

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Stormwater Treatment and Reuse System (STAR System) Hacienda Park
Project Lead	City of La Habra Heights
Total Funding Requested	\$859,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	To be confirmed 20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oversized for 85th percentile p.16: Unclear what drawdown rate of 11.8 in/hr is from. No Geotech provided. p.16 Unclear what the 24-hour capacity is from. Applicant to verify these two figures. And to confirm if these are from evapotranspiration. No way to verify vendor rates
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	To be confirmed 25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant used their own modeling system, would be better for consistency to use WMMS.
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Access to waterway, is a viewing terrace considered access. Committee will need to provide additional clarity for future applications.
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unclear if the STAR system is natural. Committee discussion noted it's similar to modular wetlands. Would be beneficial to know how much of the vegetation is new vegetation.
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Single letter from a resident. Intent for strong community support is geared more towards community groups.
TOTALS	69	110	To Be Confirmed 69	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No budget shown for O&M? Applicant to confirm this and other info requests.

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Artesia Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of Artesia
Total Funding Requested	\$1,250,502
Project Type	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project not quite dry or wet. Classification as dry weather.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential for irrigation listed in application, unclear if this will be built or not. Applicant also claims groundwater recharge. Applicant to provide calculation for irrigation demand and amount of stormwater benefit claimed p.100 of application does not indicate how the project dry weather would provide irrigation demand
Community Investment	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dry weather project claiming flood benefit. Applicant provides detailed justification for this benefit. Improved access to water ways claimed due to creation of ephemeral stream. Committee discussion noted this does not meet the intent of this CI benefit.
Nature-Based Solutions	12	15	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
TOTALS	71	110	61	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Bellflower Simms Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of Bellflower
Total Funding Requested	\$2,141,987
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	25	• Project slightly just below 85 th percentile score.
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	2	12	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supply is noted as potential irrigation. Unclear if this will be incorporated. • p.144 Modeled dry weather flow does not appear to meet irrigation demand. Application notes removal of turf. Is demand being removed? • Applicant provided clarification on supply benefit.
Community Investment	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More detail would be beneficial for the CI benefits claimed. • Artificial turf may cause issues with heat island and injuries. Community feedback requested year round play. Does not impact score.
Nature-Based Solutions	12	15	12	• Artificial turf used for project.
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prop 68 funding is not yet approved. Committee discussion noted that additional scoring guidance should be provided on this topic by ROC, District, or SC. • Applicant noted that grant is not guaranteed, and is being reapplied for from a previous declined application.
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	•
TOTALS	71	110	68	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Cerritos Sports Complex
Project Lead	City of Cerritos
Total Funding Requested	\$2,408,000
Project Type	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	10	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant notes only the potential for water supply. Unclear if this is going to be constructed and realized. P. 116, 117: Benefits claimed for sewer discharge, on-site irrigation, and recharge. • Groundwater recharge not applicable for this project. • Application is for design funding. • Applicant noted large amount of water used for irrigation.
Water Supply Part 2	12	12	12	• Would be beneficial to see additional detail for the CI benefits.
Community Investment	10	10	5	• Application claims flood benefit as a dry weather. Would not get flood benefit.
Nature-Based Solutions	12	15	12	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	0	4	0	•
TOTALS	84	110	69	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2021-2022



Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Heartwell Park at Palo Verde Channel
Project Lead	City of Long Beach
Total Funding Requested	\$1,539,676
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant applied for wet weather, should be reclassified as Dry weather. Project is significantly smaller than the 85th percentile. Would preserve the same 40 points.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	To Be Confirmed 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant used their own value for water supply. Unclear if supply to be used for irrigation? Golf course is currently served with recycled water. Unclear if conversion from recycled to stormwater yields new supply. Applicant noted that recycled supply does not meet the needs of the golf course. New stormwater provides irrigation water with the option for discharge to sewer. Applicant to provide additional clarification on supply benefit.
Community Investment	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> With dry weather reclassification would not be able to claim Flood Benefit. Does not impact score.
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
TOTALS	64	110	To Be Confirmed 64	<ul style="list-style-type: none">