



Public Comment Form

Name:* _____ Organization*: _____

Email*: _____ Phone*: _____

Meeting: _____ Date: _____

- LA County Public Works may contact me for clarification about my comments
- *Per Brown Act, completing this information is optional. At a minimum, please include an identifier so that you may be called upon to speak.

Phone participants and the public are encouraged to submit public comments (or a request to make a public comment) to SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov. All public comments will become part of the official record.

Please complete this form and email to SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov by at least 5:00pm the day prior to the meeting with the following subject line: "Public Comment: [Watershed Area] [Meeting Date]" (ex. "Public Comment: USGR 4/8/20").

Comments

Public Comment Form - Continued

3. What are the bacteria of concern in the watershed?

The bacteria Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) sets limits on fecal indicator bacteria (FIB). From a compliance perspective the bacteria that need to be addressed are E. Coli. FIB are not automatically a human health risk. Pathogens that could be either viral or bacterial would be a human health risk concern, but more information is needed.

4. Why were Pico Canyon Park Stormwater Improvements Project and Jake Kuredjian Park Stormwater Improvements Project not combined, considering their proximity?

Pico Canyon Park and Jake Kuredjian Park are located within separate subwatersheds, they are at different development stages, the existing storm drains are not aligned in way that one site can conveniently capture all necessary runoff, and have different milestones identified in the Upper Santa Clara River Enhanced Watershed Management Plan.

5. Why is Pico Canyon Park Stormwater Improvements Project not eligible for water supply points?

Based on the current scoring criteria for Water Supply Cost Effectiveness, Pico Canyon Park project is not able to meet the minimum required volume capture eligibility criteria.

6. How much water will be treated annual?

Pico Canyon Park Stormwater Improvements Project will treat up to 5 acre-feet of stormwater per year based on the average annual rainfall in the area. Jake Kuredjian Park is at its feasibility stage to be determined.

7. What are the pollutants of concern in the watershed and how much will be reduced by Pico Canyon Park Stormwater Improvements Project?

There are four Pollutants of Concern to be addressed by Pico Canyon Park including Chloride, E. Coli, nutrients, and trash. Using the Safe Clean Water Project Module, the percent reduction of each pollutant was determined. Please refer to the project application for percent reductions.



Public Comment Form

Name:* _____ Organization*: _____

Email*: _____ Phone*: _____

Meeting: _____ Date: _____

LA County Public Works may contact me for clarification about my comments

*Per Brown Act, completing this information is optional. At a minimum, please include an identifier so that you may be called upon to speak.

Phone participants and the public are encouraged to submit public comments (or a request to make a public comment) to SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov. All public comments will become part of the official record.

Please complete this form and email to SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov by at least 5:00pm the day prior to the meeting with the following subject line: "Public Comment: [Watershed Area] [Meeting Date]"
(ex. "Public Comment: USGR 4/8/20").

Comments



Public Comment Form

Name*: Lynne Plambeck Organization*: Santa Clarita Organization for Planning
 Email*: Lynnep1@juno.com Phone*: 6612556899
 Meeting: santa Clara Watershed Date: 1-6-2022

- LA County Public Works may contact me for clarification about my comments
- *Per Brown Act, completing this information is optional. At a minimum, please include an identifier so that you may be called upon to speak.

Phone participants and the public are encouraged to submit public comments (or a request to make a public comment) to SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov. All public comments will become part of the official record.

Please complete this form and email to SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov by at least 5:00pm the day prior to the meeting with the following subject line: "Public Comment: [Watershed Area] [Meeting Date]" (ex. "Public Comment: USGR 4/8/20").

Comments

We are concerned about the projects in Pico Canyon. One seems enormously expensive. The one in the Pico Canyon Park is raising concerns over possible impacts to the oaks from the excavation or damage to the trees from too much water or bad water quality. This project needs to receive CEQA review so that potential impacts can be investigated and addressed. As you may know, the collection basin site in this park is located near the famous Old Glory Pico Oak that was moved to that site in 2003 due to considerable public pressure. Also, this park was identified as a liquefaction area. The soil may not support a large concrete structure causing expensive future damage to the structure and major upkeep costs. It was designated as a park for Southern Oaks project because of the soil problems. This information is in the EIR for that project.

Santa Clara River
Regional Program Quarterly Report Summary

Full reports are available at: <https://portal.safecleanwaterla.org/scw-reporting/map>

Call for Projects FY	FY20-21
Report Year	FY20-21

Row Labels	Project Developer	Report Period	Active Phase List	Scope Modification	Exhibit Modification	Activity Concern	Activity Delay	Sum of Funding Projected	Sum of Funds Awarded to Date	Sum of Expenditures to Date	WASC Notes
Infrastructure Project											
Hasley Canyon Park Stormwater Improvements Project	Los Angeles County Public Works	Q3 (January - March)	Planning	No	No	N/A	No	\$2,887,500.00	\$2,887,500.00	\$0.00	
		Q4 (April - June)	Planning	No	No	N/A	No	\$2,887,500.00	\$2,887,500.00	\$0.00	
Newhall Park Infiltration	Dan Duncan, Oliver Cramer	Q3 (January - March)	Planning, Design	No	No	Yes	No	\$18,000,000.00	\$1,801,103.00	\$0.00	
		Q4 (April - June)	Planning, Design	No	No	Yes	No	\$18,000,000.00	\$1,801,103.00	\$0.00	
Grand Total								\$41,775,000.00	\$25,576,103.00	\$0.00	