



MARK PESTRELLA, Director

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

"To Enrich Lives Through Effective and Caring Service"

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone (626) 458-5100
<http://dpw.lacounty.gov>

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

ADOPTED

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO FILE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

November 04, 2025

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

44 November 4, 2025

EDWARD YEN
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Dear Supervisors:

**WATER RESOURCES CORE SERVICE AREA
SAFE, CLEAN WATER PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 2025-26 REGIONAL PROGRAM
STORMWATER INVESTMENT PLANS
(ALL SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS)
(3-VOTES)**

SUBJECT

Public Works is seeking Board adoption of the recommended Fiscal Year 2025-26 Stormwater Investment Plans for the Safe, Clean Water Program's Regional Program, totaling \$134,157,500.88, and to delegate authority to the Director of Public Works to award, execute, and renew contracts to provide Watershed Coordinator Services not to exceed \$2,300,000.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD ACTING AS THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT:

1. Find that the proposed actions are not a project under the California Environmental Quality Act for the reasons stated in this Board letter and the record of the Safe, Clean Water Program.
2. Adopt the recommended Stormwater Investment Plans for Fiscal Year 2025-26 for the Safe, Clean Water Program's Regional Program, totaling \$134,157,500.88.
3. Delegate authority to the Chief Engineer of the Los Angeles County Flood Control District or his designee to award and execute as-needed contracts to provide Watershed Coordinator Services, as recommended in the Stormwater Investment Plans, to assist with implementation of the Safe, Clean Water Program, and award and execute additional future contracts for Watershed Coordinator Services to replace any contracts not renewed during the contract period or add additional contracts

as budgeted in the Stormwater Investment Plan for each watershed area. Each contract has a maximum contract sum not to exceed \$200,000 or other amounts as recommended in the Stormwater Investment Plan annually or as approved in each subsequent Stormwater Investment Plan; each with a term of 1 year, four 1-year renewal options, and a month-to-month extension of up to 6 months for a maximum potential contract term of 66 months.

4. Delegate authority to the Chief Engineer of the Los Angeles County Flood Control District or his designee, in their sole discretion, to provide additional funding annually to watershed coordinators through the Technical Resources Program for each respective watershed area that may warrant an increase in their annual contract amount. This delegation enables flexibility in addressing additional evolving program needs and ensures that sufficient resources are available to support community engagement, project development, and addressing the needs of Disadvantaged Communities, along with technical assistance efforts across all watershed areas. Additional program needs are often unforeseen and require additional watershed coordinator support, which is crucial for effective and timely implementation of the program. Funding allocations will be made based on program priorities and identified needs within each watershed area, in alignment with the overall objectives of the Technical Resources Program, as adopted by the Board.

5. Delegate authority to the Chief Engineer of the Los Angeles County Flood Control District or his designee to exercise renewal options for any or all of these watershed coordinator services contracts consistent with the recommendation by the respective Watershed Area Steering Committee; to approve and execute amendments to incorporate necessary changes within the scope of work; and to suspend work if, in the opinion of the Chief Engineer of the Los Angeles County Flood Control District or his designee, it is in the best interest of Los Angeles County or the Los Angeles County Flood Control District to do so.

6. Appoint the recommended agency members to the Watershed Area Steering Committees, and delegate authority to the Chief Engineer of the Los Angeles County Flood Control District or his designee to appoint replacement agency representatives if a member withdraws or is removed before the end of their term.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

The purpose of the recommended actions is to adopt the Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs) for each of the nine watershed areas in the Safe, Clean Water (SCW) Program's Regional Program for the 2025-26 Fiscal Year (FY) as shown in Enclosure A, as developed by the respective Watershed Area Steering Committees (WASC) and recommended by the Regional Oversight Committee (ROC); and appoint the recommended members to the WASC as described in Enclosure B. Each of the nine watershed area SIPs can be reviewed on the SCW Program website at <https://safecleanwaterla.org/fy-2025-26-projects/>. The SIPs include recommendations to fund continuing regional watershed-based multi-benefit projects, conduct scientific studies, and provide technical resources, including development of project concepts and ongoing Watershed Coordinator Services. The SIPs, as shown in Enclosure A, are a summary of the recommendations and findings, the benefits of the proposed expenditures, the public process to develop these recommendations, and additional comments from the ROC.

Executive summaries for the continuing Infrastructure Program projects remain available on the SCW Program website at <https://safecleanwaterla.org/fy-2024-25-projects/> under "Infrastructure Program FY 2024-25 Executive Summaries". Copies are also available at Los Angeles County Public Works, Stormwater Planning Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, 11th Floor, Alhambra,

California 91803.

The Regional Program governance committees, consisting of the nine WASCs, the Scoring Committee, and the ROC, held 85 public meetings to ultimately select project concepts and scientific studies included in the recommended SIPs. New Infrastructure Program projects were not included during the FY 2025-26 SIPs, as there was a pause in applications to facilitate adaptive management of the SCW Program. The WASCs also considered independent third-party analyses funded by the Los Angeles County Flood Control District (District) and coordinated by CASC Engineering and Consulting, Inc., when determining which scientific studies to include in their respective SIPs. On June 11, 2025, and July 9, 2025, the ROC reviewed each SIP and recommended that all nine be approved.

