SAFE CLEAN WATER PROGRAM
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## Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs) FY 2020-2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Total SCW Funding Allocated FY20-25</th>
<th>Projects Submitted</th>
<th>Projects Recommended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Program (&gt;85%)</td>
<td>$358.2 M</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Resources Program (≤10%)</td>
<td>$16.4 M</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Studies Program (≤5%)</td>
<td>$4.3 M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$378.9 M</strong></td>
<td><strong>86</strong></td>
<td><strong>61</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watershed Area</th>
<th>Number of IP Projects Recommended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Santa Monica Bay</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Los Angeles River</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower San Gabriel River</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Hondo</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara River</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Santa Monica Bay</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Los Angeles River</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper San Gabriel River</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>41</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs) FY 2020-2021

Distribution by Funding Program (FY 20-25)
- Infrastructure Program: 95%
- Scientific Studies: 4%
- Technical Resources Program: 1%

Overall Scoring Category Distribution
- Water Quality
- Water Supply
- Funds and Community
- Nature Based Solutions
- Community Investment
Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs) FY 2020-2021

• Transmittals were developed to summarize the SIPs for each of the 9 Watershed Areas (available online) and will be presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval in September.

• The suite of 41 Infrastructure Program Projects:
  • Will capture stormwater from over 61,000 acres
  • Reduces numerous pollutants and prioritizes MS4 compliance
  • Includes 37 projects providing at least 3 community investment benefits
  • Leverages nearly $340M in other funding
  • Invests $320M in projects benefiting disadvantaged communities
  • Will be spread across 21 Municipalities
  • Includes 37 projects incorporating natural processes or natural materials
Call for Projects FY 2021-2022

• Call for Projects for FY 21-22 Funding is open now and currently scheduled to close **October 15, 2020**

• Project Module has been updated. Please review each and every form and tool tip carefully and ensure completeness prior to submitting your application(s)

• Sign up for the email list to receive program updates
Call for Projects and Timeline

2020
- Q1: Call For Projects FY 21-22
- Q2: Develop Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs) FY 20-21
- Q3: Solicitation and selection of Watershed Coordinators
- Q4: Review and Board Adoption of SIPs FY 20-21

2021
- Q1: Develop SIPs FY 21-22
- Q2: Call For Projects FY 22-23
- Q3: Review and Board Adoption of SIPs FY 21-22
- Q4: Execute Transfer Agreements and Disburse Funds FY 20-21

- Q1: Review and Board Adoption of SIPs FY 21-22
- Q2: Execute Transfer Agreements and Disburse Funds FY 21-22
- Q3: Develop SIPs FY 22-23
- Q4: Develop SIPs FY 22-23
SCW Program Goals

A. Improve water quality and contribute to attainment of water-quality requirements.
B. Increase drought preparedness by capturing more Stormwater and/or Urban Runoff to store, clean, reuse, and/or recharge groundwater basins.
C. Improve public health by preventing and cleaning up contaminated water, increasing access to open space, providing additional recreational opportunities, and helping communities mitigate and adapt to the effects of climate change through activities such as increasing shade and green space.
D. Leverage other funding sources to maximize SCW Program Goals.
E. Invest in infrastructure that provides multiple benefits.
F. Prioritize Nature-Based Solutions.
G. Provide a spectrum of project sizes from neighborhood to regional scales.

Reference: Section 18.04 of the Safe, Clean Water Program Implementation Ordinance
H. Encourage innovation and adoption of new technologies and practices.
I. Invest in independent scientific research.
J. Provide DAC Benefits, including Regional Program infrastructure investments, that are not less than one hundred and ten percent (110%) of the ratio of the DAC population to the total population in each Watershed Area.
K. Provide Regional Program infrastructure funds benefitting each Municipality in proportion to the funds generated within their jurisdiction, after accounting for allocation of the one hundred and ten percent (110%) return to DACs, to the extent feasible.
L. Implement an iterative planning and evaluation process to ensure adaptive management.
M. Promote green jobs and career pathways.
N. Ensure ongoing operations and maintenance for Projects.

Reference: Section 18.04 of the Safe, Clean Water Program Implementation Ordinance
Regional Program
(50% = ~$142.5M annually)

Municipal Program
(40% = ~$114M annually)

FCD Program
(10% = ~$28.5M annually)

