



SAFE CLEAN  
WATER PROGRAM

# SIP Programming

SAFE CLEAN WATER PROGRAM  
2026



## Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs)

### Current Year Budget

- Budget for current year is transferred to Project Developers subject to the Transfer Agreement

### Subsequent 4 Year Projections:

- Conditional funding for full Project cost
- Watershed Area Steering Committees will verify annually:
  - Project schedule, budget, scope and benefits are consistent with initial proposal
- Projects over budget, behind schedule, or reduced scope or benefits may be subject to discontinued funding

	(FY 26-27) Regional Program Budget	(FY 27-28) Projection	(FY 28-29) Projection	(FY 29-30) Projection	(FY 30-31) Projection
<b>Infrastructure Program (not less than 85%)</b>					
Project 1					
Project 2					
Project 3					
Project 4					
Project 5					
<b>Scientific Studies (up to 5%)</b>					
Scientific Study					
Monitoring					
<b>Technical Resources Program (up to 10%)</b>					
Project Concept 1					
Project Concept 2					
Project Concept 3					
Watershed Coordinator					
<b>Grand Total</b>					

## Reserving Funds

The SIP projection for the subsequent four years remains fluid because:

- The actual revenue for subsequent years is unknown and subject to change each year due to exemptions, credits, and appeals
- Other Infrastructure Projects, Scientific Studies, or Project Concepts may be submitted, including Projects that have advanced through the TRP
- Economic fluctuations may escalate estimated costs
- Contingencies for programmed projects may be required and/or changed
- Project Modification Requests with additional funding asks may be submitted

	Budget		Projections		
	FY25-26	FY26-27	FY27-28	FY28-29	FY29-30
A.1 Anticipated Annual Regional Program Funds Collected	\$5.8M	\$6.1M	\$6.1M	\$6.1M	\$6.1M
A.2 Carryover from Previous SIP	\$1.5M	\$6.8M	\$11.2M	\$16.5M	\$5.8M
A.3. Removed Projects and Unused TRP Funds ⓘ	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
A. Anticipated Regional Program Funds Available (A.1 + A.2 + A.3) ⓘ	\$7.2M	\$12.9M	\$17.3M	\$22.6M	\$11.9M
B.1 Total Allocated in Previous SIP(s)	\$461k	\$345k	\$308k	\$16.5M	\$200k
B.2 Total Recommendation in Current SIP	\$0	\$1.3M	\$472k	\$345k	\$47k
B. Total Allocated and Recommendation in SIP (B.1 + B.2) ⓘ	\$461k	\$1.7M	\$780k	\$16.8M	\$247k
C. Carryover in Current SIP (A - B)	\$6.8M	\$11.2M	\$16.5M	\$5.8M	\$11.6M
D. Percent Allocated (B / A) ⓘ	6%	13%	5%	74%	2%

**To mitigate these uncertainties, the ROC recommended in 2026 SCW Program Biennial Progress Report to reserve 20% of projected future funding to provide flexibility and prioritize project completion.**

## Guidance for WASCs



1. Evaluate the uncertainty about potential future needs of projects (not just requested funding) when deliberating new requests



2. Consider reserving funding for future flexibility



3. Support project completion by prioritizing funding projects in final stages



4. Encourage or require developers to pursue leveraged funding

5. Carefully review reporting and request updates from Project Developers on progress, expenditures, and anticipated costs for future phases




6. Recall and consider using the WASC's authority to recommend defunding projects that are at-risk or lagging in-favor of projects that can succeed with additional investments

*This could be a pause for one Program Year (redistributing funds across years without changing the total ask), or a recommendation to no longer support a project with Regional Program Funds*

# Leveraging Funds

- The SCW Program Goals emphasize the need to leverage other funding sources to **maximize the impact** of the SCW Program
- WASCs must delineate between the projects that will proceed to (or continue with) construction and/or O&M phases via SCWP funds and which will need to **rely on leveraged funds**.
- SCWP leverage funding resources:
  - Watershed Coordinators – Technical Assistance
  - Regional Coordination – IP Funding Assessments
  - Regional Coordination – Quarterly Funding Memos and Funding Database



## SIP Tool Integration

Similar to previous years, the SIP Planning Tool has a long-term planning scenario to help WASCs consider the needs of possible returning projects.


Budget		Projections			
	FY25-26	FY26-27	FY27-28	FY28-29	FY29-30

- Potential future scenarios include possible costs such as estimated construction, O&M, and inflation costs for long term planning consideration
- Long-term planning scenarios assumes 50% cost share for estimated IP construction costs

## Watershed Planning Tool Integration

The [Watershed Planning Tool](#) is an additional interactive tool that WASCs can use this year.

- Communicates progress toward SCW Program Goals
- Visually demonstrates how submitted projects benefits are predicted to contribute toward Program Targets
- Highlights strategies and opportunity areas to support achievement of goals




## FY26-27 SIP

### Preparation for SIP Deliberation

The WASC has a variety of tools and resources available to assist with SIP deliberations including: [SIP Tool](#), meeting minutes, presentation slides, applications, SS peer review summaries, PMRs, and more

In advance of SIP Deliberations:


- A Summary of Resources document is posted on the WASC events webpage and shared with the WASC
  - ***Presentations and resources have been printed and compiled in SIP deliberation workbooks***
- When applicable, WASC members are asked to rank project submissions and PMRs
- Applicants, PMR, and continuing Project Developers are invited to answer questions, as needed



## FY26-27 SIP Requirements

Percent allocations for each project type are evaluated over a rolling 5-yr period each year.

- At least 85% for IPs (based on total **funding allocated** over 5-year period)
- No more than 10% for TRPs (based on annual **revenue generated** by Watershed Area over 5-year period)
- No more than 5% for SS (based on annual **revenue generated** by Watershed Area over 5-year period)



### Required Disadvantaged Community Ratio

- At least 110% of the ratio of the disadvantaged community population to the total population in each Watershed Area
- WASCs can determine disadvantaged community benefits on a project-by-project basis

All Projects 5-Year Distribution	
DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY (DAC) ALLOCATION	
Required DAC Ratio	12%
Required Funding for DACs FY26-31 (110%)	\$2,377,768.56
Funding Allocated for DACs FY26-31	\$16,252,897

## FY26-27 SIP

### **Outcome of SIP Deliberation**

Based on all information available, WASC priorities, and funding availability, the WASC has the discretion to:

1. Program newly submitted projects, concepts, and studies
2. Program some or no additional funding requests per PMR
3. Reallocate annual funding projections
4. Remove projects from the SIP that do not meet SCWP goals

## FY26-27 SIP

All SIPs, once approved by the WASCs, are reviewed by the Regional Oversight Committee (ROC) for achievement of program goals. The ROC can elect to return a SIP with comments to a WASC for further deliberation, or the ROC can deliver the SIPs to the Board of Supervisors with a recommendation for action.

# Thank you

QUESTIONS?

Contact

[www.SafeCleanWaterLA.org](http://www.SafeCleanWaterLA.org)

[SafeCleanWaterLA@pw.lacounty.gov](mailto:SafeCleanWaterLA@pw.lacounty.gov)

833-ASK-SCWP