

# Scoring Committee

## Meeting Minutes

---



Monday, December 15, 2025

9:00am – 12:00pm

WebEx Hybrid Meeting

LA County Public Works Headquarters

1st Floor (Courtyard) Conference Room C, 900 S. Fremont Ave, Alhambra, CA 91803

### Committee Members Present:

Esther Rojas, Water Replenishment District (Water Supply/Community Investments/Nature-Based Solutions)

David Diaz, Active SGV (Community Investments)

Bruce Reznik, LA Waterkeeper (Nature-Based Solutions/Water Quality), Chair

Dave Sorem, Mike Bubalo Construction Co., Inc. (Water Quality)

TJ Moon, Los Angeles County Public Works (Water Quality), Vice-Chair

### Committee Members Absent:

Greg Pierce, UCLA Luskin Center for Innovation (Water Supply)

See attached sign-in sheet for full list of attendees.

---

## **1) Welcome and Introductions**

Bruce Reznik, Chair of the Scoring Committee, welcomed Committee Members and called the meeting to order. Committee Members made self-introductions and a quorum was established. Los Angeles County Public Works (Public Works) staff conducted a brief tutorial on WebEx.

## **2) Approval of Meeting Minutes from December 1, 2025**

Public Works staff presented the meeting minutes from the December 1 meeting. Vice-Chair Moon motioned to approve the December 1 meeting minutes, seconded by Member Dave Sorem. The Committee voted to approve the meeting minutes, with four votes in favor and one Member absent at the time of voting (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

## **3) Committee Member and Program Updates**

Public Works staff provided updates, noting:

- The upcoming Regional Oversight Committee (ROC) meeting is scheduled for January 14 at 1:00pm where the ROC will discuss the Draft Biennial Progress Report, open the 30-day Public Comment Period, and discuss next steps for the Initial Watershed Plans.

## **4) Ex Parte Communications Disclosure**

Vice-Chair Moon and Member Sorem disclosed communications with Craftwater Engineering (Project applicant) for the Ganesha Park Stormwater Capture Project.

## **5) Public Comment Period**

Michael Scaduto (Los Angeles Sanitation and Environment) expressed support for the Los Angeles Harbor College Stormwater Projects, noting that the Project is upstream of the Machado Lake Ecosystem Rehabilitation Project that received City of Los Angeles Proposition O funding. Scaduto commented on a settlement with the Regional Water Quality Control Board and noted that the Los Angeles Harbor College Stormwater Projects would help Machado Lake Ecosystem Rehabilitation Project on the path to compliance.

## **6) Presentations and Discussion Items:**

# Scoring Committee Meeting Minutes



## a. Rescoring of FY26-27 Infrastructure Program Projects

The tables below for each Project contain information recorded on the scoring rubric sheet and key discussion during the Scoring Committee rescoring meeting. The scoring rubric sheet, as recorded during the meeting, documents Scoring Committee's key evaluations of the Project.

<b>Project:</b> Darby Park Multi-Benefit Project		<b>WASC(s):</b> South Santa Monica Bay	
<b>Application Type:</b> Design only			
<b>Water Quality Scoring Pilot:</b> Yes			
<b>Water Supply Scoring Pilot:</b> No			
Category	Applicant Score	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Part 1	9	9	
Water Quality Part 2	30	30	
Water Supply Part 1	0	0	
Water Supply Part 2	2	0	
Community Investment	5	5	
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	
Leveraging Funds	3	6	See below
Community Support	4	2	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>62</b>	
<b>Conclusion:</b> The Project received 62 points and will move to the WASC for consideration.			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Leveraging Funds:</u> Chair Reznik noted that the City of Inglewood provided adequate evidence of leveraged funds, which amounts to more than 50% of the cost share ratio. Resulting in the Project receiving 6 points in Leveraging Funds.</li> </ul>			

<b>Project:</b> Los Angeles Harbor College Stormwater Projects		<b>WASC(s):</b> South Santa Monica Bay	
<b>Application Type:</b> Construction and O&M funding			
<b>Water Quality Scoring Pilot:</b> Yes			
<b>Water Supply Scoring Pilot:</b> Yes			
Category	Applicant Score	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Part 1	6	6	