The nine recommended SIPs, in aggregate, comprise the proposed Regional Program budget for FY 2025-26 of \$134,157,500.88 (including the funding for watershed coordinators) and, upon adoption, will dictate funding allocations for FY 2025-26. The nine recommended SIPs also include the Regional Program's current revenue forecasts and projected budget allocation through FY 2029-30, which, including leveraged funds, represent nearly \$1.7 billion in investments into multi-benefit green stormwater infrastructure. These projections will continue to be revisited each year, along with new projects submitted for each subsequent call for projects.

The recommended SIPs help achieve SCW Program goals through meaningful water supply increases, water quality improvements, and community enhancements, all of which support public health. Additionally, the recommended SIPs prioritize projects that invest in Disadvantaged Communities, utilize Nature-Based Solutions, and advance compliance with existing water quality regulations.

Watershed Coordinators

The recommended actions is also to provide watershed coordinators for each of the watershed areas as mandated in the SCW Program Implementation Ordinance and identified in each SIP. Watershed coordinators connect potential SCW Program applicants with the necessary technical resources and build inclusion and meaningful community and stakeholder engagement in pursuit of SCW Program goals. Watershed coordinators also provide regular reports on progress and activities to the WASCs, participate as non-voting members in WASC meetings, and help individuals and organizations in their communities to obtain, improve, and retain the skills, knowledge, tools, equipment, and other resources needed to do their jobs competently. The watershed coordinators are funded through each watershed area's Technical Resources Program. A minimum of one watershed coordinator is required for each watershed area, and an additional watershed coordinator for each 1 million people in population for that area. For Central Santa Monica Bay, there are two required watershed coordinators, while the Upper Los Angeles River area requires three.

WASC Agency Members

The recommended action will appoint primary members for a new term for the WASC agency seats, and the primary members will, in turn, be required to identify qualified alternates from their respective organizations to attend committee meetings and vote in their absence. In addition, it will delegate authority to the Chief Engineer of the District or his designee to appoint replacement WASC members, in consultation with the agency, if an agency member withdraws or is removed prior to completing his/her full term. See Enclosure B for the proposed agency member seat roster. Each WASC is comprised of 17 members: seven municipal members filled via an election process by representatives from municipalities within each watershed area; five agency members appointed by

the Board, representing agency seats (District, water agency, groundwater agency or a second water agency if no groundwater agency exists in the watershed area, sanitation agency, and municipal parks/open space agency); and five community stakeholder members also appointed by the Board, representing business, environmental justice, environmental interests, and two at-large stakeholders.

Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals

These recommendations support the County Strategic Plan: North Star 2, Foster Vibrant and Resilient Communities, Focus Area Goal A, Public Health, Strategy i, Population Based Health; Focus Area Goal D, Sustainability, Strategy i, Climate Health, Strategy iii, Natural Resources, and Strategy iv, Environmental Justice; Focus Area Goal E, Economic Health, Strategy iv, Disadvantaged Communities; and Focus Area Goal F, Community Connections, Strategy ii, Community Participation, by advancing public health, sustainability, and community connections through equitable investments in water quality, supply, and related community benefits. These recommendations also support the County Strategic Plan: North Star 3, Realize Tomorrow's Government Today, Focus Area Goal A, Communication and Public Access, Strategy i, Customer Service, Strategy ii, Stakeholder Engagement, and Strategy iii, Participatory Budgeting; Focus Area Goal C, Equity-Centered Policies and Practices; and Focus Area Goal E, Data-Driven Decision Making, Strategy i, Facilitate Data Sharing, and Strategy ii, Budgeting, by fostering transparent, collaborative, and data-driven decision making.

The SIPs advance vibrant, resilient communities by improving public health, sustainability, and community connections. Developed through an equity lens, they deliver water quality, supply, and community benefits that uplift Disadvantaged Communities and align with the Our County Sustainability Plan. These collaboratively developed, data-driven actions enhance the County's ability to combat climate change and support the County Water Plan's goal of achieving safe, clean, and reliable water resources sustainably and equitably.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

There will be no impact on the County General Fund.

The recommended FY 2025-26 SCW Regional Program cost is \$134,157,500.88, which includes funding for all nine SIPs and continued Watershed Coordinator Services within each of the watershed areas.

Funding for the SIPs is available in the Measure W-SCW Regional Program Funds (B43, B44, B45, B46, B47, B48, B49, B50, B51 - Other Charges, Services & Supplies, and Other Financing Uses) FY 2025-26 Budgets.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

On July 17, 2018, following a very extensive community engagement process, the Board approved a resolution calling for and giving notice of an election to, upon voter approval, establish the Los Angeles Region SCW Program and its funding via special parcel tax by adding Chapter 16 of the District Code.

On November 6, 2018, Measure W (the SCW Program ballot measure) was successfully passed by the voters. The SCW Program's annual Special Parcel Tax was first collected for FY 2019-20 and has been collected in each subsequent FY.

On August 6, 2019, after further consultation with local and regional agencies, organizations, and interested parties, the Board adopted an ordinance to implement the SCW Program by adding Chapter 18 of the District Code.

