

Scoring Committee

Meeting Minutes



Monday, September 28, 2026

9:00am – 12:00pm

WebEx Hybrid Meeting

LA County Public Works Headquarters

1st Floor (Courtyard) Conference Room C, 900 S. Fremont Ave, Alhambra, CA 91803

Committee Members Present:

Greg Pierce, UCLA Luskin Center for Innovation (Water Supply)

Esther Rojas, Water Replenishment District (Water Supply/Community Investments/Nature-Based Solutions)

David Diaz, Active SGV (Community Investments)

Dave Sorem, Mike Bubalo Construction Co. Inc. (Water Quality)

TJ Moon, Los Angeles County Public Works (Water Quality), Vice-Chair

Committee Members Absent:

See attached sign-in sheet for full list of attendees.

1) Welcome and Introductions

TJ Moon, Vice-Chair of the Scoring Committee, welcomed Committee Members and called the meeting to order. Committee Members introduced themselves, and a quorum was established. Los Angeles County Public Works (Public Works) staff conducted a brief tutorial on WebEx.

2) Approval of Meeting Minutes from July 13, 2026

Public Works staff presented the meeting minutes from the July 13 meeting. Member David Diaz motioned to approve the July 13 meeting minutes, seconded by Member Greg Pierce. The Committee voted to approve the meeting minutes, with five votes in favor (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

3) Committee Member and Program Updates

- Public Works staff provided updates, noting: At their June and July meetings, the Regional Oversight Committee (ROC) recommended all nine Fiscal Year (FY) 2026-2027 (26-27) Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs) for approval by the Board of Supervisors.
- The SIPs are anticipated to go to the Board of Supervisors for approval in the Fall.
- The 2026 Call for Projects for the FY27-28 Stormwater Investment Plan ended on July 31st.
- Program-wide: 15 Infrastructure Projects (IPs), 11 Technical Resources Program Project Concepts (TRPs), and 12 Scientific Studies (SS) were submitted.
- Post-Construction Monitoring Guidance is now available on the Safe, Clean Water (SCW) Program website.
- This guidance provides a flexible and consistent framework for post-construction monitoring and maintenance of SCW Program projects, covering Water Quality Benefits, Water Supply Benefits, and Operations & Maintenance (O&M).
- It outlines practical triggers, recommended actions, and long-term adaptive management strategies to support sustained project performance and the achievement of intended project benefits over time.
- The Strategic Advancement Section received the Initial Draft Scoring Framework Options Memo on September 9th, which contains five Initial Concepts.
- This document will undergo an iterative review process to select three of the five concepts, before ultimately setting on the final concept.
- The 30-Day Public Comment Period is anticipated for early 2027.

Scoring Committee

Meeting Minutes



Upon inquiry, Public Works staff confirmed that Program-wide, 15 IP projects were submitted, including both design and construction-phase projects.

4) Ex Parte Communication Disclosure

Vice-Chair Moon disclosed a meeting with Los Angeles County Flood Control District regarding their sediment removal project application.

5) Public Comment Period

There were no public comments.

6) Presentations and Discussion Items

a. Roles and Responsibilities of Scoring Committee

Mike Antos (Stantec, Regional Coordination) presented the Roles and Responsibilities of the Scoring Committee. Full presentation slides are available on the [SCW Program Website](#).

Vice-Chair Moon asked if the project benefits displayed in the presentation are for built, modeled, planned, or monitored projects. Antos clarified that the data given in dark color represent projects constructed according to their design; actual project benefits will be updated as monitoring data becomes available.

Member Dave Sorem asked when the 55 projects mentioned would begin construction. Public Works staff clarified that construction for these projects is projected to begin in the next two fiscal years.

Member Diaz asked what the best method would be for the Scoring Committee to receive a status report on fund Transfer Agreements, the estimated timeline for execution, and what causes the long turnaround time. Public Works staff clarified the SCWP staff has visibility on the status of the Transfer Agreements and can provide updates at the request of the Committee. The lead time required to provide these updates will vary by project, as it depends on when project developers provide the necessary documentation, but an average timeframe would be four to five months.

b. Selection of Chair, Co-Chairs, and/or Vice-Chair.

