG Path	Vegetation	Pretreatment (CDS Unit, 3.34 CFS) Pump Station Actuated Valve	SECONDARY POLLUTANT (COPPER) POLLUTANT REDUCTION	36 lb/yr (89%)
Proposed Outlet Pipe (18" Dia)		Actuated 3	Flow	DESIGN DIVERSION RATE	28 CFS
Flow Meter		Manhole Post-Treatment Filter (Jellyfish, 2.88 CFS)	Meter Proposed Outlet Line (12" Dia.)	STORAGE CAPACITY FOR SUBSURFACE STORAGE STRUCTRE	4.45 acre-ft (1.45 MG)
Post-Treatment Filter (Jellyfish,	New DG Path	New DG Path	DUNROBIN	24-HOUR CAPACITY	8.57 acre-ft
	New Field Lights, typ		INAVE	CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE	\$11,937,061

- Project will divert flow from storm drains and permeable pavements, treat the flow through a series of CDS units to remove sediment and trash, and store/infiltrate flow into underground storage and bioretention pond
- Feasibility Study completed in 2023
- Alternatives evaluated included combinations of diversion rates, alternative footprints and orientations and various outflow rates
- Site already uses reclaimed water



Phase	Description	Cost	Completion Date	
Planning	Feasibility Study	\$ 88,754.00	07/2023	
Design	Final Design (30/60/90/100)	\$ 1,067,006.00	02/2025	
Design	Public Outreach During Design	\$ 50,000.00	02/2025	
Design	Environmental Planning (CEQA) and Permitting	\$ 106,701.00	02/2025	
Design	Agency Management (Design)	\$ 86,751.00	02/2025	
Construction	Construction Cost	\$10,670,055.00	05/2027	
Construction	Construction Administration and Design Support	\$ 1,067,006.00	05/2027	



## Cost & Schedule Continued

Phase	Description	Cost	<b>Completion Date</b>
Construction	Construction Survey	\$ 20,000.00	05/2027
Construction	Agency Management (Construction)	\$ 180,000.00	05/2027
TOTAL		\$13,336,273.00	


- Description of Annual Costs: \$ 1,120,619.30
- Project Lifespan & Lifecycle Cost: 50 year & Lifecycle cost: \$26,888,028.12



Year	SCW Funding Requested	Phase	Efforts during Phase and Year
1	\$ 106,701.00	Design	Environmental Planning (CEQA) and Permitting
1	\$ 1,067,006.00	Design	Professional Design Services (30/60/90/100)
1	\$ 50,000.00	Design	Community Outreach during Design
1	\$ 86,751.00	Design	Agency Project Management (Design Phase)
TOTAL	\$ 1,310,458.00		


 Potential request for Construction and O&M funding beginning as early as 2024 or 2025

## Preliminary Score (Not confirmed by the Scoring Committee)



The Scoring Committee has not confirmed this score. Expected to be scored on 11/27/23.

## Water Quality & Water Supply Benefits




The Scoring Committee has not confirmed this score. Expected to be scored on 11/27/23.

### • Primary mechanisms

- Runoff/pollution capture through two diversion points
- Pretreatment system
- Infiltration/storage gallery of 4.2 acre-ft
- 0.25 acre-ft bioretention basin
- Wet Weather Project
- Tributary Area: 560 acres
- 24-hour Capacity: 8.57 ac-ft
- Pollutant Reduction
  - 90% Reduction for Zinc
  - 89% Reduction for Copper
- Water Quality Cost Effectiveness
  - > 1 acre-ft/\$ million

## Community Investment Benefits and Nature Based Solutions



The Scoring Committee has not confirmed this score. Expected to be scored on 11/27/23.

- Community Investment Benefits
  - Reduced heat island effect
  - Improved water quality
  - Improved park facilities
- Nature Based Solutions
  - Bioswales and permeable pavement
  - Bioretention basin
  - Native vegetation
  - Impervious footprint removal











## Leveraging Funds and Community Support


- Leveraging Funds
  - No leveraged funds pending at this time
- Community Support
  - The Project received Letters of Support from the Downey Ponytail Girls Athletic Association, Toque (futsal soccer organization), and the Keep Downey Beautiful Community Organization



The Scoring Committee has not confirmed this score. Expected to be scored on 11/27/23.



## Leveraging Funds and Community Support



The Scoring Committee has not confirmed this score. Expected to be scored on 11/27/23.

### • Community Outreach- Conducted


- City engaged with the community at the Concerts in the Park (7/19/23) and Touch-a-Truck Event in Downey (5/20/23)
- More extensive outreach effort will be developed during the design phase







## Leveraging Funds and Community Support II



The Scoring Committee has not confirmed this score. Expected to be scored on 11/27/23.

### Community Outreach- Planned

- Printed materials will be provided at public facilities
- Create opportunities for local participation and feedback in the development of the project
- Establish stakeholder groups to maintain native and drought tolerant gardens
- Used social media to promote Project Workshops and get input
- Stakeholder Roundtables
- CBO and NGO Meetings
- Workshops
- Final Draft Design Workshop for project stakeholders

## **Questions?**

is pownell have sh misum Lusar?

1 MM

## John Hunter

## **Oliver Galang**