On June 9, 2020, the Board approved a standard template transfer agreement that established the terms and conditions for the transfer of SCW Program funds to Regional Program fund recipients and delegated authority to the District's Chief Engineer to execute transfer agreements subject to the Board's approval of the annual SIPs.

These SIPs represent the sixth year of Regional Program implementation. Upon the Board's adoption of SIPs, the proposed recipients included in each SIP will be sent a transfer agreement conforming to the standard template previously approved by the Board. Upon execution of the transfer agreement, the recipients will receive the approved funding amount for implementation of the approved activity(ies) identified in the SIPs.

Under Section 18.07.B.1 of Chapter 18 of the District Code, the Board is the only body authorized to approve SIPs, and unless and until approved by the Board, no transfer agreement may be entered into and no disbursement of SCW Regional Program funds for FY 2025-26 may occur.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

The recommended actions are not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act because they are activities that are excluded from the definition of a project by Section 21065 of the California Public Resources Code and Section 15378(b) of the California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines.

The proposed actions would create a government funding mechanism that does not involve any commitment to a specific project that may result in a potentially significant physical impact on the environment. The recommended actions are also organizational or administrative activities of the government, which will not result in direct or indirect physical changes to the environment.

Contracting Process

On August 27, 2024, a notice of the Request for Statement of Qualifications (RFSQ) was placed on the County's "Doing Business with Los Angeles County" website (Enclosure C), "Do Business with Public Works" website, and X (formerly Twitter). In addition, advertisements were placed in the Los Angeles Daily Journal, Los Angeles Sentinel, La Opinion, The Daily Breeze, The Signal (Santa Clarita), World Journal, Daily Commerce, The Malibu Times, Press Telegram, and Pasadena Star News. Also, Public Works informed 1,677 Local Small Business Enterprises, 171 Disabled Veteran Business Enterprises, 177 Social Enterprises, 942 Community Business Enterprises, and 1,385 Community-Based Organizations. Additionally, the Department of Economic Opportunity conducted a focused outreach and informed 730 related businesses about this business opportunity.

The service contracts for watershed coordinators were solicited on an open-competitive basis in accordance with applicable Federal, State, and County requirements. Two optional proposers' conferences were held on September 12 and 16, 2024, using the online WebEx meeting platform and included over 24 participants. Over 23 inquiries from prospective proposers were received and addressed.

On November 7, 2024, 13 Statement of Qualifications (SOQs) were received. The SOQs were reviewed to ensure they met the minimum mandatory requirements outlined in the RFSQ. Of the received SOQs, 12 of the 13 met these mandatory requirements. One SOQ was disqualified for not

meeting the minimum mandatory requirements in RFSQ. The 12 SOQs were then evaluated in Phase 1 by an evaluation committee consisting of Public Works staff utilizing the informed averaging methodology. The committee's evaluation was based on criteria described in the RFSQ, including proposed price, experience, work plan, and references. Public Works is currently in the Phase 2 evaluation phase of the solicitation. Watershed coordinators will be selected by each respective WASC following an interview process. Following Board approval of these actions and WASC selection of each watershed coordinator, Public Works will award and execute the corresponding watershed coordinator contracts.

The contracts to be awarded pursuant to the delegation to the Chief Engineer or his designee will be in the form substantially similar to the form previously reviewed and approved by County Counsel (Enclosure D) and will comply with the Board's ordinances, policies, and programs. The contractors will be selected upon final analysis and consideration without regard to race, creed, gender, or color.

Public Works has evaluated and determined that the contracted service for watershed coordinator services is not subject to Proposition A (County Code Chapter 2.121) and the Living Wage Program (County Code Chapter 2.201) because the watershed coordinators have specialized experience and skills to accomplish the necessary work for the respective watershed area. The contract is not for personnel services, which are currently performed by County employees or could be performed by County employees through the recruitment of additional personnel.

Use of delegated authority to award and execute contracts for the watershed coordinators will be reported to the Board in the SCW Program's annual reports.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)

There will be no negative impact on current County services.

CONCLUSION

Please return one adopted copy of this letter to Public Works, Stormwater Planning Division.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors

11/4/2025

Page 7

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Mark Pestrella". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Mark" and last name "Pestrella" clearly distinguishable.

MARK PESTRELLA, PE

Director

MP:MJF:lgu

Enclosures

c: Auditor-Controller (Asset Management)
Chief Executive Office (Christine Frias)
County Counsel (Tiffany Shin)
Executive Office, Board of Supervisors

ENCLOSURE

November 4, 2025

**WATER RESOURCES CORE SERVICE AREA
SAFE, CLEAN WATER PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 2025-26 REGIONAL PROGRAM
STORMWATER INVESTMENT PLANS
(ALL SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS)
(3-VOTES)**

This Board letter has large enclosures.
Click on link to access:

[Safe, Clean Water Program Stormwater Investment Plans \(Enclosures-FTP Link\)](#)



SAFE CLEAN WATER PROGRAM

Stormwater Investment Plans Regional Oversight Committee Recommendation

Fiscal Year 2025-2026

Enclosure A





Stormwater Investment Plans Regional Oversight Committee Recommendation

The Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP) is an annual five (5) year plan developed by each Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) that recommends funding allocations for Projects and Programs in the Regional Program's Infrastructure Program, Technical Resources Program, and Scientific Studies Program.