Mike Antos provided a brief overview of the roles and responsibilities of the Chair and Vice Chair. Presentation slides are available on the [SCW Program Website](#). Vice-Chair Moon shared insights from his experience as Vice-Chair.

Public Works staff shared that Bruce Reznik, previous chair of the Scoring Committee, has stepped down from the Committee. Member Diaz asked when the vacancy will be filled. Public Works staff stated that the search for a sixth Committee Member is underway.

Member Sorem nominated Vice-Chair Moon as Chair, and Vice-Chair Moon accepted the nomination. Member Sorem expressed interest in serving as Vice-Chair, and Member Rojas expressed support.

c. Summary of FY27-28 Infrastructure Program Project Applications

The Regional Coordination team presented the Summary of FY27-28 Infrastructure Program Project Applications. Full presentation slides are available on the [SCW Program Website](#).

Upon inquiry, Public Works staff confirmed that instructions for reviewing project applications were previously emailed to the Scoring Committee but agreed to resend them.

Vice-Chair Moon inquired about the intent of the memo from the Los Angeles County Flood Control District Deputy Director to the Scoring Committee regarding the ongoing scoring of pilots. Antos clarified that in previous years, the Scoring Committee elected to shift their evaluation between the various scoring rubrics, which occasionally differed from the category selected by the project applicant. However, moving forward, the Scoring Committee is to provide scoring on the rubric category selected by the project applicant regardless of whether the project is better suited to a different rubric.

Scoring Committee Meeting Minutes



d. Overview of Scoring Schedule for FY27-28 Infrastructure Program Project Applications

Public Works staff presented the Overview of Scoring Schedule for FY27-28 Infrastructure Program Project Applications. Full presentation slides are available on the [SCW Program Website](#). The Scoring schedule is available on the [SCW Program Website](#).

Public Works staff asked the Committee to confirm availability for the meeting planned for October 19, 2026. The Committee responded affirmatively.

Upon inquiry, Public Works staff confirmed that project developers are notified of the Scoring schedule as meeting dates approach.

e. Scoring of FY27-28 Infrastructure Program Projects

The Regional Coordination Team provided a brief overview of the Scoring Rubric and each project. Full presentation slides are available on the [SCW Program Website](#). The Scoring Committee discussed three projects: the Old Orchard Park Stormwater Capture Project, the Brookside Park Stormwater Capture Project, and the Fernangeles Park Stormwater Capture Project. The results and key points of these discussions are summarized in the following tables.

Antos provided a brief overview of the Old Orchard Park Stormwater Capture Project.

Project: Old Orchard Park Stormwater Capture Project			WASC(s): Santa Clara River (SCR)
Application Type: Design Only			Captures: Dry Weather
Water Quality Scoring Pilot: Yes			
Water Supply Scoring Pilot: Yes			
Community Investment Benefit Scoring Pilot: Yes			
Category	Applicant Score	Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Part 1	20	20	See below
Water Quality Part 2	20	20	See below
Water Supply Part 1	4	4	See below
Water Supply Part 2	5	5	See below
Community Investment	6	6	See below
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	See below
Leveraging Funds Part	0	0	
Community Support	2	2	See below
TOTAL	67	67	
Conclusion: The Project received 67 points and will move to the WASC for consideration.			
Discussion:			

