



**SAFE
CLEAN
WATER
PROGRAM**

**Stormwater
Investment Plan
Lower Los Angeles
River Watershed
Area**

Fiscal Year 2026-2027





Stormwater Investment Plan

Lower Los Angeles River Watershed Area

The Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP) is an annual five-year plan developed by each Safe, Clean Water Program (SCWP) Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) that recommends funding allocations for Projects and Programs in the Regional Program’s Infrastructure Program, Technical Resources Program, and Scientific Studies Program.

The purpose of the SIP is to determine recommended programming for the upcoming fiscal year as well as anticipated recommendations for the next four subsequent years.

The following sections include details regarding the recommended SIP:

1	Executive Summary	3
2	Summary of Anticipated Watershed Area Benefits	4
3	Recommended FY26-27 SIP	7
	3.1 Newly Recommended IP Projects, TRP Project Concepts and SS	7
	3.2 Continuing IP Projects, TRP Project Concepts, and SS.....	8
	3.2.1 Project Modification Requests	10
	3.2.2 Funds Returned to the WASC	11
4	SIP Development Process and Considerations	11
	4.1 Ordinance and Guideline Compliance Summary	12
	4.1.1 Scoring.....	12
	4.1.2 Regional Program Allocations	12
	4.1.3 Disadvantaged Communities (DAC) Benefits.....	12
	4.1.4 Monetary Cap and Leveraged Funding.....	13
	4.1.5 Long Term Planning Considerations.....	14

4.2 Summary of SIP Discussion 15

 4.2.1 Summary of Public Comment 16

5 Key Focus Areas for Future Call for Projects.....16


6 Next Steps.....21

Attachments:

- Attachment A – Final Recommended SIP
- Attachment B – Summary of All Recommended SIPs to Date
- Attachment C – Project Modification Requests Forms

1 Executive Summary

The Lower Los Angeles River (LLAR) WASC requests that the ROC advance the recommended Fiscal Year 2026-2027 (FY26-27) SIP to the Board of Supervisors for approval. The recommended SIP includes funding for two new Scientific Studies (SS), all continuing projects, and the Watershed Coordinator. The recommended SIP allocates 94% of available funding in FY26-27 (Table 1-1) with 39% leveraged funding projected for IP Projects (Section 4.1.4).



Three key topics were the focus of the WASC during SIP deliberations:

- Emphasizing the need for Project Developers to leverage funds due to cost escalations
- Prioritizing SS that address data gaps that can inform future Infrastructure Program projects
- Funding SS with watershed-specific scopes rather than those with regional scopes across multiple watersheds

During the February 24, 2026 meeting, the WASC voted to approve the recommended SIP. For contextual information on LLAR watershed characteristics, benefits of Projects and Programs funded to date, and/or input from community members, please refer to the [Initial Watershed Plan \(IWP of Plans\) Dashboard](#) and [Community Strengths and Needs Assessment \(CSNA\)](#).

Table 1-1. Summary of SIP FY26-27 Allocations

Lower Los Angeles River Watershed Area	Budget	Projections					5-Year Total	Annual O&M
	FY26-27	FY27-28	FY28-29	FY29-30	FY30-31			
A. Anticipated Regional Program Funds Available	\$13.3M	\$13.3M	\$15.1M	\$18.8M	\$30.9M			
A.1 Anticipated Annual Regional Program Funds Collected	\$12.5M	\$12.5M	\$12.5M	\$12.5M	\$12.5M	\$62.3M		
A.2 Carryover from Previous SIP	\$269.2k	\$819.7k	\$2.7M	\$6.3M	\$18.4M			
A.3. Removed Projects and Unused TRP Funds*	\$600.0k	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
B. Total Allocated and Recommendation in SIP	\$12.5M	\$10.6M	\$8.8M	\$380.5k	\$200.0k	\$32.5M	\$2.1M	
B.1 Total Allocated in Previous SIP(s)	\$12.4M	\$10.5M	\$8.7M	\$333.5k	\$200.0k	\$32.1M	\$2.1M	
B.2 Total Recommendation in Current SIP	\$148.5k	\$149.1k	\$107.0k	\$47.0k	\$0	\$451.6k	\$0	
C. Carryover in Current SIP (A - B)	\$819.7k	\$2.7M	\$6.3M	\$18.4M	\$30.7M			
D. Percent Allocated (B / A)	94%	80%	58%	2%	1%	52%		


*See Section 3.2.2 of this report for more information on SCWP funds returning to Watershed Area.

Refer to Attachment A or the [SIP Tool](#) for the Final Recommended SIP with additional project details.

2 Summary of Anticipated Watershed Area Benefits

The IWPs set targets and define strategies tailored to local challenges and opportunities to serve as a strategic blueprint for what can be accomplished using SCWP funds and supplemented with local, state, federal and other leveraged funding sources. Figure 2-1 highlights the anticipated benefits for previously approved Projects and progress toward SCWP Goals as reflected by the watershed area's targets for key indicators. LLAR did not receive IP Applications for FY26-27 which resulted in no additional benefits. Refer to the [Watershed Planning Dashboard](#) for more in-depth information on total program benefits.


Figure 2-1. Anticipated benefits, targets, and Watershed Area needs.



Note: LLAR did not receive IP Applications for FY26-27 resulting in no additional benefits.

Figure 2-2 below shows the continuing projects (both constructed and in-progress) in relation to the opportunity areas to improve water quality and increase water supply. For more information about opportunity areas, refer to LLAR's [IWP](#).

Figure 2-2. Opportunities to Improve Water Quality and Water Supply and constructed and planned projects map.



3 Recommended FY26-27 SIP

The WASC evaluated all previously approved and newly eligible IP Projects, TRP Project Concepts, and SS across all Regional Program subprograms and recommends the following SIP.