The purpose of the SIP for each Watershed Area is to capture recommended programming for the upcoming fiscal year, as well as anticipated recommendations for the next four subsequent years.

The role of the Regional Oversight Committee (ROC) is to review each SIP, determine whether and to what extent each SIP achieves the Safe, Clean Water (SCW) Program Goals, and provides its findings to the Board of Supervisors with recommendations regarding whether each SIP should be approved. The ROC does not have line-item veto power; however, before providing a recommendation to the Board, the ROC provides its findings and recommendations on each SIP to the respective WASCs if there are any identified concerns. The WASCs consider the findings and recommendations from the ROC as guidance to potentially revise their current SIP prior to Board consideration, or at least to enhance future SIPs. ROC feedback is included in this transmittal of SIPs to the Board for approval.

The following sections include the ROC assessment of how the SCW program goals were met and other considerations:

1	Executive Summary	3
2	Summary of ROC Comments	6
3	Achievement of Safe, Clean Water Program Goals	8
3.1	Project Benefits – Water Quality, Water Supply, and Community Investment	8
3.2	Leveraged Funds and Community Support	11

3.3 Disadvantaged Communities (DAC) Benefits12

3.4 Project Types and Sizes13

3.5 Nature-Based Solutions14

3.6 Long Term Planning Considerations15

3.7 Other Safe, Clean Water Program Goals15

4 Recommendation20

Attachments:

- Attachment A – Summary of Regional Program Stormwater Investment Plans

1 Executive Summary

The SCW Program's Special Parcel Tax currently generates approximately \$139.0M of annual Regional Program funds across the nine different Watershed Areas.

For Fiscal Year 2025-2026 (FY25-26), 10 Technical Resources Program and 28 Scientific Study applications were submitted for consideration. The SCW Program deferred Infrastructure Program Project applications until Call for Projects Fiscal Year 2026-2027 in alignment with the Board of Supervisors' motion [on Progress and Adaptive Management of the Safe, Clean Water Program](#), and [the resulting ordinance revision](#). Therefore, no new Infrastructure Program Project applications were considered for Fiscal Year 2025-2026. After careful review and consideration for each Project, Project concept, and Scientific Study, the nine WASCs voted to include 9 Technical Resources Program Project concepts, 18 Scientific Studies, and 12 Watershed Coordinators into the recommended SIPs.

A total of \$391.5M of SCW funds is programmed into the nine SIPs over the current 5-year period. Of that total, \$361.5M (92.32% of the total), \$15.1M (3.85% of the total), and \$15.0M (3.83% of the total) funds is allocated towards the Infrastructure Program, Technical Resources Program, and Scientific Studies Program, respectively.

Below is a summary of the total funding budgeted and currently projected over the next 5 years for all WASCs, including both new projects in the current recommended SIPs and continuing projects from previous SIPs. Below is a summary by program and year. Refer to Attachment A, the nine WASC-specific SIP transmittals (<https://safecleanwaterla.org/fy-2025-26-projects/>), and the SIP tool (<https://portal.safecleanwaterla.org/sip-tool/>) for the full Final Recommended SIPs with additional project details.

Table 1-1. Summary of applications received and included in SIP for FY25-26

Number of Applications		
Program	Submitted	Recommended in SIP
Infrastructure Program	-	-
Technical Resources Program	10	9
Scientific Study	28	18
Total	38	27

Table 1-2. Total funding budgeted and currently projected for the annual five (5) year plan

Watershed Area	FY25-26 Budget	FY26-27 Projection	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection	FY29-30 Projection
Central Santa Monica Bay	\$18,672,129.91	\$13,974,339.38	\$3,744,328.49	\$2,663,850.00	\$400,000.00
Lower Los Angeles River	\$16,746,261.57	\$12,360,930.91	\$10,478,104.00	\$8,681,755.00	\$333,498.00
Lower San Gabriel River	\$17,001,817.24	\$16,410,639.85	\$2,577,206.71	\$284,588.00	\$200,000.00
North Santa Monica Bay	\$921,912.47	\$593,968.51	\$618,915.14	\$616,324.99	\$100,000.00
Rio Hondo	\$11,446,557.01	\$11,258,351.45	\$8,328,754.42	\$605,448.50	\$200,000.00
Santa Clara River	\$460,812.32	\$345,359.27	\$307,937.00	\$16,483,485.00	\$200,000.00
South Santa Monica Bay	\$14,354,854.64	\$18,623,368.00	\$12,299,202.00	\$540,588.00	\$200,000.00
Upper Los Angeles River	\$34,355,755.72	\$40,980,423.09	\$42,172,169.71	\$14,829,988.00	\$5,622,000.00
Upper San Gabriel River	\$20,197,400.00	\$9,336,902.00	\$402,343.00	\$411,470.00	\$200,000.00
Grand Total	\$134,157,500.88	\$123,884,282.46	\$80,928,960.47	\$45,117,497.49	\$7,455,498.00

