



CITY OF LA VERNE CITY HALL

3660 "D" Street, LaVerne, California 91750

March 19, 2018

The Honorable Sheila Kuehl
Chair, Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
821 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 W. Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
VIA Email: sheila@bos.lacounty.gov

Re: Comments on the development of the Safe, Clean Water Program Expenditure Program


Dear Supervisor Kuehl:

The City of La Verne appreciates the Board of Supervisors leadership on the development of the Safe, Clean Water Program and the extended efforts to engage cities in the stakeholder process to draft a parcel tax for stormwater compliance programs, drought preparedness, water quality, and water sustainability.

There is no question that a funding source is needed to assist the County and cities in meeting their currently unfunded obligations under their respective 2012 municipal separate storm sewer permits (MS4) which contain the most stringent water quality requirements in the country. The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works has estimated that the total countywide capital costs to comply with the permits exceeds \$20 billion. In the City of La Verne the costs to comply with our permit is estimated at \$125,000,000

Given our generally unfunded permit liability, our city has a vested interest in securing new funding sources for stormwater. We strongly believe that the following elements are critical components in the development of an effective Safe, Clean Water Program Expenditure Plan:

- 1. All funds should be dedicated to MS4 permit compliance with priority on TMDLs, WMPs, and EWMPs.** Unfunded obligations exceed \$20 billion in Los Angeles County and the cost of non-compliance (penalties and third-party lawsuits) to cities and the county can be extremely costly. Taxpayer funds should be used to meet state and federal requirements.
- 2. Cities should control disposition of their allocated funds.** AB 1180 provides



General Administration 909/596-8726 • Water Customer Service 909/596-8744 • Parks & Community Services 909/596-8700
Public Works 909/596-8741 • Finance 909/596-8716 • Planning 909/596-8706 • Building 909/596-8713
Fax 909/596-8737

clear authority regarding the purposes for which Safe, Clean Water Program (Program) funds are being collected and can be used. City council members are in the best position to determine use of the funds allocated to their cities in accordance with Program purposes as outlined in AB 1180, as they are accountable to their constituents, understand local geography and capacity, and bear the ultimate responsibility to minimize their city's liability for compliance with the MS4 permit. Regular audits will ensure Program funds are appropriately used.

3. **Clear definition of Regional Projects.** The 50% allocation for the implementation, operation, maintenance, and administration of watershed-based projects and programs must provide clear definitions for what constitutes a regional project and should prioritize cost-effective projects that maximize water clean-up, re-use and capture. Often this will be accomplished through use of existing infrastructure to convey stormwater to appropriate locations for infiltration. The minimum requirements for projects should consider sustainability measures like water reuse. The distribution of regional allocations should be sensitive to the unique and diverse constitution of each WMP and EWMP.
4. **Provide credit or “opt-in/out” mechanisms to cities with existing voter-approved stormwater fees.** Taxpayers in communities that have already initiated local revenue sources for stormwater clean-up to meet their MS4 obligations should have an option not to participate in the Safe, Clean Water Program, conditioned upon their agreement that they won't benefit from Program revenues, or should be allowed to partially participate as appropriate to credit existing fees.
5. **Strict transparency, accountability, and governance.** Allocations in each funding category should be based on sound financial calculations and reflect real costs; funds in excess of these calculations, particularly related to the 10% for LACFCD implementation and administration should be dedicated back to stormwater projects and programs. A governance structure to provide strong oversight must have adequate city representation.
6. **Basin Plan Update.** The plan hasn't been updated since 1994; it is outdated, and was never intended to address stormwater, especially through numeric limits and TMDLs. As a result, compliance will cost billions of dollars more than it should. It is not fair to ask taxpayers to fund a plan that fails to address modern water quality issues, including incorporating new data and science. We concur with BizFed's recommendation that \$25-\$30 million dollars should be allocated from the 10% reserved for LAFCD administration to allow the Regional Water Quality Control Board to update the plan.

Based on previous County efforts on this issue, we know that voters expect strict accountability, equitable distribution, and visible returns on their investment, particularly when they have already been generous in their very recent approval of local revenue

measures to fund homelessness solutions, parks, and transportation. We believe these elements listed above support these expectations.

Again, we appreciate the Board's efforts to engage stakeholders, including city officials, in the development of the Safe, Clean Water Expenditure Plan. We look forward to providing further input to the Board and other stakeholders to craft a measure that is equitable, cost-effective, funds MS4 compliance and augments local water supplies.

Sincerely,



Don Kendrick
Mayor

CC: Kathryn Barger
Katy Young, Office of Supervisor Sheila Keuhl, kyoung@bos.lacounty.gov
Russ Bryden, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works,
rbryden@dpw.lacounty.gov
Leslie Friedman-Johnson, CNRG, leslie@CNRGCalifornia.com
Jennifer Quan, League of California Cities, jquan@cacities.org