Scoring Committee

Meeting Minutes



- Water Quality:
 - Vice-Chair Moon noted that the Project is a large dry weather project with a drainage area over 1000 acres and capacity of 8.5 acre-feet. Vice-Chair Moon stated that the Project is not a typical low flow diversion project and is classified as a dry weather project due to the large drainage area.
 - Upon inquiry, Pauline Nguyen (Craftwater) confirmed an average dry weather inflow of 0.015 cubic feet per second (cfs), with a peak flow of 20 cfs.
 - Antos commented that the Project is denoted as dry weather under the Safe, Clean Water Program even though it might be designed to handle wet weather flows. Vice-Chair Moon emphasized the Project's addressed pollutant load and infiltration rates.
 - Vice-Chair Moon noted the Project applicant provided a geotechnical study as well as various parameters used in modeling. Vice-Chair Moon confirmed that the preliminary numbers provided are acceptable for the design phase.
- Water Supply:
 - Member Esther Rojas noted agreement with the applicant's Water Supply scores, noting the Project provides benefits by infiltrating captured stormwater for groundwater recharge.
 - Upon inquiry, Nguyen noted that the Project is not applying a nesting factor because the Project is not located upstream of one of the areas listed for the Santa Clara River Watershed Area. Antos confirmed that a nesting factor would not apply to this Project because it is not upstream of existing projects that capture water. Vice-Chair Moon asked Public Works staff to confirm the intent of nesting factors. Later in the discussion, Antos and Public Works staff confirmed that nesting factors are intended to account for downstream capture projects, citing page 18 of the Supplemental Guidance.
- Community Investment:
 - Member Pierce clarified that the pilot Scoring Criteria were being followed.
 - Member Pierce acknowledged that the Project would create lighting. Member Pierce noted that the number of new trees would be small and that the applicant provided little detail for the community investment criteria. Member Pierce requested more detail for Community Investment Benefits (CIBs).
 - Nguyen shared that the eastern part of the park was recently renovated, so the City has been focusing CIBs on that side of the park (separate from the Project). Nguyen noted that the west side of the park, including the Project location, was reserved for stormwater benefits. Nguyen noted that the client wanted to focus on lighting for the pedestrian paseo that connects the commercial east side of the area to the residential communities in the west side of the area. Nguyen noted that efforts have been made to add landscaping and trees wherever possible on the west side, because community investment improvements have already been made on the east side. Haley Lawton (City of Santa Clarita) commented that the City views the recent renovations to the eastern part of the park and the Stormwater Capture Project as two parts of one project, with most of the CIBs coming from the recent renovations.
 - Member Diaz highlighted Member Pierce's request for more information on where CIBs are coming from specifically for this Project. Member Diaz commented that the additional lighting provided by this Project would constitute a strong CIB, as lighting is a common item requested at parks for increased safety during recreation. Member Diaz proposed a score of six for Community Investment with the stipulation that future applications should include more detail. Member Pierce agreed.

Scoring Committee

Meeting Minutes



- Nature-Based Solutions:
 - Member Diaz highlighted the proposed 7% reduction of impermeable surfaces, new trees, soils enhancements, and vegetation and landscaping throughout, and agreed with the applicant score of ten points.
- Leveraging Funds:
 - No points were requested by the applicant for Leveraging Funds.
- Community Support:
 - Member Diaz highlighted the planned engagement by the Project proponents and the outreach plan that will be implemented as the Project progresses. Member Diaz agreed with the applicant score of two points based on the current Scoring Guidance.

Member David Diaz recused from scoring the Brookside Park Stormwater Capture Project because ActiveSGV provided a letter of support. Antos provided a brief overview of the Brookside Park Stormwater Capture Project.

Project: Brookside Park Stormwater Capture Project		WASC(s): Upper Los Angeles River (ULAR)	
Application Type: Construction and O&M		Captures: Wet Weather	
Water Quality Scoring Pilot: Yes			
Water Supply Scoring Pilot: Yes			
Community Investment Benefit Scoring Pilot: Yes			
Category	Applicant Score	Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Part 1	12	Unable to Score	See below
Water Quality Part 2	30	Unable to Score	See below
Water Supply Part 1	4	Unable to Score	See below
Water Supply Part 2	6	Unable to Score	See below
Community Investment	6	6	
Nature-Based Solutions	14	14	
Leveraging Funds Part	6	6	See below
Community Support	4	4	
TOTAL	82	Unable to Score	
Conclusion:			
Discussion:			
• <u>Water Quality:</u>			

