

Thursday, August 31, 2023 1:00pm – 5:00pm LA County Public Works Headquarters, 1st Floor (Courtyard) Conference Room C WebEx Meeting

Committee Members Present:
Barbara Romero, City of Los Angeles
Lauren Ahkiam, LAANE
Elva Yañez, Prevention Institute
Belinda Faustinos, Retired NGO & State Agency Executive, Vice-Chair
Elizabeth Crosson, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
Charles Trevino, Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District
Carl Blum, LA County Flood Control District (non-voting member)
Norma Camacho, LA Regional Water Quality Control Board Chair (non-voting member)

#### Committee Members Present Virtually:

Kristine Guerrero, League of Cities, Chair

#### Committee Members Not Present:

Maria Mehranian, Cordoba/Former LA Regional Water Quality Control Board Chair Diana Tang, Long Beach Water Department

#### 1. Welcome and Introductions

Los Angeles County Flood Control District (District) staff conducted a brief tutorial on WebEx and facilitated the roll call of Committee Members. All Committee Members made self-introductions and a quorum was established. District staff confirmed Chair Guerrero's just cause for attending the meeting virtually.

Belinda Faustinos, Vice-Chair of the Regional Oversight Committee (ROC), welcomed Committee Members, called the meeting to order, and encouraged Committee Members to verbally note their name before speaking so that participants know who is speaking. Vice-Chair Faustinos announced that the meeting was being broadcast live in Spanish. Vice-Chair announced that the purpose of this meeting is to review data and research to inform the development of the Biennial Report.

#### 2. Approval of Meeting Minutes from June 15, 2023

District staff presented meeting minutes from the previous meeting. Chair Kristine Guerrero motioned to approve the meeting minutes, seconded by Member Elizabeth Crosson. The Committee voted to approve the June 15, 2023 meeting minutes with five votes in favor and two votes in abstention (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

#### 3. Ex Parte Communications Disclosures

Committee Members in the water supply and community investment benefits working groups met to discuss Biennial Report recommendations, to be presented later in this meeting.

Member Elva Yanez disclosed discussions with Upper Los Angeles River (ULAR) Watershed Coordinator Carlos Moran about the SCW Program.

Member Norma Camacho announced the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board selected Susana Arredondo as its new executive officer.



Chair Guerrero and Member Lauren Ahkiam disclosed participation in the LA Waterkeeper Research Showcase. Vice-Chair Faustinos disclosed discussions related to a draft of the Showcase's report.

#### 4. Public Comment Period

District staff compiled all public comment cards received by 5:00pm the day before the meeting, loaded them to the SCWP website, and displayed them on-screen. Participants on the Spanish translation line and call-in users were also invited to provide public comment.

Maggie Gardner (OurWaterLA) presented a public comment citing OurWaterLA's letter that discusses the top priorities for ROC recommendations in preparation for the Biennial Report.

Peter Thonthat (Los Angeles Department of Water and Power) provided a public comment with recommendations for the SCW Program to explore strategies for addressing budget shortfalls in previously funded projects due to unforeseen cost escalations. Thonthat recommended additional reporting guidance and updates to the scoring criteria.

Michael Scaduto (Los Angeles Sanitation & Environment) shared a public comment referencing the recommendation letter sent by Los Angeles Sanitation & Environment (LASAN) related to concerns regarding cost escalation and recommendation for changes to scoring criteria.

Ronald Fomalont (Viewridge HOA) was invited to share public comment but was not present.

Ioanna Kostopoulou's (Spectrum News 1) public comment letter was shared onscreen. Sarah Pilla (Spectrum News 1) shared a public comment on Kostopoulou's behalf, asking for more information regarding measures to address PFAS in the County's drinking water. District staff will follow up with more information after the meeting.

Devon Provo (Accelerate Resilience LA) submitted a written comment letter to the Committee on behalf of the Accelerate Resilience LA (ARLA) working group and requested Committee Members to review.

Vice-Chair Faustinos confirmed that District Staff will compile all the public comment letters submitted to the ROC for the Biennial Report's data review.

#### 5. Data Overview

District staff presented an overview of relevant data for the Biennial Report. The presentation can be found on the SCW Program website.

#### a. Survey of SCWP Regional Program Call for Project Applicants

The SCW Program Call for Project Applicants Survey results were shared. The survey was shared through verbal announcements at various Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) meetings and two email blasts were sent to Project Applicants.

