

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Central Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Baldwin Vista Green Streets Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, LA Sanitation and Environment
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$6,097,900
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather  Part 1	20	20	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Groundwater depth at 19 feet but drywell invert at 40 feet.</li> <li>Dry wells located on east side of park with lower groundwater elevation</li> <li>Request for more elaborate geotech analysis</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requested clarification on calculations</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	2	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cannot infiltrate due to depth to groundwater aquifer</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Low participation from outreach conducted</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	71	110	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unable to be scored</li> <li>Project does not advance</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Central Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Imperial Highway Green Infrastructure Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, LA Sanitation and Environment
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$5,232,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	0	20	0	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	12	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	10	10	• Bike lane – community enhancement
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	14	15	14	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	6	6	• Demonstrate great funding partnerships
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	3	•
<b>TOTALS</b>	64	110	63	• Meets minimum points threshold

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Spane Park
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Paramount
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$18,913,128
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>27 ac-ft capacity</li> <li>Recommendation to score project as a dry weather project and to reclassify as dry</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	3	<b>13</b>	Unable to score 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WRD letter - not clear project would recharge aquifer</li> <li>Pg 41 applicant should revise O&amp;M cost (incorrect Annual Maintenance \$20.00)</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	12	<b>12</b>	Unable to score 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Project will not recharge a drinking water aquifer</b></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	4 12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Info provided on permeable parking lot</b></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	3 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Two outreach meetings</li> <li><b>Door to door outreach confirmed</b></li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	89	<b>110</b>	Unable to score 61	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Meets minimum points threshold</b></li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Long Beach Municipal Urban Stormwater Treatment (LB MUST) - Phase 2
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Long Beach
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$10,387,527
<b>Project Type</b>	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meets Title 22 standards for water treatment</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	<b>30</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dry weather; captures &gt;200 Acres</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	2	<b>12</b>	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>81.6 AF/year</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dry weather project, no flood benefit</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	14	<b>15</b>	14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	<b>6</b>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Only 1 non-elected letter of support</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	73	<b>110</b>	65	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Projects meets minimum points threshold</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	Heartwell Park at Palo Verde Channel Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Long Beach
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$3,313,865
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather  Part 1	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Request to reclassify as dry weather project</li> <li>Project ask is for full design and only for construction of dry weather diversion; should only claim dry weather components</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	12	Unable to score 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Request to resubmit water supply calculations</li> <li>Justification provided for diversion to sewer within 24hrs vs 72hrs</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	10	Scoring on hold 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project will not qualify for flood benefit, reducing score</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	15	Scoring on hold 10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	0	6	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No significant community engagement. Only 2 letters of support</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	69	110	Unable to score 61	Meets minimum points threshold

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	Artesia Park Urban Runoff Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Artesia
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$1,568,876
<b>Project Type</b>	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	<b>30</b>	20	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	<b>12</b>	2	• Recharge not feasible due to groundwater aquifer depth
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	• Dry weather, no flood benefits
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	12	<b>15</b>	12	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	• 2 letters of support • Early design phase, funds planned for future outreach
<b>TOTALS</b>	66	<b>110</b>	61	• Meets minimum points threshold

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	La Habra Heights Stormwater Treatment and Reuse System The Park Hacienda Road
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of La Habra Heights
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$705,348
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	<b>30</b>	25	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not clear how project will enhance recreational opportunities</li> <li>• Not clear on flood protection benefit</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	<b>6</b>	3	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	• One way engagement; no participatory feedback
<b>TOTALS</b>	72	<b>110</b>	65	• Meets minimum points threshold

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	La Mirada Creek Park Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of La Mirada
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$6,616,197
<b>Project Type</b>	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	6	13	0	• \$2000 per year for maintenance is low
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	12	0	• Cannot infiltrate due to depth to groundwater
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	10	5	• No improvements to flood management.
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	14	15	14	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	0	6	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	N/A	4	Unable to score 2	• Request clarification on community engagement during park master plan process and how it informed the project. during Master Plan process and how it informed this project • Meaningful community input during master plan process; not as recent engagement as desired
<b>TOTALS</b>	75	110	Unable to score 61	• Meets minimum points threshold



# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	Progress Park Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Paramount
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$2,161,744
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	<b>12</b>	0	• No water supply benefit due to depth to groundwater aquifer
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	10	• Joint use of park with adjacent school
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	3	• Demonstrated engagement that informed project • 3 letters of support
<b>TOTALS</b>	79	<b>110</b>	73	• Meets minimum points threshold

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	North Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Cornell – Mulholland Highway Green Improvement Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Los Angeles County Public Works
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$350,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20	11 <del>44</del> to verify	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4.89 ac impervious area very low</li> <li>Clarify capital cost, overestimated O&amp;M</li> <li>Impervious area confirmed</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	25 30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Request for water quality modeling info</li> <li>Design Only: Changing pollutants primary – toxic, secondary to trash</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	12	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	15	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	6	6 <del>Unable to Score</del>	• Secured funding not clear
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	1	• Few letters of support, but lacking participatory engagement
<b>TOTALS</b>	61	110	63 <del>Unable to Score</del>	Meets minimum points threshold

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Rio Hondo
<b>Project Name</b>	Burke Heritage Park & Marengo Yard Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Alhambra
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$4,424,118
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	0	<b>6</b>	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	• Does not demonstrate robust two-way engagement
<b>TOTALS</b>	69	<b>110</b>	67	• Meets minimum points threshold

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Rio Hondo
<b>Project Name</b>	El Monte Norwood Elementary School Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Edna Robidas (Trust for Public Land)
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$9,828,559
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	14	20	Unable to score 11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Infiltration area does not match schematic provided – 0.7 ac, not 1.1 ac</li> <li>Updated infiltration area</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score 30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Infiltration area does not match schematic provided</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	2	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No letter from a groundwater management agency</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	12	15	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strong letters of support and metrics around outreach</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	67	110	Unable to score 62	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meets minimum points threshold</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Rio Hondo
<b>Project Name</b>	Kinneloa Yard Stormwater Capture Project Preliminary Design and Feasibility Study
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Pasadena
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$2,292,762
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	10	• 6 benefits
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	0	• Application states no outreach done to date. One letter of support provided.
<b>TOTALS</b>	74	<b>110</b>	70	• Meets minimum points threshold

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Rio Hondo
<b>Project Name</b>	Merced Avenue Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of El Monte
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$9,799,210
<b>Project Type</b>	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	<b>30</b>	20	• Project site may not infiltrate well
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	12	<b>15</b>	12	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	<b>6</b>	3	• Caltrans provided matching funds
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	3	• 7 support letters
<b>TOTALS</b>	64	<b>110</b>	63	• Meets minimum points threshold

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Santa Clara River
<b>Project Name</b>	Via Princessa Park and Regional BMP Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Heather Merenda, City of Santa Clarita Environmental Services Division
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$20,079,768
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to score 20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Volume of storage assumes open space. Volume should be a lot less (pipe), effecting total capacity. 9 ac-ft vs 17 ac-ft</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score 30	
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	13	13	Unable to score 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.5 inches, not 118 (stormwater treat in 24 hours)</li> <li>Maintenance cost low, effecting cost-effectiveness</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	12	12	Unable to score 12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2 cfs inflating water supply values (based on standing water)</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	15	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	6	3	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No clear indication of commitment in letters of support. Unclear if engaged with mobile home residents. Good indication showing needs of community.</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	97	110	Unable to score 88	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meets minimum points threshold</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	South Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Wilmington-Anaheim Green Infrastructure Corridor Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, LA Sanitation and Environment
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$10,274,500
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	14	20	Unable to score 14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Request confirmation of sewer diversion rate with a sewer analysis</li> <li>Sewer analysis provided</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score 30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	12	Unable to score 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No sewer modeling capacity analysis documented</li> <li>Unclear how dry weather supply was calculated</li> <li>Dry weather calc provided</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	11	15	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Did not demonstrate strong community support</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	69	110	Unable to score 68	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meets minimum points threshold</li> </ul>



# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	South Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Beach Cities Green Streets Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Torrance
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$5,366,953
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather  Part 1	20	20	Unable to score 20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Request for summary table of the individual bmps explaining how aggregate bmp value was determined; clarifying info requested to explain dimensions</li> <li>Clarifying summary table provided</li> <li>Aggregate drainage area, dimensions, 85<sup>th</sup> %, and infiltration rate</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score 25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RAA was used instead of module and RAA is for watershed scale modeling; requesting to do a project specific modeling exercise</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b>  Part 2	2	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No letter from a groundwater management agency</li> <li>Seawater barrier too deep to achieve benefit</li> <li>Sea water barriers are too deep to achieve benefit</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Planting 200 trees</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	6	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Over 20 meetings, meaningful engagement</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	74	110	Unable to score 67	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meets minimum points threshold</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	South Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Glen Anderson Park Regional Stormwater Capture Green Streets
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Redondo Beach
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$782,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assumption of 1 cfs infiltration is high; impacts capacity – 21 ac-ft</li> <li>Request more thorough geotech analysis when returning for construction funds</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	14	<b>15</b>	14	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	• Mostly one way engagement
<b>TOTALS</b>	73	<b>110</b>	71	• Meets minimum points threshold

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	South Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Machado Lake Ecosystem Rehabilitation (MLER) Operations and Maintenance
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, LA Sanitation and Environment
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$3,200,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recommend classifying project as dry weather project</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	<b>30</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b>  Part 2	9	<b>12</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pending confirmation of evaporation rate to WASC</li> <li>Not all stormwater will stay in lake during storm events, cannot claim full credit for all stormwater</li> <li>Not all stormwater will stay in lake during storm events and can't claim full credit</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not a wet weather project, no flood benefit</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	<b>6</b>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	81	<b>110</b>	67	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meets minimum points threshold</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Arroyo Seco Projects
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of South Pasadena
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$33,995,086
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather  Part 1	11	20	<del>44</del> to verify 11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discrepancy pg 83 and application--Hydrology 437 vs 444</li> <li>Drawdown rate clarification</li> <li>Clarification on 16.36 ac-ft capacity</li> <li>Clarified discrepancies in hydrology</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	<del>30</del> to verify 30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>More detail to verify numbers</li> <li>Reirrigation use vs infiltrated</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pg 266 letter from watermaster</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	12	<del>5</del> to verify 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Flood prevention benefits not demonstrated</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Potential future Caltrans cost share</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Additional support at this point of project.</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	70	110	<del>To Verify</del> 63	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meets minimum points threshold</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Bowtie Demonstration Project (Updated)
<b>Project Lead</b>	The Nature Conservancy
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$7,164,575
<b>Project Type</b>	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	<b>30</b>	20	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	• No flood benefits
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	<b>6</b>	3	• Continuing to pursue grant funding
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	4	• Strong demonstrations of engagement
<b>TOTALS</b>	67	<b>110</b>	62	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Brookside Park Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Pasadena
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$2,198,612
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	<b>12</b>	5	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	N/A	<b>4</b>	0	•
<b>TOTALS</b>	70	<b>110</b>	70	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	California Avenue and Adjacent Streets Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Glendale
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$2,970,899
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	25	•
<b>Water Supply</b>  Part 1	10	13	<del>Unable to score</del> 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pg29 160 ac assuming 0.15 cfs of constant dry-weather flow inflating WS number. Dry-weather assumption to be 0.1 in/day.</li> <li>• Will change cost-effectiveness</li> <li>• Applicant revised project's dry weather runoff using dry weather model, 0.09 cfs result</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b>  Part 2	5	12	<del>Unable to score</del> 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WS points lowered due to liberal assumption for days after storm event. Applicant used assumption of 24 hours rather and 72 hours for dry weather</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	12	15	12	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	6	3	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	1	•
<b>TOTALS</b>	84	110	<del>Unable to score</del> 71	• Meets minimum points threshold

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Eagle Rock Boulevard: A Multi-Modal Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, StreetsLA
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$7,632,723
<b>Project Type</b>	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	<b>30</b>	20	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	<b>6</b>	6	• \$16M of matching funds
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	4	• Multiple community partners
<b>TOTALS</b>	65	<b>110</b>	65	•



# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Earvin "Magic" Johnson Park Operation and Maintenance Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Los Angeles County Public Works
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$1,625,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather  Part 1	11	20	Unable to score 20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>O&amp;M funding request. Close look at O&amp;M report (pg 85) for how project is performing (pollutant reduction numbers, how much flow captured)</li> <li>Discrepancy 22 ac-ft and 7 ac-ft capacity</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score 20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reclassify as dry weather</li> <li>Use user input value</li> <li><b>Project reclassified as dry weather</b></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	12	Unable to score 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	71	110	Unable to score 67	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If Dry Weather: 40 points for WQ &amp; 2 points for WS Part 2</li> <li><b>Meets minimum points threshold</b></li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Emerald Necklace John Muir High School Campus Natural Infrastructure Improvement Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Claire Robinson, Amigos de los Rios
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$1,891,500
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	14	20	14	• $1.4 \text{ AF}/\$1.7 = 0.82$
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	12	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	• 4 benefits
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	15	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	6	3	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	4	•
<b>TOTALS</b>	66	110	66	• Project meets minimum points threshold

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Green Street Demonstration Project on Main Street
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Alhambra
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$3,773,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5.1 AF</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary Pollutant: 96% reduction of Zinc</li> <li>Secondary Pollutant: trash</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	<b>6</b>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strong community engagement</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	72	<b>110</b>	72	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project meets minimum points threshold</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Hollenbeck Park Lake Rehabilitation Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, LA Sanitation and Environment
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$25,161,316
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather  Part 1	14	20	Unable to Score 20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drainage area does not appear complete – pockets of missing drainage area</li> <li>• Applicant updated drainage area and provided geotech values</li> <li>• Project reclassified as dry weather</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to Score 20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No letter from groundwater master</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	12	12	Unable to Score 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No project specific geotechnical information (infiltration rate)</li> <li>• Infiltration value cannot be confirmed</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Near schools, but not greening of a school</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	12	15	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Addition of 50 trees</li> <li>• Constructed wetlands</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	6	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	85	110	Unable to score 63	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meets minimum points threshold</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	McCambridge Park Stormwater Capture Multi-Benefit Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Burbank Public Works Department
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$2,930,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	7	20	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>18.3 ac-ft capacity</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	12	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>146 AF/year</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	15	10	
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	6	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Design only</li> <li>TRP project - had opportunity for more community engagement</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	64	110	62	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project meets minimum points threshold</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Mission Mile Sepulveda: A Climate Resilient Urban Greenway to Cultural Connections Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, StreetsLA
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$22,914,301
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	7	20	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>18.7 AF capacity/\$46M = 0.41</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Primary Pollutant: Zinc &gt;80% reduction</li> <li>Secondary Pollutant: Cu &gt; 80% reduction</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	12	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>124 ac-ft / year</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	11	15	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	68	110	68	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project meets minimum points threshold</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	South Pasadena Huntington Drive Regional Green Street Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of South Pasadena
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$2,986,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clarification needed for the project drainage area</li> <li>Pg 173; pg 566 discrepancy</li> <li><b>Project not feasible with info provided</b></li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unclear how dry well infiltration rates determined</li> <li>Pg 174; how was 0.6 cfs calculated</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	3	<b>13</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>\$2,100/AF</li> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	9	<b>12</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>261 AF/year</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	<b>6</b>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>\$1M committed</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Former TRP – opportunity for more community engagement</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	87	<b>110</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Unable to confirm project score</b></li> <li><b>Project does not advance</b></li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2023-2024



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Sylmar Channel Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, LA Sanitation and Environment
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$5,005,515
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to score 20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>0.5 cfs infiltration rate without any onsite geotechnical tests</li> <li>Applicant provided local infiltration data but not site specific data</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score 30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Onsite geotechnical report requested for dry wells and channel</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	6	13	Unable to Score 0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Life cycle cost discrepancy pg 49</li> <li>Should use module life cycle cost</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	9	12	Unable to Score 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High assumption of dry weather days</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>45 trees, pedestrian paths</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One virtual workshop</li> <li>Letter of support from CBO</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	90	110	Unable to score 79	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meets minimum points threshold</li> </ul>