# Scoring Committee Meeting Minutes



Water Quality Part 2	29	29	
Water Supply Part 1	3	0	
Water Supply Part 2	4	0	
Community Investment	10	10	See below
Nature-Based Solutions	15	10	See below
Leveraging Funds	6	6	
Community Support	2	2	See below
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>63</b>	

**Conclusion:** The Project received 63 points will be moving to the WASC for consideration.

- **Community Investment:** The Committee had a robust debate of Community Investment Benefit (CIB) categories and awarded 10 points.
- **Nature-Based Solutions:** Chair Reznik noted that ten points would be awarded, but that the hardscape reduction component would not count towards the points.
- **Community Support:** Chair Reznik confirmed the two points based on the provided justification.

The Committee noted that the Project’s benefit was primarily Water Quality and that the other components were not adequately justified as described in the application. Chair Reznik commented on the CIB category being a binary pass/fail system, where Projects could barely meet each category and still be scored as if it were a Project with a robust slate of CIBs. The Committee recommends the SSMB WASC to consider robust debate of CIB when deciding whether to program this Project in SIP or not. Antos also noted that this discussion will be highlighted to the SSMB Watershed Coordinator to point out during the SSMB WASC’s deliberations.

<b>Project:</b> West Los Angeles College Stormwater Improvements Project		<b>WASC(s):</b> Central Santa Monica Bay	
<b>Application Type:</b> Construction and O&M			
<b>Water Quality Scoring Pilot:</b> Yes			
<b>Water Supply Scoring Pilot:</b> Yes			
Category	Applicant Score	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Part 1	6	6	
Water Quality Part 2	30	30	
Water Supply Part 1	3	3	See below
Water Supply Part 2	3	3	See below

# Scoring Committee Meeting Minutes



Community Investment	5	5	
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	
Leveraging Funds	6	6	
Community Support	2	2	See below
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>65</b>	

**Conclusion:** The Project received 65 points and will move forward to the WASC for consideration.

- Water Supply: Member Rojas confirmed that the Project applicant provided evidence that the Project area is within the Santa Monica Basin Groundwater Management Authority’s jurisdiction.
- Community Support: Chair Reznik noted additional details were provided, including student outreach and outreach to organized labor, confirming the two points.

<b>Project:</b> Arroyo Park Infiltration Gallery	<b>WASC(s):</b> Upper Los Angeles River
--	---

**Application Type:** Design Only

**Water Quality Scoring Pilot:** Yes

**Water Supply Scoring Pilot:** Yes

Category	Applicant Score	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Part 1	8	8	
Water Quality Part 2	30	30	
Water Supply Part 1	6	6	See below
Water Supply Part 2	5	5	
Community Investment	5	5	See below
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	See below
Leveraging Funds	0	0	
Community Support	4	4	See below
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>68</b>	

**Conclusion:** The Project received 68 points and will be moving to the WASC for consideration

# Scoring Committee Meeting Minutes



- Water Supply: Member Rojas noted that a letter from Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster was provided, but that the letter does not specify the creation of new or additional water. Member Rojas commented that overall, this is a good project. Vice-Chair Moon noted that the Net Countable Supply ratio was applied and did not change the amount of points awarded.
- Community Investment: Chair Reznik noted that additional detail and project renderings were provided, resulting in five points being awarded.
- Nature-Based Solutions: Chair Reznik noted that sufficient detail was provided to receive ten points.

Member Diaz recused themselves from scoring and disclosed conversations with other parties. He recommended for interested and concerned parties to attend the meeting, or to make a public comment.