The survey targeted all Regional Program applicants from Rounds 1 through 5, this included funded, unfunded, and partially completed applications totaling184 recipients. The survey response rate was approximately 10 percent, with consultants and municipalities submitting the most responses; however this 10 percent of respondents represent the majority of projects awarded to date. The survey revealed positive experiences in the pre-application phase, post-application phase, and overall use of the SCW Projects Module. Suggested improvements match the current, ongoing, and upcoming changes through the recent Board Motion and the SCW Program Progress Report.

Vice-Chair Faustinos confirmed with District staff that the majority of survey respondents were from applicants whose projects were funded by the SCW Program. Member Camacho noted potential survey response bias and suggested that random selection could be implemented in the future to prevent skewed data.



Member Ahkiam suggested that applicants who experienced difficulties during the application process should be surveyed, whether in focus groups or additional surveys. Member Yanez noted that stratifying response from the types of applicants (public agencies, non-profits, consultants.) would also be useful.

#### b. Watershed Area Regional Program Progress (WARPP) Reports

District staff presented the WARPP report findings, summarizing funded projects and studies, , scoring results, projected project benefits, and adaptive management tools.

Member Charles Trevino clarified with District staff that the scoring categories are on a weighted scale, where the heaviest weight applies to water quality, then water supply, then nature-based solutions and community investment benefits. The scoring rubric for feasibility projects was developed to inform scoring and District staff noted that eligible project scores are just one part of the deliberation during WASC discussions.

Several Committee Members noted that a visual mapping tool and timeline to track projected project benefits and actual achieved benefits would help identify gaps in the Program and provide the public with a better expectation of when project benefits will be achieved.

There was a discussion regarding how projects are audited to ensure that benefits claimed are achieved. District staff noted that upon completion of an Infrastructure Program project, project developers are required to provide an audit of the use of funds that demonstrates compliance towards project goals.

Member Ahkiam clarified with District staff that the "Bid and Schedules" tab in the Project Portal is not an interactive map intended to show project status and is instead a table that lists bid opportunities for projects entering construction phase.

#### c. Metrics & Monitoring Study (MMS) Summary

Brad Wardynski (Craftwater Engineering) presented on MMS scope and draft findings. The presentation can be found on the SCW Program website. Wardynski gave a brief summary of the study, highlighting three components: engagement, analysis, and synthesis in the development of meaningful metrics to track progress of projects and programs with respect to the 14 SCWP Goals.

Recent engagement activities included public workshop, listening sessions, and advisory committee meetings. Early equity workshops discussed the "Equity in Stormwater Investments" white paper and provided recommendations to the District to brainstorm different ways to solicit community interests and evaluate community investment benefits.

Analytical-related tasks included modeling and analyzing funded and submitted SCW Program projects to test out different metrics. Recommendations from this work have informed the District in its Alternative Water Supply Rubric.

The MMS team synthesized strategies into three primary SCWP adaptation recommendations, along with sub-recommendations. The team is in the process of finalizing these recommendations. The three draft MMS team recommendations are:

- 1) Apply new metrics to improve reporting, inform decision-making, and maximize benefits
- 2) Adaptively manage scoring to strengthen achievement of SCW Program Goals
- 3) Strengthen planning and coordination with new data and tools

Wardynski shared that the MMS team is currently in the process of finalizing MMS recommendations.

Member Blum suggested that aquifer capacity and the status of aquifers should be a key measure relevant to the SCWP.



Member Yanez requested more information and an additional presentation to the ROC about the Equity and Stormwater Investments white paper.

Member Crosson requested more information on the makeup of the stakeholder advisory committee and committee members' experience. District staff clarified Member Blum, in addition to representatives from nongovernmental organizations, consultants, municipalities, water management agencies are on the MMS Stakeholder Advisory Committee. Antos (Stantec, Regional Coordination) replied that when the SAC was first assembled, WASC members were intentionally not included, however, some SAC members have since become WASC members.

Member Blum noted that the MMS is detailed, however the ROC Biennial Report should focus on big picture items.

Several Committee Members noted that the MMS and Biennial Report deserves more integration, noting that the final MMS recommendations will occur after the Biennial Report is submitted. The Biennial Report and MMS work towards a common goal of evaluating the SCW Program's progress and the specific recommendations from the MMS would be helpful to the ROC's development of the Biennial Report. For example, the focus group that met regarding water supply would be informed of specific metrics related recommendations the MMS reports and avoid potential redundancy.