Table 1-3. Total funding budgeted and currently projected for the Infrastructure Program* by Supervisorial District

Supervisorial District	FY25-26 Budget	FY26-27 Projection	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection	FY29-30 Projection
1	\$27,080,599.00	\$26,986,486.00	\$21,735,181.00	\$375,280.00	\$0.00
2	\$24,157,360.50	\$10,743,674.00	\$5,712,597.00	\$2,379,262.00	\$200,000.00
3	\$15,631,302.14	\$20,543,313.31	\$21,646,461.14	\$15,590,030.99	\$5,522,000.00
4	\$41,648,352.24	\$47,402,800.15	\$23,122,486.33	\$9,053,167.00	\$533,498.00
5	\$22,597,385.00	\$16,750,459.00	\$8,420,039.00	\$17,719,757.50	\$1,200,000.00
Multiple	\$3,042,502.00	\$1,457,550.00	\$292,196.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Grand Total	\$134,157,500.88	\$123,884,282.46	\$80,928,960.47	\$45,117,497.49	\$7,455,498.00

Table 1-4. Total funding budgeted and currently projected by Funding Program

Funding Program	# of Projects	Total Budgeted & Projected to Date	Total Leveraged Funds	Total 5-yr SIP (FY25-30)	SCW Funding benefitting DACs for 5-yr SIP (FY25-30)
Infrastructure	136^[1]	\$967,424,538.73	\$621,749,146.75	\$362,385,498.71	\$283,793,833.90
Projects Approved in Previous SIPs FY	136 ^[1]	\$967,424,538.73	\$621,749,146.75	\$362,385,498.71	\$283,793,833.90
New Projects Recommended FY25-26	0	-	-	-	-
Scientific Studies	66^[2]	\$32,964,533.46	N/A	\$15,058,240.59	N/A
Studies Approved in Previous SIPs FY	48	\$27,043,242.19	N/A	\$9,136,949.32	N/A
New Studies Recommended FY25-26	18	\$5,921,291.27	N/A	\$5,921,291.27	N/A
Technical Resources	62^[3]	\$38,900,000.00	N/A	\$15,000,000.00	\$2,800,000.00
Watershed Coordinators	12	\$23,000,000.00	N/A	\$11,500,000.00	\$0.00
Concepts Approved in Previous SIPs FY	41 ^[3]	\$12,400,000.00	N/A	\$0.00	\$0.00
New Concepts Recommended FY25-26	9	\$3,500,000.00	\$0.00	\$3,500,000.00	\$2,800,000.00
Grand Total	264	\$1,039,289,072.19	\$624,136,744.43	\$392,443,739.30	\$286,593,833.90

[1] Excludes 2 Projects that were withdrawn by the applicant.

[2] Scientific Studies across multiple Watershed Areas are counted individually and represent a total of 26 unique Scientific Studies.

[3] Excludes 2 Concepts that were withdrawn by the applicant.

The suite of 136 approved and recommended Infrastructure Program Projects (new and continuing) represents over \$1.6 billion invested through FY29-30 (\$967M of SCW Regional Program dollars) and will:

- Capture stormwater from over 276,262 acres
- Provide an increase in total 24-hr storage capacity of 4,283^[1] acre-feet for wet -weather projects
- Provide an increase in annual average stormwater capture of 28,602^[2] acre-feet
- Remove 51^[1] acres of impervious area
- Reduce numerous pollutants and prioritize MS4 compliance
- Leverage nearly \$622M in other funding
- Invest nearly \$770M in projects benefiting Disadvantaged Communities
- Be implemented across 52 Municipalities
- Include additional benefits described in the following sections

The ROC recommends all 9 SIPs be approved as-is with comments as described below.

2 Summary of ROC Comments

Below is a summary of the ROC comments, and additional details are available in the ROC meeting minutes on the website (<https://safecleanwaterla.org/regional-oversight-committee/>).

ROC members expressed concern over cost escalations and schedule delays from submitted Project Modification Requests (PMRs). The ROC highlighted the need for timely project reporting and reviews of PMRs to establish a trend of project cost escalations related to material, labor, and operations and maintenance costs. Understanding this trend may assist project developers in estimating the total project cost during the application phase, potentially reducing the number of PMRs. The ROC also praised project developers who attempted to secure leveraged funding for their projects in an effort to offset rising project costs.

- Central Santa Monica Bay (CSMB) SIP
On June 11, 2025, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the CSMB SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration. Member Mehranian recused herself from the vote due to conflict of interest. Member Camacho

^[1] Value decreased compared to FY24-25 SIP summary because of one project that was withdrawn by the applicant.

^[2] Value decreased compared to FY24-25 SIP summary because of one project withdrawal and one project correction.

expressed a desire to have more detailed descriptions of PMRs that clearly explain changes to project benefits or scope.

- Lower Los Angeles River (LLAR) SIP

On June 11, 2025, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the LLAR SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration.

- Lower San Gabriel River (LSGR) SIP

On June 11, 2025, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the LSGR SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration.