<b>Project:</b> Calles Verdes at Workman St		<b>WASC(s):</b> Upper Los Angeles River	
<b>Application Type:</b> Design Only			
<b>Water Quality Scoring Pilot:</b> No			
<b>Water Supply Scoring Pilot:</b> No			
Category	Applicant Score	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Part 1	20	20	See below
Water Quality Part 2	30	30	See below
Water Supply Part 1	0	0	See below
Water Supply Part 2	2	0	
Community Investment	5	5	See below
Nature-Based Solutions	12	12	See below
Leveraging Funds	0	0	
Community Support	4	4	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>71</b>	
<b>Conclusion:</b> The Project received 71 points and will move forward to the WASC for consideration.			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Water Quality</u>: Vice-Chair Moon noted that a revised executive summary was submitted clarifying the correct cost-effectiveness ratio of 5.2 acre-feet over \$5.1M, resulting in a ratio above one. Therefore, confirming the Water Quality points.</li> <li>• <u>Water Supply</u>: Vice-Chair Moon had requested the Net Countable Supply ratio to be applied. A 16% ratio reduction resulted in a captured volume of seven acre-feet per year, which is insufficient for receiving Water Supply points.</li> </ul>			

# Scoring Committee Meeting Minutes



- **Community Investment:** Member Diaz noted that clarifying information for each benefit claimed was provided, confirming Community Investment points.
- **Nature-Based Solutions:** Member Diaz noted that the amount of impermeable pavement being removed was provided and that while no explicit number of trees was provided, a percentage of new vegetated areas was provided, resulting in 12 points. Chair Reznik commented that since the Project is still in the design phase, approximate amounts are acceptable, noting that construction phase Projects would be expected to detail quantities.

Vice-Chair Moon recommends to Public Works that applying the Net Countable Supply Ratio in the Projects Module be applied automatically.

<b>Project:</b> ESGVWMG Drywells Project		<b>WASC(s):</b> Upper San Gabriel River	
<b>Application Type:</b> Construction and O&M Funding			
<b>Water Quality Scoring Pilot:</b> Yes			
<b>Water Supply Scoring Pilot:</b> Yes			
Category	Applicant Score	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Part 1	20	20	
Water Quality Part 2	16	16	
Water Supply Part 1	10	6	See below
Water Supply Part 2	4	3	See below
Community Investment	2	2	
Nature-Based Solutions	10	5	
Leveraging Funds	3	3	
Community Support	2	0	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>55</b>	
<b>Conclusion:</b> The Project received 55 points and will not move to the WASC for consideration.			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Water Supply:</b> Vice-Chair Moon noted that the Net Countable Supply Ratio was applied and resulting calculations estimated 12 acre-feet per year, amounting to \$10,000 per acre-ft. This gave them three points for Water Supply Part 2. Vice-Chair Moon noted that the updated calculations for cost effectiveness results in six points for Water Supply Part 1.</li> </ul> <p>Chair Reznik noted that this Project did not address enough categories to receive a passing score and encouraged the applicant to increase leveraged funding or incorporate more greening or outreach to the community.</p>			

# Scoring Committee Meeting Minutes



<b>Project:</b> ESGVWMG Drywells Project (Design Only)		<b>WASC(s):</b> Upper San Gabriel River	
<b>Application Type:</b> Design Only			
<b>Water Quality Scoring Pilot:</b> Yes			
<b>Water Supply Scoring Pilot:</b> Yes			
Category	Applicant Score	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Part 1	20	20	
Water Quality Part 2	20	20	
Water Supply Part 1	12	10	See below
Water Supply Part 2	9	6	See below
Community Investment	2	2	
Nature-Based Solutions	10	5	
Leveraging Funds	0	0	
Community Support	2	0	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>63</b>	
<b>Conclusion:</b> The Project received 63 points and will move forward to the WASC for consideration.			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Water Supply:</u> Vice-Chair Moon noted that the dry weather runoff was recalculated and that a letter of support from the groundwater master was included. Vice-Chair Moon noted that the cost effectiveness ratio results in ten points for Water Supply Part 1 due to its cost of \$2,600 per acre-ft. A 37% Net Countable Supply ratio was applied and resulted in 75 acre-feet per year, resulting in six points.</li> </ul>			

<b>Project:</b> Ganesha Park Stormwater Capture Project		<b>WASC(s):</b> Upper San Gabriel River	
<b>Application Type:</b> Construction Only			
<b>Water Quality Scoring Pilot:</b> Yes			
<b>Water Supply Scoring Pilot:</b> Yes			
Category	Applicant Score	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Part 1	15	15	See below
Water Quality Part 2	28	28	