The ROC requested that District staff present a summary of the MMS recommendations when finalized, even if it occurs after the Biennial Report submission this year, so that the ROC can still consider the recommendations for recommending adaptive management strategies and future Biennial Reports.

Vice-Chair Faustinos confirmed with District staff that MMS recommendations will help shape Round 7 Call for Project's, but that the exact timeline is still being confirmed. Member Barbara Romero noted that MMS recommendations should be shared well in advance of scoring criteria changes to give project applicants ample time to prepare project applications. District staff confirmed that final MMS findings will be in the public record and available on the SCW Program website.

#### d. SCWP Assessment Reports from Stakeholders

District staff acknowledged the following reports from stakeholders:

- ARLA Working Group Report
- LA Waterkeeper SCW Program Assessment
- Strategic Concepts in Organizing and Policy Education (SCOPE) Report
- Scoring Committee Memos
- MMS "Equity in Stormwater Investments" prepared by UCLA Luskin Center for Innovation and Stantec.

The Committee requested District staff to consolidate links to these reports and public comments to a single location. District staff noted that the presentation will be available on the SCW Program website and that OurWaterLA's public comment letter includes hyperlinks to each of these reports.

#### e. Focus Groups Report

The Committee's two focus groups met prior to the ROC meeting. Committee Members on the water supply benefits and community investment benefits focus groups shared discussion summaries and recommendations.

Member Crosson shared updates on the water supply benefits focus group. The focus group was created to specifically assess whether the SCW Program was meeting its water supply objective. Focus group members include Bruce Reznik (LA Waterkeeper and Scoring Committee Member), Mark Gold (Natural Resources Defense Council), Esther Rojas (Water Replenishment District and Scoring Committee Member), Mike Scaduto (City of Los Angeles Bureau of Sanitation and Environment) Art Castro (Los



Angeles Department of Water and Power), ROC Members Elizabeth Crosson, Maria Mehranian, and Carl Blum.

The water supply benefits focus group shared an impression that the SCW Program has not had a focus on water supply. A region-wide water supply capture target is necessary to ensure progress in the Program is driven by quantifiable metrics. Water supply targets should consider that watershed areas have varying levels of stormwater capture potential. The focus group's top recommendation is to set a water supply capture target that should be driven by metrics,. The focus group discussed modifications to scoring criteria to better evaluate a project's water supply benefits. The focus group expressed that the scoring criteria should consider groundwater basin capacity and the ability to reuse captured water when determining how much "new" water is being created. The MMS results related to water supply benefits should be considered. The focus group additionally recommended that the SCW Program find a way to incentivize projects, focusing mainly on water supply.

Vice-Chair Faustinos provided an update on the community investment benefits focus group. Focus group members include: David Diaz (Active SGV and Scoring Committee Member), Erica Maceda (River In Action), Francesca Romero (Promesa Boyle Heights), Tiffany Wong (SCOPE), ULAR Watershed Coordinator Carlos Moran, and ROC Member Belinda Faustinos.

The community investment benefits focus group identified its recommendations using OurWaterLA's recommendations as a starting point. The focus group recommends replacing the current "yes" "no" scoring system with clear metrics for community benefits that align with MMS. The focus group highly recommends getting a community needs assessment program underway. Furthermore, the focus group recommends improvements to SCW Program project applications, including ensuring community benefits claimed by the Project Applicants match community needs, ensuring sustained engagement from the planning through operations & maintenance (O&M) project phases, and requiring a project sketch rather than a project concept plan.

Several Committee Members noted that these high-level recommendations will require additional ROC discussion to determine specific recommendations for the Program.

Committee Members clarified the procedural timeline of the Biennial Report with District staff. The following recommendations will be integrated into the draft Biennial Report. Following a public review period, the Biennial Report will be sent to the Board for approval. District staff will provide Committee Members with a copy of the Biennial Report draft for review and discussion at the next ROC meeting. The draft will be provided 2 weeks prior to the October 26 meeting.

The Committee discussed that measurable objectives or targets need to help evaluate what projects and programs should be undertaken, including deadlines so that there is pressure to move forward. Committee members referenced MS4 permit deadlines and the associated watershed management plans, as well as other County efforts around Social Vulnerability as quantified by the OurCounty Sustainability Plan.

Chair Guerrero noted that this first Biennial Report will support work over the following two years. The ROC is equipped with many resources and the Committee needs to prioritize what can be done in two years.