- North Santa Monica Bay (NSMB) SIP

On July 9, 2025, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the NSMB SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration. ROC members requested more detailed information regarding project-level schedule delays be provided within SIPs to support improvements of the SCW Program in future years.

- Rio Hondo (RH) SIP

On July 9, 2025, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the RH SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration. RH WASC Chair, Dawn Perschauer, recommended to prioritize workforce development programs to expand the regional workforce to support long-term O&M needs. Multiple ROC members expressed agreement regarding regional workforce development, with the possibility of the County establishing a centralized workforce that cities could contract with to receive O&M support.

- Santa Clara River (SCR) SIP

On June 11, 2025, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the SCR SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration.

- South Santa Monica Bay (SSMB) SIP

On July 9, 2025, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the SSMB SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration.

- Upper Los Angeles River (ULAR) SIP

On July 9, 2025, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the ULAR SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration. A member of the ROC commended ULAR for providing the Street Sweeping Study with all requested

funding in its first year, citing the importance of receiving findings from Scientific Studies in an efficient manner.

- Upper San Gabriel River (USGR) SIP
On July 9, 2025, the ROC did not have any specific feedback on the USGR SIP and recommended the SIP for Board consideration.
- General SCW Program Recommendations (from June 9, 2025, and July 11, 2025, meetings of the ROC)
 - Public Works staff should include in the WASC SIP transmittals project phases, progress, schedule delays, and more details on the PMRs.
 - Public Works staff should provide more guidance regarding PMRs to increase transparency and accountability for project developers.
 - Consider incorporating risk assessments in the evaluation of projects to limit the number of PMRs requesting additional funding.
 - Conduct an analysis of cumulative regional benefits across SIP cycles.
 - Safe, Clean Water Program should take a more proactive role in project planning, leveraging watershed planning team efforts.

3 Achievement of Safe, Clean Water Program Goals

LACFCD Code Ch18.04 identifies the various goals of the Safe, Clean Water Program. The following sections summarize how the recommended SIPs achieve these goals.

3.1 Project Benefits – Water Quality, Water Supply, and Community Investment

The scoring committee evaluated the benefits provided by each project, including Water Quality Benefits, Water Supply Benefits, Community Investment Benefits, Nature-Based Solutions, and Leveraging Funds and Community Support as defined in the Project Scoring Criteria in the Feasibility Study Guidelines. As shown in the web plot below, all 5 scored benefit categories are represented in the proposed Regional Program budget, with water quality being the core benefit.

Overall Scoring Category Distribution



Below are tables that summarize the information collected through the Projects Module and Project Dashboard for the Infrastructure Program Projects included in the recommended SIPs. The numbers represent the number of Infrastructure Program Projects providing the benefit. This includes the overall scoring category distributions and an overview of the Water Quality, Water Supply, and Community Investment Benefits for continuing projects.

Table 3-1. Summary of estimated benefits for IP Projects

Number of Benefits Provided by Infrastructure Program Projects	
Primary Pollutant Addressed	
69	Zinc
16	Bacteria
7	Nitrogen
44	Other*
Water Supply Benefits	
72	Connected to Aquifer
21	Sends to WW Treatment Plant for Reuse
40	Uses Water Onsite

Number of Benefits Provided by Infrastructure Program Projects	
Community Investment Benefits	
116	Reduces Heat Island Effect
108	Provides Recreational Opportunities
122	Increases Shade and Trees
108	Improves Flood Protection
42	Improves Waterways Access
116	Enhances Habitat or Park Space
29	Enhances Green Spaces at Schools
Nature-Based Solutions	
131	Mimics Natural Processes
128	Uses Natural Materials
Leveraging Funds	
97	Leverages Shared Funds

*Primary Pollutant Addressed does not apply to Dry Weather Projects. "Other" includes Dry Weather Projects, Copper, Lead, Toxics, Phosphorus, and Chlorides.

Table 3-2. Summary of IP Project attributes to date

Watershed Area	Area Managed by Project (acres)	*24-hr Capacity (acre-feet)	Annual Average Stormwater Capture (acre-feet)
Central Santa Monica Bay	78,085	146	7,410
Lower Los Angeles River	29,387	200	2,415
Lower San Gabriel River	40,582	350	4,850
North Santa Monica Bay	1,889	6	1,024
Rio Hondo	67,500	94	2,300
Santa Clara River	2,457	65	1,014
South Santa Monica Bay	27,690	477	2,393
Upper Los Angeles River	21,324	2,633	4,584
Upper San Gabriel River	7,348	311	2,612
Grand Total	276,262	4,283	28,602

*24-hr Capacity is for wet weather projects only.

3.2 Leveraged Funds and Community Support

Below is a summary of SCW funding allocations, leveraged funds, and community support (program-wide), including both new and continuing Infrastructure Program Projects.