Scoring Committee

Meeting Minutes



- Vice-Chair Moon requested clarification on the Project's drainage area and capture capacity. Vice-Chair Moon noted that the drainage area for the Project is presented as 1,166 acres, but the drainage area provided in the application is only 145 acres. Dawn Petschauer (City of Pasadena) confirmed the drainage area to be 1,166 acres and noted that the 145 acres may be referring to the surface flows that the proposed 10-foot planter, structural soil, new trees, and permeable concrete would capture. Yvana Hrovat (Black and Veatch) noted that the 145-acre drainage area was the portion of the drainage area for which GIS shapefiles were available, but a hydrologic and hydraulic study was conducted for the entire 1,166 drainage area and is included in the application. Petschauer noted that a GIS shapefile is now available for the entire drainage area and will be provided. Vice-Chair Moon noted that the erroneous 145-acre drainage area will have had a cascading effect on calculations throughout the application portal. Vice-Chair Moon commented that the application will need to be updated to properly score the Project. Petschauer agreed to update the application.
- Vice-Chair Moon requested an explanation for the claimed 15 acre-foot capacity, given the 6 acre-foot storage unit and 1 inch per hour infiltration rate. Petschauer noted that the Project is infiltrating and filtering. Petschauer added that the design includes two jellyfish filters, which would allow a large treatment volume. Vice-Chair Moon noted that the jellyfish filters are not noted in the Best Management Practice (BMP) section of the application. Petschauer clarified that 9.5 acre-feet of water would be treated by the jellyfish filters and returned to the Arroyo Seco, while 5.6 acre-feet would be infiltrated. Vice-Chair Moon requested that the application be revised to clarify these items, rather than presenting them in attachments/appendices.
- Upon inquiry, Petschauer clarified that flow would be diverted from the 23 cfs Seco Street drain into a 30-inch storm drain line. From there, flow would move to the infiltration structure, while overflow would go to filtration. Member Sorem noted that more than the 85th percentile storm will likely need to be processed. Petschauer noted that this is the reason for the second jellyfish filter, which also reduced overall size of the gallery. Petschauer noted that the community has expressed desire to see a small Project footprint so that the area can retain as many parking spaces as possible.
- Vice-Chair Moon noted that this section of the application is incomplete and needs to be revised before scoring.
- Water Supply:
 - Member Rojas noted that no entities would receive a water right for the water diverted to the Arroyo Seco.
 - Unable to be scored.
- Community Investment:
 - Member Pierce commented that the four community investments claimed by the applicant were well articulated and a score of six is unobjectionable.
- Nature-Based Solutions:
 - Member Pierce requested clarification from the Project applicant on how they landed on a score of 14. Member Pierce commented that a percentage of the Project area to be covered by new, climate-appropriate vegetation was not included in the application. Petschauer clarified that community support for nature-based solutions that do not impact parking availability was strong, so low impact development (LID) techniques such as permeable pavement between new trees in the parking area and a 10-foot wide planter on the northern portion of the parking lot were included in the design. Petschauer noted that eight parking spaces would be relocated as a part of the Project. Petschauer shared that the planter would

Scoring Committee

Meeting Minutes



utilize natural materials. Hrovat added that previously-untreated runoff from impermeable areas of the parking lot are proposed to be treated by the new bioretention area. - Member Pierce noted that the Project could potentially be marked down for the lack of Project area covered by new, climate appropriate vegetation but agreed that a score of 14 is appropriate. <u>Leveraging Funds:</u> - Vice-Chair Moon noted the Project has been awarded a \$16.75 million Caltrans grant. Petschauer clarified the grant amount is \$16.875 million. Vice-Chair Moon noted the application states \$16.75 million and will need to be updated. <u>Community Support:</u> - Member Pierce noted strong letters of community support for the Project.
--

Antos presented a brief overview of the Fernangeles Park Stormwater Capture Project.

Project: Fernangeles Park Stormwater Capture Project		WASC(s): Upper Los Angeles River (ULAR)	
Application Type: O&M Only		Captures: Wet Weather	
Water Quality Scoring Pilot: Yes			
Water Supply Scoring Pilot: Yes			
Community Investment Benefit Scoring Pilot: Yes			
Category	Previous Scoring Committee Score	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Part 1	20	Unable to Score	
Water Quality Part 2	30	Unable to Score	
Water Supply Part 1	0	Unable to Score	
Water Supply Part 2	5	Unable to Score	
Community Investment	5	Unable to Score	
Nature-Based Solutions	15	Unable to Score	
Leveraging Funds Part	6	Unable to Score	
Community Support	4	Unable to Score	
TOTAL	85	Unable to Score	
Conclusion:			
Discussion:			
<u>Water Quality:</u>			

Scoring Committee

Meeting Minutes



- Unable to be scored.
- Water Supply:
 - Unable to be scored.
- Community Investment:
 - Unable to be scored.
- Nature-Based Solutions:
 - Unable to be scored.
- Leveraging Funds:
 - Unable to be scored.
- Community Support:
 - Unable to be scored.