3.1 Newly Recommended IP Projects, TRP Project Concepts and SS

Below is a list of all SS submitted to the FY26-27 IP for this Watershed Area. No TRP Project Concepts or IP Projects were submitted to the LLAR WASC for FY 26-27. A placeholder to fund one Watershed Coordinator for up to \$200,000 per year was included in the recommended SIP. Submissions shown in white rows have been included in the recommended SIP, whereas submissions greyed out were not selected.

Table 3-1. Submitted and recommended SS for FY26-27

SS Name	SS Applicant	Included in SIP	Total Funding Allocated in this WASC
A Holistic Assessment of Trash in Watersheds	Moore Institute for Plastic Pollution Research	Included	\$366,000.00
Characterizing and Optimizing the Water Quality Benefits of In-Channel Vegetation	University of Southern California Dornsife Public Exchange	Included	\$85,620.00
Assessment and Treatment of Contaminants of Emerging Concern	Stillwater Sciences	Not Included	\$579,000.00

The District conducted completeness checks as part of routine SCWP administrative responsibilities. Completeness checks were conducted pursuant to the SCWP Ordinance to confirm application eligibility and consistency with Chapters 16 and 18 of the Ordinance. The District determined application eligibility in its role as Program Administrator. Some applicants for the SS program were deemed ineligible by the District during completeness checks and were not included in SIP deliberations.

Refer to Attachment A or the [SIP tool](#) for the Final Recommended SIP with complete project details.

3.2 Continuing IP Projects, TRP Project Concepts, and SS

The WASC received updates on IP Projects, TRP Project Concepts, and SS that were previously approved through reporting updates and/or from submitted Project Modification Requests (PMRs) from prior applicants.

Below are tables of previously approved IP Projects, TRP Project Concepts, and SS recommended in the SIP for this Watershed Area. Projects, Project Concepts, and SS that are still active and continuing as previously approved are shown in white.

Table 3-2. Previously approved IP Projects

Project Name	Project Developer	SIP Year	Funded Phase(s)	Remaining Funding Request	Anticipated Construction End Date
John Anson Ford Park Infiltration Cistern	City of Bell Gardens	FY20-21	Construction	\$0.00	April 2025
Long Beach Municipal Urban Stormwater Treatment (LB MUST) – Phase 1	City of Long Beach	FY20-21	Design, Construction	\$0.00	March 2026
Compton Blvd Et. Al. Project	Los Angeles County	FY21-22	N/A	\$0.00	Withdrawn
Furman Park Stormwater Capture and Infiltration Project	City of Downey	FY21-22	Design, Construction	\$0.00	July 2028
Lynwood City Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Lynwood	FY21-22	Design	\$0.00	May 2031
Spane Park	City of Paramount	FY21-22	Design	\$0.00	July 2029
Urban Orchard Project	City of South Gate	FY21-22	Construction, O&M	\$0.00	January 2026
Apollo Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Downey	FY22-23	Design	\$0.00	November 2029
Salt Lake Park Infiltration Cistern	City of Huntington Park	FY22-23	Planning, Design, Construction	\$1,600,000.00	August 2031

Project Name	Project Developer	SIP Year	Funded Phase(s)	Remaining Funding Request	Anticipated Construction End Date
Willow Springs Park Wetland Restoration and Expansion Project	City of Long Beach	FY22-23	Design	\$0.00	N/A
Long Beach Municipal Urban Stormwater Treatment (LB MUST) - Phase 2	City of Long Beach	FY23-24	Construction, Design	\$4,733,751.00	March 2028
Spane Park	City of Paramount	FY23-24	Construction	\$3,945,656.40	July 2029
Lynwood City Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Lynwood	FY24-25	Construction	\$20,450,000.00	May 2031

Table 3-3. Previously approved TRP Project Concepts

Project Name	Project Applicant	SIP Year	Notes
Lower Los Angeles River Watershed Coordinator	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	FY20-21	Continuing
Parque Dos Rios Bioswale	Watershed Conservation Authority	FY20-21	Withdrawn
Willow Springs Park: Wetland Restoration Expansion	City of Long Beach	FY20-21	Approved for IP in FY22-23 SIP

Table 3-4. Previously approved SS

Study Name	Study Developer	SIP Year	Remaining Funding Requested	Status
Gateway Area Pathfinding Analysis (GAP Analysis)	Gateway Water Management Authority	FY21-22	\$0.00	Completed
Gateway Area Pathfinding Analysis (GAP Analysis) - Phase 2	Gateway Water Management Authority	FY22-23	\$0.00	Completed
Microplastics in LA County Stormwater	University of California, Riverside	FY22-23	\$0.00	In Progress
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	Gateway Water Management Authority	FY22-23	\$77,179.51	In Progress

Study Name	Study Developer	SIP Year	Remaining Funding Requested	Status
Ground truth: guiding a soils-based strategy for impactful nature-based solutions	TreePeople	FY23-24	\$0.00	In Progress
Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona	FY24-25	\$247,701.00	In Progress
Maximizing Impact of Minimum Control Measures	Not Yet Decided	FY25-26	\$0.00	In Progress

Refer to Attachment A or the [SIP tool](#) for the Final Recommended SIP with additional project details and refer to Attachment B for a Summary to Date.

3.2.1 Project Modification Requests

The LLAR WASC received a total of two PMRs by the October 31, 2025 deadline. SCWP staff reviewed the PMRs to determine if they were consistent or inconsistent with previously approved SIPs. PMRs deemed inconsistent were referred to the WASC for consideration due to significant modification requests such as scope, benefits, and/or additional fund requests. See table 3-5 for summary of submitted PMRs and Attachment C for PMR submittals.

Table 3-5. PMR Submissions – Consistent or Not Needed

Project Name	Modification Details	Determination
FY21-22		
Compton Blvd Et. Al. Project	Change in funded activity completion date, Reallocation of funding	Consistent
	Project withdrawn by developer	PMR Not Needed

Refer to Attachment A or the [SIP tool](#) for the Final Recommended SIP with additional project details and refer to Attachment B for a Summary to Date.