Table 3-3. Summary of IP Project funding allocations, leveraged funds, and community support to date

Watershed Area	Total SCW Funding Allocated	Total Leveraged Funds	Number of Projects	Number of Projects with Community Support*
Central Santa Monica Bay	\$113,023,241.00	\$143,111,986.01	14	13
Lower Los Angeles River	\$101,780,777.40	\$68,283,831.44	13	9
Lower San Gabriel River	\$109,397,967.00	\$64,257,850.00	20	14
North Santa Monica Bay	\$4,222,448.80	\$8,351,450.01	4	3
Rio Hondo	\$74,906,048.50	\$47,690,997.22	17	15
Santa Clara River	\$41,467,268.00	\$15,887,500.01	4	1
South Santa Monica Bay	\$124,039,894.00	\$46,086,799.05	15	10
Upper Los Angeles River	\$284,709,157.03	\$190,322,975.01	35	24
Upper San Gabriel River	\$112,977,737.00	\$37,755,758.00	14	9
Grand Total	\$966,524,538.73	\$621,749,146.75	136	110

*Reminder: "Community Support" means that the project received points from the Scoring Committee for demonstrating such support via meaningful engagement, partnerships with NGOs/CBOs, and community support documentation. Where the number of projects with community support differs from the total number of projects, it does not equate to opposition of a project.

3.3 Disadvantaged Communities (DAC) Benefits

Compliant with LACFCD Code Ch18.07.B.2.c.

Below is an overview of SCW funding allocated toward Infrastructure Program Projects that provide DAC Benefits, including both new and continuing projects. To better assist with and standardize this determination in the future, Los Angeles County Public Works (PW) staff developed interim guidance for implementing Disadvantage Community Policies in the Regional Program. The latest Interim guidance is available on our website (<https://safecleanwaterla.org/what-we-do/adaptive-management/>).

Table 3-4. Summary of SCW funding allocated towards IP Projects that provide DAC Benefits

Watershed Area	Total SCW Funding Allocated FY25-30	Summary of DAC Funding FY25-30	DAC Ratio*	Required Funding for DACs FY24-29 (110%)
Central Santa Monica Bay	\$34,844,323.00	\$24,344,323.00	45 %	\$ 17,301,600.14
Lower Los Angeles River	\$46,703,677.40	\$46,703,677.40	67 %	\$ 34,626,106.42
Lower San Gabriel River	\$32,549,309.00	\$22,009,337.00	22 %	\$ 7,705,072.43
North Santa Monica Bay	\$1,603,666.31	\$0.00	0 %	\$ -
Rio Hondo	\$28,440,040.50	\$26,029,226.50	33 %	\$ 10,308,092.68
Santa Clara River	\$16,279,897.00	\$16,279,897.00	12 %	\$ 2,211,624.01
South Santa Monica Bay	\$44,286,657.50	\$17,037,385.00	30 %	\$ 14,955,604.24
Upper Los Angeles River	\$130,070,072.00	\$116,006,296.00	45 %	\$ 64,527,762.72
Upper San Gabriel River	\$26,707,856.00	\$14,483,692.00	22 %	\$ 6,598,442.90
Grand Total	\$361,485,498.71	\$282,893,833.90		\$ 158,234,305.54

*These figures are based on 2020 US Census data; will be updated periodically.

As shown, the total Safe, Clean Water Funds benefiting DAC over a rolling 5-year period for the recommended SIP exceeds the required minimum funding for DACs for each Watershed Area.

3.4 Project Types and Sizes

Below is a summary of project types and a table of the total capture area in acres for the new and continuing Infrastructure Program (IP) Projects included in the recommended SIPs.

Table 3-5. Summary of IP Project types to date

IP Project BMP Type	Number of IP Projects
Dry	29
Biofiltration	1
Bioretention	3
Diversion to Sanitary Sewer	4
Infiltration Facility	6
Infiltration Well	1
Treatment Facility	14
Wet	107*
Biofiltration	6
Bioretention	5
Cistern	8
Diversion to Sanitary Sewer	5
Infiltration Facility	39*
Infiltration Well	22
Treatment Facility	22
Grand Total	136*

*Total decreased from SIP FY24-25 due to a withdrawal of a project by developer

Table 3-6. Summary of IP Project capture area sizes to date

Capture Area	Number of IP Projects
< 0-200 acres	44*
200-1,000 acres	48
> 1,000+ acres	44
Grand Total	136*

*Total decreased from SIP FY24-25 due to a withdrawal of a project by developer

3.5 Nature-Based Solutions

Compliant with LACFCD Code Ch18.07.B.2.f.

Of the 136 new and continuing Infrastructure Program Projects included in the SIP that implement Nature-Based Solutions (NBS), 132 mimic natural processes and 129 use natural materials.

Table 3-7. Summary of Nature-Based Solutions incorporated in IP Projects

Nature-based Solutions	
Solution	Count
Mimics Natural Processes	131
Uses Natural Materials	128

Mimics Natural Process: Implements natural processes or mimics natural processes to slow, detain, capture, and absorb/infiltrate water in a manner that protects, enhances, and/or restores habitat, green space, and/or usable open space.

Uses Natural Materials: Utilizes natural materials such as soils and vegetation with a preference for native vegetation.

For reference, PW previously developed interim guidance related to developing and programming Nature-Based Solutions. The latest Interim guidance is available on our website (<https://safecleanwaterla.org/what-we-do/adaptive-management/>).