# Scoring Committee Meeting Minutes



Water Supply Part 1	4	2	See below
Water Supply Part 2	7	5	See below
Community Investment	10	10	
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	
Leveraging Funds	3	3	
Community Support	4	4	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>77</b>	

**Conclusion:** The Project received 77 points and will move forward to the WASC for consideration.

- Water Quality: Vice-Chair Moon and Member Sorem met with the Project applicant to discuss the multiple, complex elements of the Project, which had to be aggregated in the Projects Module. With this discussion, Vice-Chair Moon and Member Sorem confirmed the points for Water Quality.
- Water Supply: Vice-Chair Moon noted that the Net Countable Supply ratio was applied and resulted in two points for Water Supply Part 1 and five points for Water Supply Part 2.

Member Diaz recused himself from scoring this Project.

<b>Project:</b> Garvey Avenue Grade Separation Drainage Improvement Operations and Maintenance		<b>WASC(s):</b> Upper San Gabriel River	
<b>Application Type:</b> Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Only			
<b>Water Quality Scoring Pilot:</b> Yes			
<b>Water Supply Scoring Pilot:</b> Yes			
Category	Applicant Score	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Part 1	4	4	
Water Quality Part 2	30	30	
Water Supply Part 1	4	2	See below
Water Supply Part 2	4	3	See below
Community Investment	5	5	See below
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	See below
Leveraging Funds	0	6	See below
Community Support	4	4	

# Scoring Committee Meeting Minutes



TOTAL	61	64	
-------	----	----	--

**Conclusion:** The Project received 64 points and will move forward to the WASC for consideration.

- Water Supply: Vice-Chair Moon noted that the Net Countable Supply ratio was calculated giving \$59,000 per acre-ft, resulting in two points for Water Supply Part 1 and three points for Water Supply Part 2.
- Nature-Based Solutions: Chair Reznik noted that additional information regarding the ornamental landscaping was provided was unclear. Katie Harrel (CWE, Project applicant) clarified that future tense was used because while the Project is currently in construction, the vegetation planting has not been completed yet. Harrel noted that plant species are often swapped due to availability but confirmed that native species are being prioritized. Chair Reznik confirmed ten points for Nature-Based Solutions.
- Leveraging Funds: Chair Reznik noted that the Project is an O&M Project Application, where leveraged funds are often unclear because funds could have been used during the construction phase. Upon inquiry, Harrel confirmed that the City of El Monte is considering using Municipal Funds for additional leveraged funding. The Committee agreed to award points in the Leveraged Funding category, noting that there is no framework in tracking leveraged funds across construction and O&M spending. Public Works staff explained that the Scoring Committee could request the Project applicant to distinguish which leveraged funds are being matched for construction versus O&M. Antos added that the WASC could award partial funding and the decision to request the Project applicant detail out leveraged funding allotments is a discussion for the WASC.

## b) Adaptive Management Update

Brad Wardynski (Craftwater Engineering) provided an update on the Adaptive Management elements underway. Presentation slides are available on the [SCW Program website](#).

Member Sorem clarified with Wardynski that while the cost estimate increases between the time of scoring and actual construction is not specifically analyzed, data is being tracked via regular reporting that can provide insight on the change. Member Sorem commented that the reporting relies on consultants to provide accurate cost information.

Chair Reznik asked if there are any updates for Nature-Based Solutions, in addition to updates to the Scoring Pilot for the Community Investment Benefits, specifically the ratio versus numeric acreage of hardscape removal. Wardynski shared intentions to revisit the Nature-Based Solutions Scoring Criteria based on County Water Plan’s Nature-Based Solution Task Force progress, in addition to all Scoring Criteria, leading up to the 2027 Call for Projects.

## c) Post-Scoring Discussion

### i) FY26-27 Scoring Feedback

Wardynski presented on the Scoring Committee’s discussion heard during the 2025 Call for Projects and requested feedback from the Committee. Presentations slides are available on the [SCW Program website](#).