3.2.2 Funds Returned to the WASC

After SIPs are approved by the Board each year, unused SCWP Regional Program funds can be returned to the respective Watershed Area for WASC to program in future SIPs. Some reasons for return of SCWP funds include:

- Completion of funded activity for IP, SS, TRP and returning unused SCWP funds
- Withdrawal of IP Project, TRP Project Concept, or SS by developer
- Removed and discontinued IP Project, TRP Project Concept, or SS
- PMR for reduced funding request

The following previously approved IP Projects are returning funds to the WASC.

Table 3-6. Funds returned to the LLAR WASC for FY26-27 SIP

SIP Year	Program	Project/Study Name	Funds Returning to WASC	Reason
FY21-22	IP	Compton Blvd et al Project	\$600,000	Withdrawal

4 SIP Development Process and Considerations

The Call for Projects for FY26-27 funding ended on July 31, 2025. Facilitated by Los Angeles County Public Works (PW) staff, the WASC held 4 meetings between August 2025 and February 2026, at which they discussed and reviewed all necessary items to ultimately develop their recommended FY26-27 SIP. PW provided WASC members with a SIP Deliberation Workbook containing reference documents to facilitate informed, robust discussions during regular WASC meetings. A compilation of these documents can be found in the [Summary of Resources for FY26-27 SIP](#). Refer to the [Lower Los Angeles River WASC webpage](#) for the current list of WASC members, meeting dates, and meeting materials. Refer to the [Lower Los Angeles River WASC Archive webpage](#) for all past meeting information and materials.

4.1 Ordinance and Guideline Compliance Summary

The recommended SIP complies with all applicable requirements per Los Angeles County Flood Control District (LACFCD) Code Ch18.07.B.2 and took into consideration the most recent guidance from the [SIP Programming Guidelines](#).

4.1.1 Scoring

The Scoring Committee evaluated each IP project submittal and provided an official score based on the scoring criteria defined in the [Feasibility Study Guidelines](#) and [Supplemental Guidance](#). Since all Regional Program projects must meet the Threshold Score of 60 points or more to be eligible for consideration in the IP, only qualifying projects were returned to the WASC for further evaluation.

No IP Projects were submitted to the LLAR WASC for FY26-27.

4.1.2 Regional Program Allocations

Compliant with LACFCD Code Ch18.07.B.2.a

Below is a summary of the Regional Program allocations for IP, SS Program, and TRP over the 5-year SIP, which includes previously approved projects, studies, and project concepts.

Table 4-1. Regional Program allocations over the 5-year SIP

Funding Program	Total SCWP Funding Allocated FY26-31	Funding Distribution for Subprograms FY26-31*
Infrastructure Program (≥85%)	\$30,729,407.40	94.5%
Scientific Studies (<5%)	\$776,500.51	1.2%
Technical Resources Program (<10%)	\$1,000,000.00	1.6%
Grand Total	\$32,505,907.91	

*Note: The funding distribution for the IP is based off of the total funding allocated over the 5-year period. The funding distributions for SS and TRP are based on the total revenue collected for the 5-year period.

4.1.3 Disadvantaged Communities (DAC) Benefits

Compliant with LACFCD Code Ch18.07.B.2.c.

Based on the total IP funding allocations for the SIP and the ratio of the DAC population to the total population in each Watershed Area, funding for Projects that provide DAC Benefits over the 5-year SIP shall not be less than the value shown below. As shown in Table 4-3, the total SCWP Funds benefiting DACs over a rolling 5-year period for the recommended SIP is greater than the required funding for DACs for this Watershed Area.

Table 4-2. Funding allocated for DACs over the 5-year SIP

Disadvantaged Community (DAC) Allocation	
Required DAC Ratio	67%
Funding Allocated for DACs FY26-31	\$22,782,782.65
Programmed DAC Ratio	\$30,729,407.40

*Note: These figures are based on the 2020 US Census and will be updated periodically.

To support and improve consistency in these determination, SCWP staff updated [interim guidance](#) for implementing Disadvantaged Community Policies in the Regional Program and is available on the [SCWP website](#). Watershed Coordinators typically prepare a presentation to support the WASC assessment of DAC benefits and community support for newly submitted projects. No IP Projects were submitted to the LLAR WASC for FY26-27, therefore no presentation was necessary.

4.1.4 Monetary Cap and Leveraged Funding

To mitigate for uncertainties in the SIP projections in FY27-31 such as changes in actual tax revenue, economic fluctuations, and potential contingencies for programmed projects, the ROC recommended in the 2026 Biennial Progress Report that SIPs do not exceed 80% of the available funding in any future year. This recommended Monetary Cap for WASCs aims to provide flexibility and prioritize project completion.

Table 4-3. Percent Allocation of Anticipated Available Funding Over the 5-Year SIP

Recommend FY26-27 SIP	Budget	Projected			
	FY26-27	FY27-28	FY28-29	FY29-30	FY30-31
Percent Allocated	94%	80%	58%	2%	1%

The SCWP Goals emphasize the need to leverage other funding sources to maximize impact of the Program. In alignment with this goal, the ROC also recommended in the 2026 Biennial Progress Report that each SIP, in aggregate, include at least 25% leveraged funding. Leveraged funding memos are generated for all eligible, newly submitted SCWP IP Projects in FY26-27. The intent of these documents is to strengthen the identification of leverage funding sources and support WASCs in funding

priorities and partial funding decisions. For more information, please see [Summary of Resources for FY26-27 SIP](#).

The SIP Tool was updated to support WASC decision making and calculated leverage funding percentage for newly recommended and continuing IP using project application data or latest data reported by project developers.

The recommended SIP includes **39.1%** leveraged funding. This percentage represents the proportion of leveraged funding relative to total project funding across all new and continuing projects.

4.1.5 Long Term Planning Considerations

The WASC incorporated long term planning by considering anticipated future costs for previously approved projects during SIP development. A hypothetical scenario was developed that includes potential construction costs and Operation and Maintenance (O&M) for projects that have only been funded for design, inflation costs, and a 50% assumption of leveraged funds. Actual future SCWP funding requests for construction may differ due to updated project estimates, leveraged funding, awarded grants, or local match.