#### 6. Public Comment Period

Mark Gold (Natural Resources Defense Council) provided a public comment urging the ROC to revisit their priorities and seek more authority than is provided by the current SCWP ordinance, including working directly with the Board of Supervisors. Gold additionally urged the ROC to implement recommendations beyond the Biennial Report. Gold shared the need for the SCWP to integrate and work alongside other countywide efforts, such as the LA County Water Plan and OurCounty Plan. Gold additionally expressed needs to consider a Scientific Advisory Committee, strategic project development, and the inclusion of municipal investments in the Biennial Report.



Bruce Reznik (LA Waterkeeper) provided a public comment encouraging the ROC to move away from a reactive vision and towards a proactive vision. Reznik noted the short timespan the ROC has to revise the Biennial Report and noted that the outline is a good start. Reznik recommended laying out specific targets and moving away from a one size fits all approach. Reznik expressed the need for municipal funding and concern regarding inflation and cost escalation.

Mike Lewis (BizFed) shared a public comment noting that the SCW Program does not have a set plan, unlike many transportation or education grant programs. Lewis suggested the ROC recommend a plan with clear objectives, and coordinate with other local agencies beyond Measure W, to present to the Board that considers bigger picture goals and priorities.

Annalisa Moe (Heal the Bay / OurWaterLA) submitted a public comment letter and shared that the 13 recommendations released in the Biennial Report outline is a great start. Moe suggested that a written description of the ROC and the Biennial Report goals be published on the SCW Program Website. Moe shared that the submitted letter includes additional recommendations that the ROC should prioritize and were not included in the Biennial Report Outline's current recommendation list. Moe reminded the Committee that they have the authority to request what is needed to properly conduct the Biennial Report.

### 7. Discussion and action to guide the Draft Biennial SCWP Progress Report based on draft conceptual outline/recommendations

Chair Guerrero presented the Biennial Report outline topics. The outline can be found on the SCW Program website. The outline's recommendations are categorized into tiers of authority needed to implement them. Tier 1 level recommendations require a low level of authority to implement, whereas Tier 4 level recommendations require legislation or voter approval.

Chair Guerrero urged the ROC to prioritize recommendations based on implementation feasibility. Chair Guerrero confirmed with District staff that a Biennial Report draft will be sent to the ROC two weeks prior to the next Committee meeting.

The Committee reviewed each recommendation and its tier of implementation/authority.

In response to Recommendation 1 in the outline, Member Camacho suggested the ROC better define "watershed planning efforts," recommending expedited watershed management plans for future funding efforts, with deadlines and adequate staffing during plan development. Member Camacho noted that developing metrics in Recommendation 5 should be a high priority in the ROC's Biennial Report. Member Camacho additionally shared Recommendation 13, regarding additional SCW Program resources and staffing, would be helpful when developing plans.

Committee members agreed that these recommendations should be the main portion of the Biennial Report. Members of the committee recommended that the report be simple, approximately three to four pages, with additional information in appendices.

Member Yañez noted that the plans and studies (e.g., IRWM, CWP, One Water LA 2040 Plan, EWMPs/WMPs, LA Basin Study, Focus Groups, etc.) are repeated various times throughout the recommendations and suggested to clarify each item and expanding on the relationship between various plans and studies.

Member Ahkiam expressed clarifying comments on the following recommendations. District staff will review the comments for inclusion in the Biennial Report draft.

- o Recommendation 2 should include recommendations to alter scoring criteria.
- Recommendation 3 should include recommendations from the community investment benefits focus groups about grouping projects by size.
- Recommendation 5 should include the water supply focus group's recommendations.
- o Recommendation 6 should include focus groups recommendations, in addition to other resources that assisted in establishing this recommendation.



- Recommendation 7 should include recommendations from the community investment benefits focus group and OurWaterLA about requiring community investment benefits for projects.
- Recommendation 12 should ensure that the bid schedule can be updated independently if Regional Program reporting moves to a twice-yearly schedule. If the reporting frequency is revised, the District should consider expanding the contents requested from the reports, such as target local hire compliance and TMDLs.

Member Ahkiam additionally requested more discussion on hardscape removal, especially relating to school greening, and streamlining the TRP process.