### Phase-Specific Applications

Upon inquiry, the Committee agreed that an annotated scoring rubric that outlines specific model calculation assumptions in the Projects Module and draws connections between SCW Program policy and guidance documents (e.g., Supplemental Guidance to Support Feasibility Study Guidelines and Interim Program Guidance) could be useful.

# Scoring Committee

## Meeting Minutes

---



Vice-Chair Moon commented that phase-specific applications and scoring were successful during this round of Call for Projects in determining different types of Project applications, for design only and design/construction/O&M. Vice-Chair Moon recommended separating O&M only applications as well. Chair Reznik commented that Scoring Criteria Pilot for O&M applications would be well received given the increasing number of O&M applications.

Member Sorem commented that O&M funding requests may continue to increase, and the SCW Program will need to balance how to fund newly proposed Projects for design and construction funding requests versus O&M funding requests. Antos noted that the NSMB WASC has discussed balancing creating new Projects versus maintaining Projects. Chair Reznik also expressed interest in Municipal audits to see whether the SCW Program funds have merely replaced their stormwater spending or is augmenting existing investments.

Chair Reznik recommended enhancing programmatic tracking of the previously funded SCW Projects that return for additional funding requests. Chair Reznik added that including previous scoring rubrics or meeting minutes for future O&M applications would make scoring evaluations easier.

Vice-Chair Moon commented that Projects have become more complex and include integrations of different BMPs, and the current Projects Module could be improved to better handle these calculations. Vice-Chair Moon also suggested that the Projects Module be updated to automatically update with the Net Countable Supply ratio.

Vice-Chair Moon noted that performance monitoring costs have consistently been higher than expected. Vice-Chair Moon suggested finding a better way to reduce costs when evaluating BMP performance, since Project Developers are sending monitoring teams to take samples during rain events for lab evaluations, causing increase in cost. One way to reduce costs could be to use turbidity sensors for the potential of reducing O&M. Public works staff noted that a District-led study could be conducted to focus on varying Projects to collect data on construction and post-performance monitoring for determining projections.

### Water Quality Scoring Pilot

Upon inquiry, Vice-Chair Moon noted that most Project applicants opted into using the Water Quality Scoring Pilot.

Vice-Chair Moon commented on the limitations of the Projects Module in accurately presenting complex Projects that have treat and release components. Vice-Chair Moon noted that the Projects Module currently assumes Projects with varying water quality components such as the combination of BMPs and treat and release assumes a 100% effective rate. However, treat and release is not 100% effective, meaning that the true reduction of the pollutants must be calculated separately and then combined, rather than using the Projects Module for these calculations. Vice-Chair Moon suggested that treat and release BMPs are given a reduction factor, whether that ratio is based on monitoring data or vendor data. This would avoid the Projects Module assuming infiltration rates and subsequent points for Water Supply that were not intended as part of the Project. Vice-Chair Moon noted that agencies with less resources may not be able to provide these lengthy calculations and that an option for combination-type BMPs would help empower Project applicants.

Regarding designating “wet weather” and “dry weather” for Projects with large drainage areas, which almost always cannot capture the entire 1-inch storm for wet weather designation, Vice-Chair Moon noted that there were not as many Projects submitted that had this issue, and that continuing to reclassify these Projects as “dry weather” would be acceptable. Vice-Chair Moon noted that monitoring data for accurate flows would need to be required to properly size a Project for construction, noting especially for Projects that have sewer diversions planned, flow monitoring data should be required. Antos noted the potential political implications of having the Scoring Committee classify a Project as a “wet weather” versus “dry weather” project. The Committee expressed openness to revising the vernacular.

# Scoring Committee

## Meeting Minutes



### Water Supply Scoring Pilot

Chair Reznik commented that cost effectiveness ratios are difficult to evaluate because the full project cost accounting, including line-item costs for every project benefit, would be a better evaluation of project cost. Antos added that another option could be to holistically consider a Project's entire cost and entire suite of benefits. Chair Reznik added that benefits across watersheds, rather than individual Projects, can also be used to evaluate the cost effectiveness of Projects.