In addition, the annual O&M projections provided in the Project applications for previously approved Projects were included in the SIP Tool and shown below. The recommended SIP anticipates a total annual O&M cost of \$2.1M of the anticipated \$12.5M annual Regional Program funds collected and will be accounted for in future SIPs.

Below is a summary of the total funding allocated per year in the recommended SIP, including estimated future funding requests for previously approved projects. This represents the theoretical SIP projections based on currently anticipated additional funding requests to cover subsequent phases.

Figure 4-1. SIP Tool long-term planning scenario including potential future IP costs with 50% leveraged funding assumed.

Lower Los Angeles River Watershed Area	Budget	Projections					5-Year Total	Annual O&M
	FY26-27	FY27-28	FY28-29	FY29-30	FY30-31			
A. Anticipated Regional Program Funds Available	\$13.3M	\$13.3M	\$6.8M	-\$1.9M	\$656.4k			
A.1 Anticipated Annual Regional Program Funds Collected	\$12.5M	\$12.5M	\$12.5M	\$12.5M	\$12.5M	\$62.3M		
A.2 Carryover from Previous SIP	\$269.2k	\$819.7k	-\$5.7M	-\$14.3M	-\$11.8M			
A.3. Removed Projects and Unused TRP Funds	\$600.0k	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
B. Total Allocated and Recommendation in SIP	\$12.5M	\$19.0M	\$21.1M	\$9.9M	\$5.1M	\$67.6M	\$2.1M	
B.1 Total Allocated in Previous SIP(s)	\$12.4M	\$18.8M	\$21.0M	\$9.9M	\$5.1M	\$67.1M	\$2.1M	
B.2 Total Recommendation in Current SIP	\$148.5k	\$149.1k	\$107.0k	\$47.0k	\$0	\$451.6k	\$0	
C. Carryover in Current SIP (A - B)	\$819.7k	-\$5.7M	-\$14.3M	-\$11.8M	-\$4.4M			
D. Percent Allocated (B / A)	94%	143%	312%	Budget Error!	773%	108%		

Note: This is NOT the recommended SIP.

A is the sum of Total Anticipated Annual Regional Program Funds Available (A.1 + A.2 + A.3).

B is the Total Recommended in Current SIP and Allocated in Previous SIP(s).

C is the Remaining Balance.

D is the Percent Allocation (B divided by A). **“Budget Error!”** indicates estimated negative funds available in “A” for given year.

Refer to the [SIP Tool](#) or the “Final – 2/24/2026 with Potential Future IP Costs with 50% Leveraged Funds” scenario. As shown in the theoretical SIP, other funding sources will be required to bring all projected Projects to completion, and most of the members in the WASC were confident in the Watershed Area’s ability to do so. If unable to do so, the WASC understands they will need to defer the construction of certain Projects to occur in later years.

4.2 Summary of SIP Discussion

At the February 24, 2026 meeting, the WASC deliberated on the SIP. Ahead of this meeting, PW provided WASC members with a Summary of Resources containing relevant information from previous WASC meetings. The compilation of relevant documents are provided in the [Summary of Resources for FY26-27 SIP](#).

The WASC held an in-depth discussion, which included many follow-up questions to applicants for SS and deliberated on several different scenarios on the SIP Tool.

During SIP Deliberations, the Committee expressed concerns about the general increase in project costs, emphasizing the need for Project Developers to leverage funding.

Committee Members additionally acknowledged that the WASC did not receive any IP Project applications in this round but expressed support to dedicate funds to SS that could be used to inform future IP projects.

Several WASC Members expressed support for A Holistic Assessment of Trash in Watersheds SS and Characterizing and Optimizing the Water Quality Benefits of In-Channel Vegetation SS, due to the potential for these studies to better inform regulations and guide municipal decision making.

The WASC voted to approve the recommended SIP with 12 votes in favor, 1 opposed, and 0 in abstention.

For more details on SIP deliberation, refer to the [February 24, 2026 Meeting Minutes](#).

4.2.1 Summary of Public Comment

The WASC received public comments which are available in the WASC meeting minutes on the [Safe, Clean Water website](#).

The WASC did not receive any strong public comments contrary to the SIP or any of the SS under consideration. The WASC received two public comments in support of the Characterizing and Optimizing the Water Quality Benefits of In-Channel Vegetation SS for supporting the integration and prioritization of nature-based solutions. The WASC received a public comment in support of the A Holistic Assessment of Trash in Watersheds SS for its examination of public health and water quality concerns related to trash. The WASC received a public comment in support of the Assessment and Treatment of Contaminants of Emerging Concern (CECs) SS for identifying preventative measures for IP projects despite lack of regulations and data on CECs.


5 Key Focus Areas for Future Call for Projects

In 2023 the SCWP established the Watershed Planning Initiative with the goal of enhancing and tracking SCWP progress. This ongoing effort uses data, collaboration, and community input to inform SCWP decisions, reduce redundancies, and enhance

accountability across the region. In early 2026, the SCWP produced the Initial Watershed Plans (Plans) and the online interactive Watershed Planning Tool (Planning Tool) which provide detailed information on Program-wide and Watershed Area-specific targets, needs, strategies, and opportunities within the scope of the SCWP. The WASCs and project developers will use the Plans and Planning Tool as important resources to help guide future project development and Regional Program investments.


As described in Section 2: Summary of Anticipated Watershed Area Benefits, the current recommended SIP does not include IP Projects and, therefore, does not advance Indicators (metrics that measure progress) toward their targets (numeric values). However, Figure 5-1 summarizes the anticipated cumulative benefits of magnitude-based Indicators for Projects approved in previous SIPs based on metrics provided by Project Developers. For the LLAR Watershed Area, the recommended SIP advances progress towards increasing drought preparedness, improving water quality, and improving public health as reflected by the top three Indicators with the most progress towards their respective targets: *Net New Area of Canopy, Cooling, and Shading, Increase Local Supply Through Stormwater Capture, and Net Area of Habitat Created, Enhanced, or Restored*. Disclaimer: Progress on benefits and related targets may change as new information becomes available and Project statuses are periodically updated.