Total Program
(100% = ~$285M annually)
50% Program revenue

Provides funding for Multi-Benefit Watershed-based Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WATERSHED AREA</th>
<th>ANNUAL RETURN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Santa Monica Bay</td>
<td>$17.8 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Los Angeles River</td>
<td>$12.8 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower San Gabriel River</td>
<td>$16.7 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Santa Monica Bay</td>
<td>$1.8 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Hondo</td>
<td>$11.5 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara River</td>
<td>$6.0 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Santa Monica Bay</td>
<td>$18.4 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Los Angeles River</td>
<td>$38.6 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper San Gabriel River</td>
<td>$18.9 Million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regional Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WATERSHED AREA</th>
<th>ANNUAL RETURN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Santa Monica Bay</td>
<td>$17.8 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Los Angeles River</td>
<td>$12.8 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower San Gabriel River</td>
<td>$16.7 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Santa Monica Bay</td>
<td>$1.8 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Hondo</td>
<td>$11.5 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara River</td>
<td>$6.0 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Santa Monica Bay</td>
<td>$18.4 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Los Angeles River</td>
<td>$38.6 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper San Gabriel River</td>
<td>$18.9 Million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Regional Program**

**Not less than 85%: Infrastructure Program**
- To implement Multi-Benefit watershed-based Projects

**Up to 10% Technical Resource Program**
- To provide resources for the development of Feasibility Studies through support from Technical Assistance Teams
- To provide Watershed Coordinators to educate and build capacity in communities and facilitate community and stakeholder engagement

**Up to 5%: Scientific Studies**
- To provide funding for eligible scientific and other activities
Regional Program – Scientific Studies Program

- Watershed Area Steering Committees select activities/Projects
- The District will administer the Scientific Studies Program

Scientific Studies Program

- To provide funding for eligible scientific and other activities, such as but not limited to:
  - Scientific studies
  - Monitoring
  - Modeling
  - Other similar activities
- Must be related to stormwater and urban runoff capture and pollution reduction
Regional Program – Technical Resources Program

- Infrastructure Program
- Technical Resource Program
- Scientific Studies Program
- Watershed Coordinator
- Regional Oversight Committee
- Board of Supervisors
- STORMWATER INVESTMENT PLAN
- Watershed Coordinator
- Technical Assistance Teams
- Fund Transfer Agreement

Flowchart showing the process from Technical Resource Program to Watershed Coordinator, involving Scoring Committee, Project Module, Watershed Area Steering Committees, and Regional Oversight Committee.
Regional Program – Technical Resource Program

01. Project proponent has an idea!
02. Engage with Watershed Coordinator
03. Submit Project Concept
04. WASC selects Project Concept
05. **Technical Assistance Teams** develop feasibility study
06. Use feasibility study to apply for Infrastructure Program

- Feasibility Studies will include the 19 Feasibility Study requirements of an Infrastructure Program application and is expected to be completed within 1-2 years.
- The District has committed to complete feasibility studies for a rate of $300,000 to be approved and budgeted in the SIP. If less, the excess will be returned to the WASC. If more, District will use District Program SCW Funds to cover the excess cost.
- TRP program does not guarantee approval for IP funding by the WASC.
Infrastructure Program – Model Projects

- Dominguez Gap Wetlands
- Elmer Ave Green Street
- Bassett High School
Project Applicants:
• Any entity with a completed Feasibility Study
  • Including Feasibility Studies funded by Technical Resource Program
• Requires Municipal sponsors (MOU)

Projects and Activities:
• Multi-benefit
• Watershed-based
• Design, construction, land acquisition, O&M, programs, and other eligible activities
• Projects to be included in an approved water quality plan such as E/WMP, IRWM, and others
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Infrastructure Program – 19 Feasibility Study Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Detailed description of the proposed Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Description and estimate of the benefits provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Calculated through WMMS in the Project Module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Estimated schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Review of effectiveness of similar types of Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Monitoring plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Infrastructure Program – 19 Feasibility Study Requirements

6. Lifecycle cost estimate and schedule
   - Calculated in the Project Module. Must include ALL project costs.

7. O&M Plan

8. Engineering analysis
   - E.g. soil sampling, geotechnical investigations, hydrology report, etc.

9. Potential CEQA-related and permitting challenges
   - Include associated time requirements and cost.

10. Letter of support from the Municipality
    - Must include concurrence with the plan for O&M
Infrastructure Program – 19 Feasibility Study Requirements

11 Outreach/Engagement Plan

12 Comply with any County-wide displacement goals

13 Vector Minimization Plan
   • Recommend review by local vector control district

14 Description of how Nature-Based Solutions are utilized

15 Summary of any legal requirements or obligations
## Infrastructure Program – 19 Feasibility Study Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Confirmation of conceptual approval from LACFCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Acknowledgement of eligible expenditures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Only those incurred on or after November 6, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Leveraged funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Summary of how project will benefit DACs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Refer to **Feasibility Study Guidelines** at [SafeCleanWaterLA.org](http://SafeCleanWaterLA.org) for more information.
18.07.B.1.c. Only Projects meeting the following criteria shall be submitted to the Scoring Committee for evaluation:
• Projects for which a Feasibility Study (or equivalent) has been completed.
• Projects that are Multi-benefit Projects
• Projects that are included in a regional water management plan (refer to Pathway to Inclusion Document online)
• Projects designed for a minimum useful life of 30 years.
Pathway to an Approved Water Quality Plan

E/WMP Process

- Contact lead Agency for the Watershed Management Programs and Enhanced Watershed Management Programs
- Provide Project information
- New Projects can be included in the Adaptive Management section of the E/WMP annual report or the resubmittal of the WMP and EWMP
- Adaptive Management of the Annual Report is due December 15 of every year. Resubmittal of the WMP/EWMP is allowed at any time, with the next MS4 Permit required submittal in June 2021.