Chair Reznik discussed the long timeline of regional recycled water programs and asked how to quantify diverted water that will augment Water Supply many years down the line. Chair Reznik underlined the importance of better understanding how much new water the SCW Program is actually creating. Member Sorem commented that more dry weather projects with sewer diversions would be helpful, as Sanitary Districts have begun to have low flow issues due to conservation efforts. The Committee discussed how realistic the SCW Program should be when validating Water Supply Benefits claimed. Chair Reznik commented that Project applicants are currently claiming unrealistic Water Supply Benefits related to metrics from new water created due to diversion to sanitary sewer.

The Committee suggested that more guidance be given to Project applicants on which Net Countable Supply ratio to include in Project applications and suggested including a map online and integration into the Watershed Planning Tool. Vice-Chair Moon also recommended that a ratio be added for the Santa Monica Groundwater Management Authority.

### Other Scoring Feedback

Member Diaz expressed support for the proposed additions related to outreach and engagement. Member Diaz also suggested that a Project application should be deemed incomplete if the applicant responds "No" to whether community engagement has been conducted. Member Diaz also requested budgets be itemized and include line items for engagement.

Member Diaz commented that an engagement component should also be included for all application phases, including O&M. Chair Reznik confirmed with Public Works staff that the Ordinance currently only includes minimum requirements for Water Quality Benefits. Public Works staff explained that outreach and engagement plans are a requirement assessed during completeness checks—and further required in the Transfer Agreement Section A-8—and noted there may be opportunities to improve this requirement. Member Diaz highlighted Proposition 68 and Los Angeles Regional Parks and Open Space Districts as programs with exemplary minimum requirements for community engagement.

Upon inquiry, Public Works clarified that Technical Resource Program (TRP) Projects will soon have scope requirements for community outreach and engagement. The Technical Assistance Team (TAT) coordinates and collaborates with the Project applicant to ensure engagement is conducted. Public Works clarified that no specific minimum cost allocation for engagement has been developed yet, but the TATs will work with the TRP applicants to scope engagement task and determine the cost.

The Committee commented that incorporating Watershed Planning should be an action deferred to the WASCs for the current SIP. However, a Project application's alignment with Watershed Plans will be a requirement per the Feasibility Study Guidelines starting Round 8 (FY27-28) Call for Projects.

### **ii) Operations and Maintenance-Only Applications**

Wardynski provided a potential framework for O&M-only applications, with two categories in mind: previously funded SCW Projects and new Projects requesting O&M only fundings. Vice-Chair Moon commented that for previously funded SCW Program Projects requesting O&M-only funding, the Scoring Committee should still receive post-construction monitoring data. This data could help the Scoring Committee with decision-making for other Projects. Vice-Chair Moon asked what potential consequence would exist if the intended project benefits are not met, as reported in post-construction monitoring data. Wardynski clarified that the monitoring data is currently required for three years following the first

# Scoring Committee

## Meeting Minutes

---



operational year of a constructed project. Also, the WASC would have to decide whether to keep distributing funds to the Project.

Member Sorem suggested tracking the types of items retrieved during the maintenance of stormwater elements and the cost associated with that maintenance, referencing large trash items. Wardynski explained that they will see if learning opportunities regarding these situations can be included.

Vice-Chair Moon noted that there may not be many eligible Projects that fall under the second category of O&M applications, new Projects requesting for O&M only funding. Chair Reznik confirmed with Wardynski that retrofit Projects may also be included in the second category of Projects that were not previously funded for project construction through the SCW Program and will need to be scored by the Scoring Committee.

### **7. Public Comment Period**

David Apt (Olaunu, Project applicant for the Los Angeles Harbor College Projects) provided comment and requested clarity on the CIB Scoring, which is summarized in the Project table above.