Figure 5-1. Magnitude-based Indicators – Anticipated cumulative Project benefits and progress toward targets.



Projects approved in previous SIPs also advance progress toward SCWP goals reflected by percentage-based Indicators and their targets; however, they do not accrue benefits in a linear or additive manner like the magnitude-based Indicators so their percentages may fluctuate (increase or decrease) from year to year depending on the evolving proportion of benefits realized throughout the lifetime of the SCWP. For the LLAR Watershed Area, Figure 5-2 shows the status of a few of the percentage-based Indicators that are being tracked through the Watershed Planning Initiative based on metrics provided by Project Developers.

Figure 5-2. Percent-based Indicators – Anticipated Project benefits and progress toward targets.



Based on current progress toward targets, available funding capacity, and key data and knowledge gaps, and desired alignment with strategies and opportunities identified in the Plans, the following key areas of interest are presented to guide the next Call for Projects in support of continued progress toward LLAR Watershed Area targets and broader SCWP goals:

- IP Projects and TRP Project Concepts that deliver multiple benefits and address SCWP goals as reflected by magnitude-based Indicators with limited progress or substantial remaining needs toward their targets, such as the following (for graphical representations visit the [Planning Tool Dashboard](#)):
 - Improve Water Quality
 - *Zinc Load Reduction (lbs/yr)*
 - Increase Drought Preparedness
 - *Increase Local Supply Through Stormwater Capture (ac-ft/yr)*
 - Improve Public Health
 - *Net Area of Park & Green Space Created (acres)*
 - *Net Area of Green Space at Schools Created (acres)*
 - *Net Area of Park Enhanced or Restored (acres)*
 - Promote Green Jobs & Career Pathways
 - *Estimated Total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Jobs Created (#)*
- IP Projects and TRP Project Concepts that address SCWP goals as reflected by percent-based Indicators with limited progress or substantial remaining needs towards their targets, such as the following:

- Deliver Multi-benefits with Nature-Based Solutions & Diverse Projects
 - *Proportion of Projects & Programs Addressing a Community-Stated Priority or Concern (%)*
- Leverage Funding & Invest in Research & Development
 - *% of Project Costs Attributed to Leveraged Funding (%)*
- Prioritize Meaningful Engagement
 - *All Projects to Meet a Minimum “Level of Achievement” (Good/Better/Best) (%) for prioritizing meaningful engagement*
- SS using accepted scientific protocols that may additionally address one or more of the data gaps and limitations identified in Chapter 7.1 of the Plans, which include the following gap categories (Note: Not all identified gaps may be applicable to the SS Program and each Watershed Area):
 - Definitional
 - Community Data
 - SCWP Project and Program Data
 - Knowledge & Spatial Data
 - Other non-SCW Program Activity Data
- IP Projects, TRP Project Concepts, or SS that incorporate and/or address community-stated priorities or concerns as identified in the [Community Strengths and Needs Assessment](#) and/or from other community engagement efforts.
- IP Projects or SS that maximize leveraged funding to support alignment with the SIP Programming Guidelines and the 2026 Biennial Progress Report recommendation for each SIP in aggregate to include not less than 25% in leveraged funds.
- IP Project or SS applications that are financially structured to fit the WA Watershed Area’s available funding capacity and monetary cap considerations.

The above key areas of interest may inform community outreach, Project development, and future Project Concepts and guide upcoming Call for Projects cycles to ensure that future SIPs continue to advance the Watershed Areas needs and SCWP’s Goals.

To further strengthen alignment with the Plans, the Regional Program’s Feasibility Study Guidelines require Project proponents applying for SCWP Infrastructure Program funding to demonstrate how their proposed Projects align with the Plans. In addition, the Project application module has been updated to streamline submissions and to provide clear direction on how Projects are expected to describe this alignment with the Plans.

For additional information, refer to the [Plans](#) and the [Watershed Planning Tool](#) (opportunity areas are updated after SIPs are approved by the Board of Supervisors).

6 Next Steps

The WASC requests the Regional Oversight Committee (ROC) to advance the recommended SIP to the Board of Supervisors for approval.

Next WASC meeting(s):

- July 28, 2026 from 1:00 pm – 3:00 pm (to consider ROC feedback, if available)

Attachment A
Final Recommended SIP

Watershed Area	Lower Los Angeles River
Included in SIP?	Yes

Row Labels	Project Lead	DAC	FY26-27 Budget	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection	FY29-30 Projection	FY30-31 Projection	Anticipated SCW Funding FY26-31
FY20-21			\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 1,000,000.00
Technical Resource			\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 1,000,000.00
Lower Los Angeles River Watershed Coordinator WC: S. Groner Associates, Inc.	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	No	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 1,000,000.00
FY22-23			\$ 877,179.51	\$ 800,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,677,179.51
Infrastructure Project			\$ 800,000.00	\$ 800,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,600,000.00
Salt Lake Park Infiltration Cistern	City of Huntington Park	Yes	\$ 800,000.00	\$ 800,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,600,000.00
Scientific Study			\$ 77,179.51	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 77,179.51
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	Gateway Water Management Authority	No	\$ 77,179.51	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 77,179.51
FY23-24			\$ 7,679,407.40	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,679,407.40
Infrastructure Project			\$ 7,679,407.40	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,679,407.40
Long Beach Municipal Urban Stormwater Treatment (LB MUST) - Phase 2	City of Long Beach	Yes	\$ 3,733,751.00	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,733,751.00
Spane Park	City of Paramount	Yes	\$ 3,945,656.40	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,945,656.40
FY24-25			\$ 3,604,344.00	\$ 8,478,104.00	\$ 8,481,755.00	\$ 133,498.00	\$ -	\$ 20,697,701.00
Infrastructure Project			\$ 3,522,168.00	\$ 8,397,167.00	\$ 8,397,167.00	\$ 133,498.00	\$ -	\$ 20,450,000.00
Lynwood City Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Lynwood	Yes	\$ 3,522,168.00	\$ 8,397,167.00	\$ 8,397,167.00	\$ 133,498.00	\$ -	\$ 20,450,000.00
Scientific Study			\$ 82,176.00	\$ 80,937.00	\$ 84,588.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 247,701.00
Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona	No	\$ 82,176.00	\$ 80,937.00	\$ 84,588.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 247,701.00
FY26-27			\$ 148,522.00	\$ 149,098.00	\$ 107,000.00	\$ 47,000.00	\$ -	\$ 451,620.00
Scientific Study			\$ 148,522.00	\$ 149,098.00	\$ 107,000.00	\$ 47,000.00	\$ -	\$ 451,620.00
A Holistic Assessment of Trash in Watersheds	N/A	No	\$ 105,000.00	\$ 107,000.00	\$ 107,000.00	\$ 47,000.00	\$ -	\$ 366,000.00
Characterizing and Optimizing the Water Quality Benefits of In-Channel Vegetation	N/A	No	\$ 43,522.00	\$ 42,098.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 85,620.00
Grand Total			\$ 12,509,452.91	\$ 10,627,202.00	\$ 8,788,755.00	\$ 380,498.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 32,505,907.91