More information:

Pathway to an Approved Water Quality Plan

IRMWP Process

• Project Proponent must sign up through the GLAC IRWM OPTI webpage to become a new OPTI user
  • http://www.lawaterplan.org
• Must complete all required project information fields in the OPTI database
• The OPTI subregion Administrators and IRWM Administrators will be alerted of a new project entry
• Subregion OPTI Administrators may request proponent to attend subregion meeting to present the project to its members and stakeholders and answer any questions presented.
  • If project is determined to support the IRWMP objectives and there are no issues or concerns with the project, the subregion voting members cast vote to accept project as part of the IRWM Plan.
  • Upon approval, the OPTI Administrator completes OPTI information to verifying acceptance of project as part of the IRWM Plan and it becomes eligible for consideration for inclusion in future funding proposals.
All Regional Program Projects must meet the Threshold Score of **60 points or more**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Score Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.1 Wet + Dry Weather Water Quality Benefits</td>
<td>50 points max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-OR-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.2 Dry Weather Only Water Quality Benefits</td>
<td>40 points max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Significant Water Supply Benefits</td>
<td>25 points max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Community Investments Benefits</td>
<td>10 points max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Nature-Based Solutions</td>
<td>15 points max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Leveraging Funds and Community Support</td>
<td>10 points max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>110 points</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lessons Learned

Below is some of the feedback received from the Scoring Committee, WASCs, ROC, and stakeholders following Round 1 Call for Projects:

• More clearly define Community Investment Benefits, Community Support, Nature Based Solutions, DAC benefits, and more.
• Consider implementing a linear scoring system in future years
• Consider restructuring the WQ score to evaluate Projects more fairly
• Evaluate applicability of the WS score
• Conduct additional data mining to better correlate score ranges to submitted Projects.

Additional Guidance Documents are currently in development and the Project Module has been updated to better capture pertinent data. In addition, the Scoring Committee is working with the District in incorporating short-term and long-term recommendations for the Scoring Criteria.
Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs)

**Current Year:**

- 5 year plan
- Assign funding for
  - Infrastructure Program
  - Technical Resource Program
  - Scientific Studies Program
- Budget for current year is transferred to Project Developers subject to the transfer agreement
Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs)

Subsequent 4 Years:

- Conditional funding for full Project cost
- Watershed Area Steering Committees will verify annually:
  - Project schedule, budget, scope and benefits are consistent with initial proposal
- Projects over budget, behind schedule, or reduce scope or benefits may be subject to loss of funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure Program (not less than 85%)</th>
<th>(FY 21-22) Regional Program Budget</th>
<th>(FY 22-23) Projection</th>
<th>(FY 23-24) Projection</th>
<th>(FY 24-25) Projection</th>
<th>(FY 25-26) Projection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Studies (up to 5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Resources Program (up to 10%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility Study 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility Study 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility Study 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watershed Coordinator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SIP Criteria**

A. **Not less than 85%** of the budget shall be allocated to Infrastructure Program activities, **not more than 10%** of the budget shall be allocated to Technical Resource Program activities, and **not more than 5%** of the budget shall be allocated to Scientific Studies Program activities;

B. Projects that assist in achieving compliance with a **MS4 Permit** shall be prioritized, to the extent feasible;

C. Funding for Projects that provide **DAC Benefits shall not be less than one hundred and ten percent (110%)** of the ratio of the DAC population to the total population in each Watershed Area. To facilitate compliance with this requirement, the District will work with stakeholders and Watershed Coordinator(s) to utilize existing tools to identify high-priority geographies for water-quality improvement projects and other projects that create DAC Benefits within DACs, to help inform WASCs as they consider project recommendations;

D. Each **Municipality shall receive benefits in proportion to the funds generated within their jurisdiction**, after accounting for allocation of the one hundred ten percent (110%) return to DACs, to the extent feasible, to be evaluated annually over a rolling five (5) year period;

*Reference: Section 18.07.2 of the Safe, Clean Water Program Implementation Ordinance*
E. A spectrum of **Project types and sizes** shall be implemented throughout the region, to the extent feasible, to be evaluated annually over a rolling five (5) year period;

**F. Nature-Based Solutions** shall be prioritized, to the extent feasible;

G. Projects, Feasibility Studies, scientific and technical studies, and other activities selected for inclusion in a SIP should be recommended to receive funding for their **total estimated costs**, unless a lesser amount has been requested;

**H. Operation and maintenance** costs for any Project may be included in the Infrastructure Program portion of a SIP, whether or not the design and construction of that Project was included in a SIP; and

I. Only Projects that **meet or exceed the Threshold Score** shall be eligible for inclusion in the Infrastructure Program. Projects that receive a score below the Threshold Score may be referred to the Technical Resources Program at the discretion of the Watershed Area Steering Committee.