### **7) Voting Items**

Member Sorem motioned to send the following Projects below receiving a passing score to the WASC for consideration, seconded by Member Rojas. The Committee voted to approve the motion, with five votes in favor (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

- Darby Park Multi-Benefit Project
- West Los Angeles College Stormwater Improvements Project Upper Los Angeles River
- Los Angeles Harbor College Stormwater Projects
- Calles Verdes at Workman St
- ESGVWMG Drywells Project (Design Only)
- Garvey Avenue Grade Separation Drainage Improvement O&M

Member Sorem motioned to send the following Projects below receiving a passing score to the WASC for consideration, seconded by Vice-Chair Moon. The Committee voted to approve the motion, with four votes in favor and Member Diaz recused himself from the vote (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

- Arroyo Park Infiltration Gallery
- Ganesha Park Stormwater Capture Project

### **8) Items for Next Agenda**

The next meeting is to be announced. Chair Reznik confirmed with Public Works staff that the next Scoring Committee meeting will likely be in the spring. Public Works staff will send out a survey soliciting Member availability.

### **10) Adjournment**

Chair Reznik thanked Committee Members, staff, and the public and adjourned the meeting.

**SCORING COMMITTEE MEETING - December 15, 2025**

Member Type	Quorum Present		12/1/2025 Meeting Minutes	From today, 12/15/25, send scorable projects receiving a passing score [Darby Park Multi-Benefit Project, Los Angeles Harbor College Stormwater Projects, West Los Angeles College Stormwater Improvements Project, Calles Verdes at Workman St, ESGVWMG Drywells Project (Design Only), & Garvey Avenue Grade Separation Drainage Improvement O&M] to WASCs	From today, 12/15/25, send Arroyo Park Infiltration Gallery and Ganesha Park Stormwater receiving a passing score to WASC
	Member	Present?			
Community Investments	Gregory Scott Pierce				
Water Supply / Community Investments / Nature-Based Solutions	Esther Rojas	x	Y	Y	Y
Nature-Based Solutions / Community Investments	David Diaz	x	Not Present	Y	R
Nature-Based Solutions / Community Investments / Water Quality	<b>Bruce Reznik</b>	x	Y	Y	Y
Water Quality	Dave Sorem	x	Y	Y	Y
Water Quality	<b>TJ Moon</b>	x	Y	Y	Y
Total Non-Vacant Seats	6	Yay (Y)	4	5	4
Total Voting Members Present	5	Nay (N)	0	0	0
		Abstain (A)	0	0	0
		Recused (R)	0	0	1
		Total	4	5	5
			Approved	Approved	Approved

## Attendees

### Scoring Committee Meeting December 15, 2025

Alexia	Fred Gonzalez - LACPW	Merrill Taylor (Craftwater)
Alonso Garcia	Gurjot Kohli   Stantec	Michael Ku
Andrew Kim - LACPW	James Carpenter - LACPW	Mike Antos (Regional Coord)
Anna Groehnert - Inglewood	James (Day One)	Mike Scaduto
Anthony Ortega - Pomona	Jenny Chau - LACPW	Oliver Galang (Craftwater)
Aric Martinez	JonPaul Sarro - LACPW	Pauline Nguyen
Brad Wardynski (Craftwater)	Jorge (Pomona WRD)	Randy Wade (Craftwater)
Brenda Ponton (Woodard & Curran)	Katie Harrel (CWE)	Richard Watson
Call-in User_1	Kristina Kreter	Scoring AV
Conor Mossavi	Leslie Martinez	Stephanie Gebhardt Rath
David Coghiel	Linnet Khechatoorian - LACPW	Tammy Hierlihy
David Lee - LACPW	Luis Perez - LACPW	Thom Epps
Dee Corhiran - LACPW	Maggie Gardner (LA Water Keeper)	Thomas Lee (City of Inglewood)
Drew Ready (CWH)	Marisela Velasquez - LACPW	Uriel Cobian - LACPWW
Emily Ng (Regional Coordination)	Mark Nguyen (LASAN)	Angie Silvestre CWE
Eric Bonilla - LACPW	Melanie Hu - LACPW	Valeria Mena

# Scoring Committee Meeting

## COMMITTEE MEMBER SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization	Email Address	Signature
Bruce Reznik	LA Waterkeeper		
Dave Sorem	Mike Bubalo Construction Co., Inc.		
David Diaz	Active SGV		
Esther Rojas	Water Replenishment District		
Gregory Scott Pierce	UCLA		
Taejin Moon	LA County Public Works		