Attachment B
Summary of All Recommended SIPs to Date

Row Labels	Project Lead	DAC	FY20-21 Budget	FY21-22 Budget	FY22-23 Budget	FY23-24 Budget	FY24-25 Budget	FY25-26 Budget	FY26-27 Budget	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection	FY29-30 Projection	FY30-31 Projection	Total Anticipated SCW Funding
Microplastics in LA County Stormwater	Dr. Andrew Gray, University of California Riverside	No				\$ 85,158.75	\$ 86,442.50	\$ 76,150.25	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 247,751.50
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	Gateway Water Management Authority	No				\$ 33,843.21	\$ 236,902.50	\$ 203,059.29	\$ 220,810.57	\$ 77,179.51	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 771,795.08
FY23-24						\$ 9,672,833.00	\$ 3,019,086.00	\$ 8,375,467.00	\$ 7,679,407.40	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29,746,793.40
Infrastructure Project						\$ 9,456,564.00	\$ 2,789,217.00	\$ 8,375,467.00	\$ 7,679,407.40	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29,300,655.40
Long Beach Municipal Urban Stormwater Treatment (LB MUST) - Phase 2	City of Long Beach	Yes				\$ -	\$ 2,689,217.00	\$ 2,964,559.00	\$ 3,733,751.00	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,387,527.00
Spane Park	City of Paramount	Yes				\$ 9,456,564.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 5,410,908.00	\$ 3,945,656.40	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18,913,128.40
Scientific Study						\$ 216,269.00	\$ 229,869.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 446,138.00
Ground truth: guiding a soils-based strategy for impactful nature-based solutions	TreePeople	No				\$ 216,269.00	\$ 229,869.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 446,138.00
FY24-25							\$ 579,989.00	\$ 1,331,181.00	\$ 3,604,344.00	\$ 8,478,104.00	\$ 8,481,755.00	\$ 133,498.00	\$ -	\$ 22,608,871.00
Infrastructure Project							\$ 500,000.00	\$ 1,250,000.00	\$ 3,522,168.00	\$ 8,397,167.00	\$ 8,397,167.00	\$ 133,498.00	\$ -	\$ 22,200,000.00
Lynwood City Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Lynwood	Yes					\$ 500,000.00	\$ 1,250,000.00	\$ 3,522,168.00	\$ 8,397,167.00	\$ 8,397,167.00	\$ 133,498.00	\$ -	\$ 22,200,000.00
Scientific Study							\$ 79,989.00	\$ 81,181.00	\$ 82,176.00	\$ 80,937.00	\$ 84,588.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 408,871.00
Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona	No					\$ 79,989.00	\$ 81,181.00	\$ 82,176.00	\$ 80,937.00	\$ 84,588.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 408,871.00
FY25-26								\$ 270,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 270,000.00
Scientific Study								\$ 270,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 270,000.00
Maximizing Impact of Minimum Control Measures	Not Yet Decided	No						\$ 270,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 270,000.00
FY26-27									\$ 148,522.00	\$ 149,098.00	\$ 107,000.00	\$ 47,000.00	\$ -	\$ 451,620.00
Scientific Study									\$ 148,522.00	\$ 149,098.00	\$ 107,000.00	\$ 47,000.00	\$ -	\$ 451,620.00
A Holistic Assessment of Trash in Watersheds	N/A	No							\$ 105,000.00	\$ 107,000.00	\$ 107,000.00	\$ 47,000.00	\$ -	\$ 366,000.00
Characterizing and Optimizing the Water Quality Benefits of In-Channel Vegetation	N/A	No							\$ 43,522.00	\$ 42,098.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 85,620.00
Grand Total			\$ 9,800,000.00	\$ 12,964,999.00	\$ 13,142,448.96	\$ 13,075,234.00	\$ 9,247,101.54	\$ 16,746,261.57	\$ 12,509,452.91	\$ 10,627,202.00	\$ 8,788,755.00	\$ 380,498.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 107,481,952.98

Attachment C
Project Modification Request Forms

ATTACHMENT A: Project Modification Request (PMR) Form

The purpose of this PMR form is to initiate the Project modification process and provide the SCWP with information necessary to evaluate the Project modification request.

Regional Program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Infrastructure Program Project <input type="checkbox"/> Scientific Studies Program <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Resources Program
Project/Study Name	Compton Blvd Et. Al. Project
Project/Study Lead	Los Angeles County Public Works
Watershed Area(s)	Lower Los Angeles River
Current Project Phase	Design
Estimated Completion Date of Funded Activity	1/29/2026
Approved Stormwater Investment Plan Fiscal Year	FY 21-22
Transfer Agreement ID (e.g., 2020RPULAR52)	2021RPLLAR01

Has the Transfer Agreement or most recent Addendum been executed (i.e., signed by the project lead and the District)? Yes No

What type(s) of modification request?

