

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Ballona Creek TMDL Operations and Maintenance Project
Project Lead	LA Sanitation and Environment
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$9,736,678.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Dry
WQ Scoring Pilot	No
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes Ballona Creek TMDL O&M Project
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very large dry-weather project
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	20	20	20	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply – Part 1	13	13	13	13	13	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply – Part 2	12	12	12	12	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recycled water; concerned with schedule in meeting benefits Expecting near-term benefits during dry weather, but fully built-out Hyperion Water Reclamation Plant won't occur until 2056
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Nature-Based Solutions	0	0	0	15	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Support	4	4	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Obtained many letters of support

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



TOTAL	80	80	80	110	80	.
-------	----	----	----	-----	----	---

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Campus-Community Connection: UCLA's Mobility, Stormwater Capture, and Greening Project
Project Lead	UCLA
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$1,126,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes Campus-Community Connection: UCLA's Mobility, Stormwater Capture, and Greening Project
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	7	7	7	20	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Different pollutant targets for different drainage areas (e.g., areas picking up trash only and other areas with drywells) Recommend using devices that address pollutants and trash May be difficult for drywells due to high groundwater
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	20	10	20	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Claiming 33% zinc reduction
Water Supply – Part 1	4	0	4	13	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Santa Monica GMA needs net countable supply ratios Need a letter of confirmation from groundwater master for SCW construction funding
Water Supply – Part 2	5	2	5	12	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	10	10	10	10	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Secured \$1.1M
Community Support	4	4	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
TOTAL	66	49	66	110	66	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Edward Vincent Jr. Park Stormwater Improvements Project
Project Lead	Inglewood
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$28,493,400.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	11	7	11	20	11	•
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	•
Water Supply – Part 1	0	0	5	13	0	• No letter from watermaster to support claimed benefits; not claiming WS Benefits
Water Supply – Part 2	9	9	9	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10	10	10	10	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds	3	3	3	6	3	• Amounts committed were not clear in leveraged funds supporting documents • Caltrans leverage funding of \$10M
Community Support	4	4	4	4	4	• Good example of community engagement • Robust community engagement; 2-way engagement • Multiple partners in the engagement

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



TOTAL	77	73	82	110	68	•
-------	----	----	----	-----	----	---

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Memorial Park Multi-Benefit Stormwater Capture
Project Lead	Santa Monica
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$11,750,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	9	7	9	20	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 60 in/hr considered high; reduced to a more reasonable
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 85th percentile with high infiltration rate Not reality: 100% bacteria and zinc pollutant reduction
Water Supply – Part 1	7	0	7	13	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New groundwater management authority in CSMB WA, Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) Projects under SGMA are able to get points for Water Supply Benefits
Water Supply – Part 2	9	5	9	12	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase number of trees
Nature-Based Solutions	13	13	13	15	13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removing 3 acres of impervious area
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> City leveraged funding
Community Support	4	4	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good engagement efforts

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



						<ul style="list-style-type: none">• To continue robust engagement in future outreach and phases of the project
TOTAL	83	70	83	110	83	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Reimagining La Brea Tar Pits: An Investment in Community, Green Space, and Water Quality Enhancement
Project Lead	The Natural History Museum
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$1,980,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	5	0	5	20	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low cost-effectiveness ratio
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply – Part 1	0	0	2	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not in a rechargeable aquifer
Water Supply – Part 2	0	0	1	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 103 new trees
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Support	4	4	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extensive outreach conducted including with indigenous communities Mailed over 30,000 households Reached out to community to be partners

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Input from community engagement was incorporated into the design. Commitment to coordinating more in later phases.
TOTAL	60	55	63	110	60	

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	San Vicente Streetscape Plaza
Project Lead	West Hollywood
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$897,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Dry
WQ Scoring Pilot	No
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned with geotechnical report finding groundwater level at 5 feet Typically need minimum of 10 feet from biofiltration to groundwater level "Infiltration of stormwater is not feasible for the project" per application; WASC to obtain clarification from applicant Applicant to conduct more geotechnical analysis for final design Recommend typical low flow diversion and park elements separately
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	20	20	20	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply – Part 1	1	0	1	13	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diverting to bioretention cells Treatment required before irrigation Applicant to further investigate during completion of design

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Water Supply – Part 2	1	0	1	12	1	•
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	11	11	11	15	11	• 22 new trees, removing pavement
Leveraging Funds	0	0	0	6	0	• Good prospect to leverage with Measure A and M
Community Support	2	2	2	4	2	• 3 community workshops, City Council presentations • Requesting more metrics
TOTAL	60	58	60	110	60	• WASC to obtain clarification from applicant on "Infiltration of stormwater is not feasible for the project" per application

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Syd Kronenthal Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	Culver City
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$730,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	No
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	7	7	8	20	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diverting dry weather runoff from Ballona Creek and taking water intended for downstream use. Located upstream from LASAN’s Ballona Creek TMDL O&M project. • Recommend focusing on 77-acre drainage area at the park • Concerned with benefits canceling out with the Ballona Creek Project
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Water Supply – Part 1	10	10	12	13	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Partial water reuse for irrigation at the park and the rest diverts to the Hyperion Water Reclamation Plant (Hyperion set to be complete 2056)
Water Supply – Part 2	12	12	12	12	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community garden
Nature-Based Solutions	5	5	5	15	5	

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 730k leveraged funds
Community Support	0	0	0	4	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Missing supporting documentation for engagement
TOTAL	75	75	78	110	75	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• WASC to consider and discuss the potential of double counting WQ and WS benefits

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	West Los Angeles College Stormwater Improvements Project
Project Lead	Build LACCD
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$3,166,768.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	6	0	6	20	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low cost-effectiveness ratio
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Possible perched groundwater near surface
Water Supply – Part 1	3	0	3	13	Unable to Score 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant received email concurrence from City of Santa Monica, but Scoring Committee requests a letter and details on how project recharges aquifer 1 week: Applicant to provide details on how water being infiltrated is recharging aquifer Rescoring meeting: Applicant to provide letter from City of Santa Monica Applicant provided requested information and documentation
Water Supply – Part 2	3	0	3	12	Unable to Score 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	•
Community Support	2	2	2	4	Unable to Score 2	• Request clarification on specifics of engagement Confirmed the points
TOTAL	65	53	65	110	Unable to Score 65	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	View Park – Windsor Hills Green Alley Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles County
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$500,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	10	7	10	20	10	•
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	• Captured 85 th percentile and trash pollutants
Water Supply – Part 1	3	0	3	13	0	•
Water Supply – Part 2	4	2	4	12	0	•
Community Investment	2	2	2	10	0	• No supporting documents for flood benefits
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	5	•
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	•
Community Support	1	1	1	4	0	•
TOTAL	66	58	66	110	51	• Does not meet the 60-point threshold