Member Crosson recommended setting a numerical stormwater capture target, as recommended by the water supply benefits focus group, that also considers each watershed's availability. Member Crosson suggested more clarification regarding claimed water supply benefits, noting that the project applicant should be required to demonstrate the water supply is new and when and how much water the project will make available as a local supply. Member Crosson expressed desire to incentivize applicants to think large, multi-year, multi-permit projects and suggested developing a potential program within the SCW Program to focus on incentivizing these larger projects. Member Crosson additionally noted SCW Program's potential to better develop partnerships to work on and fund these larger projects.

Member Camacho urged the Committee to take the information and data provided and create a proactive plan with these larger projects. Member Camacho noted that the outline is missing an analysis component and asked how the Committee will identify the gaps in the SCWP and watershed needs.

Vice-Chair Faustinos suggested that the recommendations need to show a plan for each watershed. Vice-Chair Faustinos additionally noted that all projects should have community investment benefits. Vice-Chair Faustinos suggested that the ROC recommend that community investment benefits be required in planning budgets and institutionalize an ARLA-developed Project Concept tool for Watershed Coordinators to use in conjunction with educational programs.

Member Trevino left the meeting at 4:30 pm.

Member Romero and Chair Guerroro expressed that community investment benefits requirements may dilute the slate of projects and other project benefits, and do not want to make community investment benefits a requirement for all projects.

The Committee agreed that the focus groups should continue meeting to develop more specific recommendations. Chair Guerrero confirmed with District staff that timelines and deadlines are being established for watershed-wide plans. Chair Guerrero additionally confirmed with District staff that the Biennial Report is intended to be shown to the Board in December (note: new deadline is early 2024).

Member Ahkiam motioned to have the District move forward with the 13 recommendations and incorporate input from the two focus groups and the discussion at the August 31 ROC Meeting and to aim to provide a draft report two weeks in advance of the next ROC Meeting. Member Yanez seconded the motion. The Committee voted to approve the motion with six votes in favor and one member absent at the time of the vote (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

#### 9. Watershed Coordinator Updates

This agenda item was moved up in the agenda by Vice-Chair Faustinos.

Lower San Gabriel River (LSGR) Watershed Coordinator Kekoa Anderson provided an update on recent watershed area activities. Watershed Coordinator Anderson shared more about the LSGR WASC funding set-aside for small projects. Watershed Coordinator Anderson additionally shared more information about the LSGR Prioritization Criteria The Prioritization Criteria was developed by the WASC to support their evaluate watershed area projects.



ULAR Watershed Coordinator Moran discussed recent watershed area community engagement activities. Over the last year, ULAR Watershed Coordinators have hosted over 30 events with a total of 1,300 attendees. ULAR Watershed Coordinators prioritize building trust and holding long-term trusting relationships. Watershed Coordinator Moran additionally highlighted two main takeaways gathered from community engagement: 1) The Technical Resource Program (TRP) application process is technical and difficult for those without extensive capacity; 2) There is a large disconnect between the SCW Program and the community, with many members unaware of projects that are happening in their immediate area.

Antos shared with the ROC that there is a <u>SCWP Engagement Calendar</u> where Committee Members can find more information about Watershed Coordinator activities. Upon inquiry, Antos also clarified that input from Watershed Coordinators will be incorporated into the Biennial Report.

#### 8. Committee Member and District Updates

District staff will share District updates at the next ROC meeting. There were no Committee Member updates.

#### 9. Items for Next Agenda

The next meeting is tentatively scheduled for Thursday October 5, 2023, 1:00 pm - 5:00 pm. (*Note: now October 26*) Items for the next meeting include:

a. Draft Biennial SCWP Progress Report

#### 10. Meeting Adjourned

Vice-Chair Faustinos thanked ROC members and the public and adjourned the meeting.

Regional Oversight Committee - August 31st - 2023						
	Quorum Present					
Member Type	Member	Present?	Approval of the June 15, 2023 Meeting Minutes	To have District staff move forward with the 13 recommendations and incorporate input from the two focus groups and the discussion at the 8/31/23 Regional Oversight Committee (ROC) Meeting, and aim to provide draft report 2 weeks in advance of the next ROC Meeting.		
Voting Member	Maria Mehranian					
Voting Member	Barbara Romero	x	a	у		
Voting Member	Diana Tang					
Voting Member	Kristine Guerrero	Х	у	У		
Voting Member	Belinda Faustinos	х	у	у		
Voting Member	Elizabeth Crosson	х	у	У		
Voting Member	Lauren Ahkiam	х	у	У		
Voting Member	Elva Yanez	х	a	У		
Voting Member	Charles Trevino	х	у			
Non-Voting Member	Carl Blum	х				
Non-Voting Member	Norma Camacho	Х				
Total Non-Vacant Seats	9	Yay (Y)	5	6		
Total Voting Members Present	7	Nay (N) Abstain (A) Total	0 2 7	0 0 0 6		
			Approved	Approved		