- like-for-like modifications
- functionally equivalent BMP modifications
- modifications to Project or Study components that were not material to the WASC, ROC, or Board's decision to include the Project or Study in the SIP
- reallocation of annual funding projections in the SIP, provided that the total amount of Regional Program funding for the Project or Study remains unchanged
- change in primary or secondary objective
- change in Project benefits
- change in methodology (e.g., infiltration instead of diversion to sanitary sewer)
- decrease in BMP capacity
- change in Project or Study location
- change in capture area where benefits claimed are diminished or where there is a change in the municipalities that are receiving benefits
- updated engineering analysis resulting in a reduction of benefits
- increase in community support
- reduction or withdrawal of community support
- change in amount or status of leveraged funding
- any modification resulting in an increase of the total amount of Regional Program funding for the Project or Study
- any modification resulting in a decrease of the estimated total amount of Regional Program funding for the Project or Study
- other, please describe:

Project cancellation

Impact on scope or benefits?

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Improved | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neither |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Diminished | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure |

Description of the proposed modification(s), a comparison to the previously approved Project, and the reason(s) why the modification(s) is/are being proposed. Attach additional pages, as needed.

During the design phase, the project team became aware of a groundwater mounding concern and further analysis was conducted. The additional studies performed included infiltration testing and subsurface analysis, confirming that the project likely will lead to harmful local groundwater mounding due to poor infiltration rates. The project was originally designed to capture approximately 4-acre feet of runoff, but taking the groundwater mounding into consideration, only 0.3-acre feet can be captured with the same number of proposed dry wells. Given the low capture volume, the project is no longer cost effective and the project team decided this is no longer a feasible location to implement a stormwater capture project. The project secured \$600K in construction funds and will relinquish the full amount.

If applicable, list previously approved funding allocations/disbursements and revised funding request:

Note, if some or all of a previously Funded Activity cannot be completed as a result of the proposed modification, please include a description and indicate the amount of unused funds. Any unused funds should be reallocated and accounted for in your revised funding request. Attach additional pages, as needed.

SIP Fiscal Year	Approved Funding Allocations	Increase/ Decrease Requested	Revised Funding Request	Description/Phase/Status <i>If applicable, include description of unused funds</i>
TOTAL				

A: Approved Total Funding Allocations	\$600,000
B: Revised Estimate of Total Funding from Regional Program	\$0
Regional Program Funds Received to date	\$0
Regional Program Expenditures to date	\$0
Difference between B and A	-\$600,000
Percent change between B and A	100%

Would the additional funding request be the only option that would allow the project to be implemented? Please describe.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
N/A	
Would delaying funding allocations impact the project's ability to be implemented? Please describe.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
N/A	
Would funding only a portion of the additional funding request impact the project's ability to be implemented? Please describe.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
N/A	
Has the Recipient considered other funding sources? Please describe. Include type of funding, status, and amount.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
N/A	

If applicable, a description of difference in SCWP Anticipated Total Funding Request. As a reminder, annual funding is at the discretion of the WASC, ROC, and ultimately the Board of Supervisors. Attach additional pages, as needed.

N/A

Brief description of Supporting Documentation provided. Please include any documentation needed to support benefits claimed by the modified Project or Study and confirm compliance with the Feasibility Study Guidelines.

N/A

Contact information of persons who should be included in correspondence with the SCWP regarding this Project or Study. Attach additional pages, as needed.

Name	Title	Email Address
Chris Lee	Associate Civil Engineer	clee@dpw.lacounty.gov

I certify the information and supporting documentation provided is accurate and true.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES
I certify the modified Project complies with all requirements described in the Feasibility Study Guidelines.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES
I understand this is a request and it is under the WASC's discretion to consider requested modifications.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES

Name Mark A. LombosOrganization Los Angeles County Public WorksSignature Date 4/28/2025

FOR SCWP STAFF USE ONLY

Proposed Modifications to Projects or Studies:

	Status	Date
Scope/benefits of the modified Project or Study is consistent with the Project or Study included in the current fiscal year's SIP and proposed modifications were approved by the SCWP.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	
Scope/benefits of the modified Project or Study requires reapproval in the SIP. If yes, select all that apply:	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	
Budget/schedule modifications would impact future SIP funding allocations. If yes, select all that apply:	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	
PMR was received after October 31 of a fiscal year and the PMR will be considered for approval during the preparation of subsequent SIP for the fiscal year <u>after</u> the next	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	-
Project or Study abandoned the proposed modifications	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	
Project or Study was withdrawn from consideration by the WASC and shall issue repayment of unspent funds	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	
Proposed scope/benefit modifications were recommended for approval in the SIP	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Modifications to the Project or Study's funding allocations were recommended for approval as identified in the SIP	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> PARTIAL <input type="checkbox"/> NO	

Proposed Modifications to Project Concepts:

	Status	Date
Proposed modifications were deemed consistent with the Project concept that was approved by the WASC, ROC and Board for inclusion in the SIP and can be addressed within the existing budget. SCWP staff will proceed to incorporate the proposed modification into the Feasibility Study immediately.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	
Proposed modifications were deemed significant enough to result in a significantly different Project concept from the one approved by the WASC, ROC and Board for inclusion in the SIP. If yes, select one:	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	
SCWP staff to discontinue work on the Feasibility Study, return unused funds to be programmed in the SIP for the next fiscal year, and advise the proponent to submit the modified Project concept during the Call for Projects for a future fiscal year.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	-
SCWP staff to abandon the proposed modifications and proceed with the Project concept included in the SIP.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	-

ATTACHMENT A: Project Modification Request (PMR) Form

The purpose of this PMR form is to initiate the Project modification process and provide the SCWP with information necessary to evaluate the Project modification request.