*Reference: Section 18.07.2 of the Safe, Clean Water Program Implementation Ordinance*
Example Scenarios/Methods

**Infrastructure Program Project Developer (IPPD) desires $30 M over 3 years (design/construction) for Project A; $20 M elsewhere ($50 M total)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>SIP</th>
<th>TOTAL SCW FUNDS REQUESTED</th>
<th>FY 20-21 (Budgeted)</th>
<th>FY 21-22 (Projection)</th>
<th>FY 22-23 (Projection)</th>
<th>FY 23-24 (Projection)</th>
<th>FY 24-25 (Projection)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Project A</td>
<td>$30 M</td>
<td>$10 M</td>
<td>$10 M</td>
<td>$10 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Project A</td>
<td>$10 M</td>
<td>$10 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Project A</td>
<td>$30 M</td>
<td>$10 M</td>
<td>$10 M</td>
<td>$10 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Project A</td>
<td>$30 M</td>
<td>$5 M</td>
<td>$10 M</td>
<td>$15 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Project A</td>
<td>$30 M</td>
<td>$30 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Project A</td>
<td>$30 M</td>
<td>$30 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$30 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FY 21-22 SIP Programming Guidelines

- The 85%/10%/5% ratios, DAC benefits, Municipality benefits, and spectrum of project types and sizes will be evaluated over a rolling 5-yr period.

- District recommends the WASCs set a monetary cap to account for potential lesser revenue due to tax relief programs, to ensure future capacity for new projects and consider contingencies for programmed projects.
• The District has committed to complete feasibility studies for a rate of $300,000 to be approved and budgeted in the SIP.

• A placeholder of $200,000 shall be programmed in the current SIP for watershed coordinator services.
• The District intends to develop and include additional guidelines for FY 21-22 which will be available for public review.
  • Additional guidance is expected to address the ability for WASCs to recommend partial funding, DAC benefit determinations, community engagement, application of the Nature-Based Solutions matrix, and more.
  • Once available, adopted guidance would inform governance committee discussions, begin establishing standard terminology, and be fully available for Round 3 considerations.
Regional Program - Fund Transfer Agreements

• Recipient shall submit the scope of work described in Exhibit A within 45-days after approval of the SIP.

• Funds are disbursed within 45-days of receipt of the signed executed transfer agreement

• Sample Transfer Agreement available on SCW website. Actual Transfer Agreement will be provided by the District for signature.

• Exhibit A – Scope of Work
• Exhibit B – General Terms and Conditions
• Exhibit C – Special Conditions
• Exhibit D – Addendum to Agreement
• Exhibit E – Nature-Based Solutions (Best Management Practices)
• Exhibit F – Operations and Maintenance Guidance Document
RESOURCES:
- Feasibility Study Guidelines
- GIS Reference Map
- Fund Transfer Agreement
- FY20-21 SIPs
Projects Module Updates

General Information

- Overview includes brief description and **selection of phases** for which funding will be used
- Project description requests **executive summary**
- Separate field for applicable water management plan

DAC Benefits

- Additional questions to clarify **DAC benefits and engagement** to date

Capture Area

- New table to provide **breakdown of municipalities** upstream of the project
- New request to provide a **shapefile** with the project capture area
Projects Module Updates

Water Quality
- Requests information on applicable Time Schedule Orders

Community Investment and Nature-Based Solutions
- **New tooltips** based upon recommendations from the Scoring Committee with recommendations on the type of information that should be provided to justify points under each benefit

Local Support
- Additional **questions and tooltips** regarding local support for the project and outreach accomplished to date
Projects Module Updates

Cost Share
- Several updates to **funding breakdown** and **cost share calculations**
- New dropdown to specify the **status** of cost share funding (Committed, Received, etc.)

CEQA and Permitting
- Additional questions regarding **CEQA and permitting**

Additional Enhancements
- Ability to **navigate to subsections** from the Table of Contents and Scoring Page
- Auto-calculation of remove permeable area percentage for Nature-based Solutions
- Auto-calculation of cost share percentage
Project Module Demo

Welcome to Safe Clean Water Regional Program Projects Module

Login

Email
Password

Sign Up
Login
Forgot Password?
Questions?

www.SafeCleanWaterLA.org

SafeCleanWaterLA@pw.lacounty.gov

833-ASK-SCWP