### Attendance Regional Oversight Committee - August 31, 2023

24893527544 Jeannette Spanish Interpreter Paige Bistromowitz 390000 jnewman PHYLLIS CHESTANG

Adi Liberman Joe Venzon - LA County Ray Tahir Aghakhani, Ryan Johanna Chang RJencks

Alonso John Mendoza Ryanna Fossum Regional

Coordination

AM jqv Safe Clean Water LA

Amanda Begley, TreePeople Juan Diaz-Carreras Sarah Pilla
Andrew Gray UCR Julie Allen Sarah Wiltfong
Andrew K Julissa Rocha Serena Zhu
Austine Racelis Justin Jones - LACFCD Sofia Cardenas
Ava Farriday Karelly Dorado Sonali Abraham

Brad Wardynski Craftwater Katherine Pease she/her Steve Bullock / Cerrell

Call-in User\_2 katie Tara Dales LLAR Coordinator

Call-in User\_4Kristina KreterTaylor McCauleyCall-in User\_5Kristine GuerreroTiffany WongCall-in User\_6Larry Tran - LACFCDTonthat, Peter

Call-in User\_7 Laureen Abustan Brown and

Carolina T Hernandez Leslie Friedman Johnson CNRG Veronica

Castro, Art Lorena Matos
Charlotte Derby Luis Perez SCWP
Christine McLeod Luke Ginger

Christopher Vong Mackenzie Bolger - SGVCOG

Cindy Cotter Maggie Gardner

Craig Margarita Aguilar, Mujeres de la

Tierra

Marisol Ibarra

Daniel Rydberg Mark Johnson
Darin Seegmiller Santa Clarita Mark Nguyen
David Lennon Matt Frary LACFCD
Debby Reece Mayra Cabrera - LACFCD

Deborah Bloome Megan Kung Denise Dolor Mei-Lin

Daniel G. Hernandez

Devon Provo Melania Gaboyan - LACFCD Donna T Melanie Hu - LACFCD

Eric Bonilla - LACFCD Melissa Turcotte

Fernando Villaluna michelle

G Kast Michelle Staffield Gabriela Gonzalez Mikaela Randolph

Ghina Yamout Mike Antos Heather Merenda Mossavi, Conor

Jacqueline Mak Nancy Shrodes she/her

james cortes rivera nate

Jason Casanova, CWH Nicholas Ryu

Uriel Cobian Veronica Carrillo Wataru Kumagai Yisak Kim - LACDPW

### Regional Oversight Committee Meeting COMMITTEE MEMBER SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization	Signature				
Voting Members						
Barbara Romero	City of Los Angeles	1941 au				
Belinda Faustinos	Nature For All	Elenfly Faustine				
Charles Trevino	Upper San Gabriel Valley MWD	De la companya della				
Diana Tang	City of Long Beach					
Elizabeth Crosson	Metropolitant Water District	20				
Elva Yanez	Prevention Institute	ElvaYorva				
Kristine Guerrero	League of Cities					
Lauren Ahkiam	LAANE	DAL C				
Maria Mehranian	Cordoba / Former RWQCB Chair					
	Non-Voting	Members				
Carl Blum	Flood Control District	Carl Delen				
Norma Camacho	LA Regional Water Quality Control Board Chair	May Can't				

### Regional Oversight Committee Meeting PUBLIC SIGN-IN



First Name	Last Name	Municipality/Organization	Email Address
Norma	Camacho		
Larry	Tran	LACPW	
Ryanna	Fossum	Stantee	1
Megan	Sqhwarte	Catalest Env. Solutions.	
Shea	Thornbury	Certilyst Env. Solution	5
Carlos	Meran	CWH-ULAR V	
Mark	Gold	MRPC	
KEKOA	Arbensay	SCUP- LSGR CONDINANCE	
Bru pernic	Reznik	LAW	
Charles	Tremo	USGVAVD	
Michal	Scaduro	CITY & LA-LASAM	
Raina	Dwivedi	CNRG	

<sup>\*</sup>Signing or completing this form is voluntary for members of the public