Regional Program	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Infrastructure Program Project <input type="checkbox"/> Scientific Studies Program <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Resources Program
Project/Study Name	Compton Blvd Et. Al. Project
Project/Study Lead	Los Angeles County Public Works
Watershed Area(s)	Lower Los Angeles River
Current Project Phase	Design
Estimated Completion Date of Funded Activity	N/A
Approved Stormwater Investment Plan Fiscal Year	FY 21-22
Transfer Agreement ID (e.g., 2020RPULAR52)	2021RPLLAR01

Has the Transfer Agreement or most recent Addendum been executed (i.e., signed by the project lead and the District)? Yes No

What type(s) of modification request?

- like-for-like modifications
- functionally equivalent BMP modifications
- modifications to Project or Study components that were not material to the WASC, ROC, or Board's decision to include the Project or Study in the SIP
- reallocation of annual funding projections in the SIP, provided that the total amount of Regional Program funding for the Project or Study remains unchanged
- change in primary or secondary objective
- change in Project benefits
- change in methodology (e.g., infiltration instead of diversion to sanitary sewer)
- decrease in BMP capacity
- change in Project or Study location
- change in capture area where benefits claimed are diminished or where there is a change in the municipalities that are receiving benefits
- updated engineering analysis resulting in a reduction of benefits
- increase in community support
- reduction or withdrawal of community support
- change in amount or status of leveraged funding
- any modification resulting in an increase of the total amount of Regional Program funding for the Project or Study
- any modification resulting in a decrease of the estimated total amount of Regional Program funding for the Project or Study
- other, please describe:

Impact on scope or benefits?

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Improved | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neither |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Diminished | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure |

Description of the proposed modification(s), a comparison to the previously approved Project, and the reason(s) why the modification(s) is/are being proposed. Attach additional pages, as needed.

The schedule and funding allocation year need to be modified as additional infiltration analysis is needed to finalize the design plans.

Schedule for Design Phase to be modified to have a completion date of February 2026

Schedule for Procurement to be modified to start end of Design Phase (February 2026 - August 2026)

Schedule for Construction to be modified to start end of Procurement Phase (August 2026 - November 2029)

If applicable, list previously approved funding allocations/disbursements and revised funding request:

Note, if some or all of a previously Funded Activity cannot be completed as a result of the proposed modification, please include a description and indicate the amount of unused funds. Any unused funds should be reallocated and accounted for in your revised funding request. Attach additional pages, as needed.

SIP Fiscal Year	Approved Funding Allocations	Increase/ Decrease Requested	Revised Funding Request	Description/Phase/Status <i>If applicable, include description of unused funds</i>
TOTAL				

A: Approved Total Funding Allocations	\$600,000
B: Revised Estimate of Total Funding from Regional Program	No revisions to total funding request
Regional Program Funds Received to date	\$0
Regional Program Expenditures to date	\$0
Difference between B and A	N/A
Percent change between B and A	N/A

Would the additional funding request be the only option that would allow the project to be implemented? Please describe.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
N/A	
Would delaying funding allocations impact the project's ability to be implemented? Please describe.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
N/A	
Would funding only a portion of the additional funding request impact the project's ability to be implemented? Please describe.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
N/A	
Has the Recipient considered other funding sources? Please describe. Include type of funding, status, and amount.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES
N/A	

If applicable, a description of difference in SCWP Anticipated Total Funding Request. As a reminder, annual funding is at the discretion of the WASC, ROC, and ultimately the Board of Supervisors. Attach additional pages, as needed.

N/A

Brief description of Supporting Documentation provided. Please include any documentation needed to support benefits claimed by the modified Project or Study and confirm compliance with the Feasibility Study Guidelines.

N/A

Contact information of persons who should be included in correspondence with the SCWP regarding this Project or Study. Attach additional pages, as needed.

Name	Title	Email Address
Chris Lee	Associate Civil Engineer	clee@dpw.lacounty.gov

I certify the information and supporting documentation provided is accurate and true.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES
I certify the modified Project complies with all requirements described in the Feasibility Study Guidelines.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES
I understand this is a request and it is under the WASC's discretion to consider requested modifications.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES

Name Mark A. LombosOrganization Los Angeles County Public WorksSignature *M Lombos*Date 12/9/2024

FOR SCWP STAFF USE ONLY

Proposed Modifications to Projects or Studies:

	Status	Date
Scope/benefits of the modified Project or Study is consistent with the Project or Study included in the current fiscal year's SIP and proposed modifications were approved by the SCWP.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	
Scope/benefits of the modified Project or Study requires reapproval in the SIP. If yes, select all that apply:	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	
Budget/schedule modifications would impact future SIP funding allocations. If yes, select all that apply:	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	
PMR was received after October 31 of a fiscal year and the PMR will be considered for approval during the preparation of subsequent SIP for the fiscal year <u>after</u> the next	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	-
Project or Study abandoned the proposed modifications	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	
Project or Study was withdrawn from consideration by the WASC and shall issue repayment of unspent funds	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	
Proposed scope/benefit modifications were recommended for approval in the SIP	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Modifications to the Project or Study's funding allocations were recommended for approval as identified in the SIP	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> PARTIAL <input type="checkbox"/> NO	

Proposed Modifications to Project Concepts:

	Status	Date
Proposed modifications were deemed consistent with the Project concept that was approved by the WASC, ROC and Board for inclusion in the SIP and can be addressed within the existing budget. SCWP staff will proceed to incorporate the proposed modification into the Feasibility Study immediately.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	
Proposed modifications were deemed significant enough to result in a significantly different Project concept from the one approved by the WASC, ROC and Board for inclusion in the SIP. If yes, select one:	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	
SCWP staff to discontinue work on the Feasibility Study, return unused funds to be programmed in the SIP for the next fiscal year, and advise the proponent to submit the modified Project concept during the Call for Projects for a future fiscal year.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	-
SCWP staff to abandon the proposed modifications and proceed with the Project concept included in the SIP.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	-