Member Diaz inquired if there are pilot Scoring Criteria for O&M-only projects. Public Works staff responded that the application process for O&M-only projects has been streamlined and scoring from previous project phases will carry over, but the Scoring Committee is to verify the information in the O&M application compared to the original application. Vice-Chair Moon commented that Scoring Criteria have evolved significantly from 2021, when this Project was originally funded. Vice-Chair Moon expressed the intent to honor the original scoring. Member Diaz inquired what Scoring Criteria will look like for future O&M-only projects. Public Works stated O&M applications have been streamline and the intent is for the scoring committee to validate the previous score based on the progress on the project and/or verify the project if there have been major changes to the originally scored project. Member Rojas commented that for this year, it is fair to honor scores based on past criteria. Member Pierce suggested that it would be more helpful for projects requesting only O&M funding to provide a brief status update.

Vice-Chair Moon commented that final design plans must be submitted for O&M applications and noted that the Fernangeles Park Stormwater Capture Project team did not provide plans. Ryan Aghakhani (LADWP) stated that the first final design sheet was provided and that the full design set is available upon request. Aghakhani stated that the full set was not uploaded due to security concerns. The Scoring Committee responded that this should not be a concern and the Project proponents should provide the full final design set and a one-page project update. Antos inquired whether the project update could be extracted from what O&M applicants submit in the application portal or if it must be submitted as a separate document. Public Works staff responded that the application does include updates but is likely not to the level of detail the Scoring Committee would want to see. Aghakhani responded that the applicant team will provide the one-page update. Aghakhani provided updates verbally, noting that the Project has been awarded, and a pre-construction community meeting and groundbreaking meeting will be held in October 2026. Public Works staff noted that any major changes to the Project since it received the original SCW Program funding should be captured in the one-page update.

Vice-Chair Moon suggested that the O&M funding application should be updated so the Committee can verify that a project achieves the specific goals it outlined in previous application phases. Vice-Chair Moon added that monitoring data should be a part of the O&M application.

Member Sorem commented that the application estimates \$45 million for construction costs and asked if construction bids were near this figure. Aghakhani responded that bids were lower than awarded funding. Member Sorem requested a more detailed breakdown of requested O&M funding. Vice-Chair Moon added that a database of requested O&M funding breakdowns for O&M-only applications would be valuable for future applicants. Aghakhani responded that the applicant team could provide a breakdown. Member Diaz

Scoring Committee

Meeting Minutes



asked for clarification on what funding request breakdown was provided in this application. Aghakhani and other members of the Scoring Committee confirmed that a lump sum for operations cost and a lump sum for maintenance costs were provided.

Vice-Chair Moon highlighted that the original application specified an annual O&M cost of \$9,800, while the current application specifies a cost \$746,000 for annual O&M. Peter Tonthat (LADWP) commented that the Project team reached out to LACFCD for a breakdown of O&M costs, which can be provided to the Scoring Committee.

The Scoring Committee requested the Project return in December for rescoring with the full final design plans, a one-page progress update, and a breakdown of requested O&M costs. Tonthat noted that the Project first applied to the SCW Program before the COVID-19 pandemic, and O&M costs have increased significantly since then. Vice-Chair Moon commented that the original construction cost estimate for this Project was also significantly lower than the current construction cost. Antos suggested that the documentation LADWP received from LACFCD on O&M costs could be provided to the Scoring Committee; Member Sorem agreed. Antos commented that some WASCs have been challenging applicants to design with O&M in mind. Vice-Chair Moon stated that the Scoring Committee intends to honor the original Project scoring contingent on receiving the requested documentation from the applicant.

7) Public Comment Period

There were no public comments.

8) Voting Items

a. Selection of Chair, Co-Chairs, and/or Vice Chair

Member Rojas motioned to elect current Vice-Chair Moon for Chair and current Member Sorem for Vice-Chair, seconded by Member Diaz. The motion passed with five votes in favor.

b. Send scorable projects receiving a passing score to Watershed Area Steering Committees

Member Rojas motioned to send the Old Orchard Stormwater Capture Project to the WASC, seconded by Member Sorem. The motion passed with five votes in favor.

c. Allow Project Applicants with unscorable projects one week to provide clarifying information to Scoring Committee

Member Sorem motioned to allow the Brookside Park Stormwater Capture Project applicant one week to provide clarifying information to the Scoring Committee, seconded by Member Rojas. The motion was approved with four votes in favor. Member Diaz recused from the vote.

Member Diaz motioned to allow the Fernangeles Park Stormwater Capture Project applicant one week to provide clarifying information to the Scoring Committee, seconded by Member Sorem. The motion was approved with five votes in favor.

9) Items for Next Agenda

The next meeting is tentatively set for Monday, October 19th, 2026. The next meeting will include the following agenda items:

a. Scoring of FY27-28 Infrastructure Program Projects

10) Adjournment

Vice-Chair Moon thanked Committee Members, staff, and the Public and adjourned the meeting.

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2027-2028



Project Name	Old Orchard Park Stormwater Capture Project				
Project Lead	Santa Clarita		Watershed Area	Santa Clara River	
Total Funding Requested	\$1,972,000.00		Phase Requested	Design Only	
Previously Funded IP Project	No		Project Type Scoring Method	Dry	
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes	WS Scoring Pilot	Yes	CIB Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Maximum Points	Original Score (No Pilots)	Applicant Score	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	20	>200-acre drainage area - Dry weather flow 0.015 CFS for preliminary Design
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	20	20	20	
Water Supply – Part 1	13	0	4	4	Captured stormwater infiltrated for groundwater recharge
Water Supply – Part 2	12	2	5	5	
Community Investment	10	5	6	6	- Small number of trees (9 new) - Lighting is not in significant amounts - Details on community engagement & support should be more robust
Nature-Based Solutions	15	10	10	10	Planting new trees and improvement on landscaping
Leveraging Funds	6	0	0	0	
Community Support	4	2	2	2	Outreach plan provided
TOTAL	110	59	67	67	

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2027-2028



Project Name	Brookside Park Stormwater Capture Project				
Project Lead	Pasadena	Watershed Area		Upper Los Angeles River	
Total Funding Requested	\$6,652,989.00	Phase Requested		Construction and O&M Funding	
Previously Funded IP Project	Yes	Project Type Scoring Method		Wet	
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes	WS Scoring Pilot	Yes	CIB Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Maximum Points	Original Score (No Pilots)	Applicant Score	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	20	11	12	Unable to Score	1,166-acre drainage area, 149 ac within application. Affects pollution reduction efficiency. - Committee requesting applicant to update the shapefile of drainage area - Flow-through BMPs not included in application.
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	Unable to Score	
Water Supply – Part 1	13	0	4	Unable to Score	
Water Supply – Part 2	12	2	6	Unable to Score	
Community Investment	10	5	6	6	
Nature-Based Solutions	15	14	14	14	
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	CalTrans grant \$16.875M
Community Support	4	4	4	4	
TOTAL	110	72	82	Unable to Score	

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2027-2028



Project Name	Fernangeles Park Stormwater Capture Project				
Project Lead	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power		Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River	
Total Funding Requested	\$3,730,000.00		Phase Requested	O&M	
Previously Funded IP Project	Yes		Project Type Scoring Method	Wet	
WQ Scoring Pilot		WS Scoring Pilot		CIB Scoring Pilot	

Scoring Section	Maximum Points	Original Score (No Pilots)	Previous Scoring Committee Score	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	Unable to Score	Final Design documents needed with a one-page update on what has been completed
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	Unable to Score	
Water Supply – Part 1	13	6	0	Unable to Score	
Water Supply – Part 2	12	5	5	Unable to Score	
Community Investment	10	10	5	Unable to Score	Line-item breakdown of annual O&M cost estimate needed
Nature-Based Solutions	15	15	15	Unable to Score	
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	Unable to Score	
Community Support	4	4	4	Unable to Score	
TOTAL	110	96	85	Unable to Score	

SCORING COMMITTEE MEETING - September 28, 2026							
Member Type	Quorum Present		Voting Items				
	Member	Present?	7/13/26 Meeting Minutes	Vote for TJ Moon for Chair and Dave Sorem for Vice-Chair of the Scoring Committee	From today, 9/28/26, send Old Orchard Park receiving a passing score to WASCs	From today, 9/28/2026, allow Project Applicant of Brookside Park 1 week to provide clarifying information to Scoring Committee	From today, 9/28/2026, allow Project Applicant of Fernangeles Park 1 week to provide clarifying information to Scoring Committee
Community Investments	Gregory Scott Pierce	x	y	y	y	y	y
Water Supply / Community Investments / Nature-Based Solutions	Esther Rojas	x	y	y	y	y	y
Nature-Based Solutions / Community Investments	David Diaz	x	y	y	y	R	y
Nature-Based Solutions / Community Investments / Water Quality							
Water Quality	Dave Sorem	x	y	y	y	y	y
Water Quality	TJ Moon	x	y	y	y	y	y
Total Non-Vacant Seats	5	Yay (Y)	5	5	5	4	5
Total Voting Members Present	5	Nay (N)	0	0	0	0	0
		Abstain (A)	0	0	0	0	0
		Recused (R)	0	0	0	1	0
		Total	5	5	5	5	5
			Approved	Approved	Approved	Approved	Approved

Attendees



Scoring Committee Meeting September 28, 2026

Alexander Iglesias Rosales (LACPW)	Jason Jade Pepito	Merrill Taylor (Craftwater)
Alonso Garcia	Jay Healy	Michael Ku
Amanda Begley	Jenna Cobb	Mike Antos (Regional Coord)
Anthony Barreras	Joshua Montion	Noor Al Riyami (B&V)
Becca Ayala	Justin Jones	Pearl Azizian
Brett Perry	Kevin Te	Peter Tonthat
Chloe Ebright (JLHA)	Kristina Kreter	Ryan Aghakhani
Chris Zabalza	Larry Tran	Ryan Bennett - Craftwater
Claire Barr (LACPW)	Leslie Martinez	Scoring Committee AV
Conor Mossavi	Linnet Khechatoorian	Tara Dales
Curtis Fang	Maggie Gardner	Thom Epps
David Tan	Manuel Gonzalez (LACPW)	Timothy Lim
Emma Nguyen	Marisela Velasquez	Uriel Cobian
Eric Bonilla (LACPW)	Mayra Cabrera	Valeria Mena
Eric Wilson, TreePeople	Mei-Lin Hanna (JLHA)	Wayne Lee
Grey Crisanto (LACPW)	Melanie Rivera	Wendy Chau
Haley Lawton	Melina Watts (Consultant)	Yvana Hrovat (BV)
James Carpenter - SCWP		

Scoring Committee Meeting

COMMITTEE MEMBER SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization	Email Address	Signature
Bruce Reznik	LA Waterkeeper	bruce@lawaterkeeper.org	
Dave Sorem	Mike Bubalo Construction Co., Inc.	dave@bubalo.com	
David Diaz	Active SGV	david@activesgv.org	
Esther Rojas	Water Replenishment District	erojas@ wrd.org	
Gregory Scott Pierce	UCLA	gpierce@luskin.ucla.edu	
Taejin Moon	LA County Public Works	TMOON@dpw.lacounty.gov	

Scoring Committee Meeting

PUBLIC SIGN-IN



**SAFE
CLEAN
WATER**

First Name	Last Name	Municipality/Organization	Email Address
Pauline 4	Nguyen	Craftwater	
Dawn	Petschauer	City of Pasadena	
JEFF	KHUN	CITY OF PASADENA	

*Signing or completing this form is voluntary for members of the public

September 28, 2026