3.6 Long Term Planning Considerations

The WASCs incorporated long-term planning by considering anticipated future construction costs for continuing and new projects during SIP development. Additionally, there was enhanced coordination with Watershed Coordinators, as well as collaboration/integration with certain other regional resources and or needs assessments, such as the Disadvantaged Community Involvement Program. This coordination, collaboration, and partnership will continue to grow for future rounds in tandem with PW's current Metrics and Monitoring Study, to ensure the most effective adaptive management as well as proactive planning and tracking for the long term.

The projects included in the recommended SIP were selected based on the results from the Preliminary Ranking Worksheet and robust discussion of Project benefits, anticipated future funding requests, and available funding. The future anticipated construction costs were estimated and confirmed by project applicants, and actual future SCW funding requests for construction may differ due to updated project estimates, leveraged funding, awarded grants, or local match.

In addition, the annual Operations and Maintenance (O&M) projections provided in the Project applications for the new and continuing Projects were included in the SIP tool to assist decision-making.

3.7 Other Safe, Clean Water Program Goals

Below is a summary of other SCW goals and how they were addressed:

- **Investment in independent scientific research**

Below is a summary of the new and continuing Scientific Studies and the total SCW Funding allocations for FY25-30.

Table 3-8. New and continuing Scientific Studies SCW Funding allocations

Scientific Studies	Total 5-yr SIP (FY24-29)
FY20-21	\$147,100.00
preSIP: A Platform for Watershed Science and Project Collaboration	\$147,100.00
FY21-22	\$575,300.14
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	\$575,300.14
FY22-23	\$1,278,620.20
Maximizing Impact of Minimum Control Measures	\$799,115.00
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	\$479,505.20
FY23-24	\$3,313,174.98
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	\$3,313,174.98
FY24-25	\$3,822,754.00
Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity	\$3,653,704.00
Street Sweeping Study	\$169,050.00
FY25-26	\$5,921,291.27
Data-Driven Resource Optimization and Planning System (DROPS) for Los Angeles County	\$245,556.00
Depave LA: Prioritizing Parking Lots for Green Retrofitting	\$713,716.00
Hardscape and Brownfield Transformation Opportunity Study	\$253,400.00
Maximizing Impact of Minimum Control Measures	\$630,000.00
Next Gen Bioretention: Towards Living and Adaptive Stormwater Systems for a Resilient Los Angeles County	\$1,398,744.00
North Santa Monica Bay Dry Weather Storm Drain Diversions	\$405,643.27
Quantifying Community Flood Management Benefits of Watershed-Scale Stormwater Capture	\$470,000.00
Street Sweeping Study (ULAR)	\$688,350.00
The Application of Innovative Technology for Microbiological Testing in the Los Cerritos Channel Watershed	\$1,115,882.00
Grand Total	\$15,058,240.59

- **Benefits to Municipalities**

Recommended Projects to date are distributed throughout the Watershed Area to help ensure compliance with this rolling 5-year criterion in future years. The WASC utilized PW's GIS Reference Map tool to help assess multi-benefit

projects across the Watershed Areas and the region and aid in the development of the SIPs. The GIS Spatial Data Library includes numerous spatial datasets that highlight water quality, water supply, and community investment benefit opportunities. While more data will continue to be collected to track this going forward, the current number of new and continuing Infrastructure Program Projects located within each Municipality is below. See the specific SIP transmittal for details on municipality benefits within that Watershed Area in proportion to the tax collected within that jurisdiction. PW intends to develop guidance for evaluating and tracking municipality benefits in future years.

Table 3-9. Summary of IP Project Municipality locations

Municipality	Number of IP Projects located within Municipality
Agoura Hills	1
Alhambra	2
Arcadia	1
Artesia	1
Baldwin Park	1
Bell Gardens	1
Bellflower	3
Beverly Hills	1
Carson	1
Cerritos	1
Claremont	1
Covina	1
Culver City	3
Downey	3
Duarte	1
El Monte	6
Glendale	2
Glendora	3
Hermosa Beach	1
Huntington Park	1
Inglewood	1
La Canada Flintridge	1
La Habra Heights	1
La Mirada	1
La Puente	1
La Verne	2
Lakewood	1
Lawndale	1
Lomita	7
Long Beach	29
Los Angeles	2
Lynwood	1
Manhattan Beach	2
Monrovia	1
Monterey Park	1
Norwalk	3
Paramount	5
Pasadena	1
Pomona	2
Redondo Beach	1
Rosemead	2
San Dimas	1
San Fernando	1
San Gabriel	1

Municipality	Number of IP Projects located within Municipality
Santa Clarita	2
Santa Monica	1
Signal Hill	1
South El Monte	2
South Gate	1
Torrance	4
Unincorporated (Los Angeles County)	20
Whittier	2
Grand Total	136

- **Implement an iterative planning and evaluation process to ensure adaptive management**

To ensure adaptive management, the WASC shall review the Infrastructure Program Project Developers' semi-annual progress and expenditure reports and the annual summary reports to evaluate whether the schedules, budgets, scopes and expected benefits have significantly changed and remain consistent with the SCW Program Goals. Programs and Projects that are over budget or behind schedule, or that demonstrate reduced or revised scope of benefits, may be adjusted or removed from future SIPs. The Benefits Dashboard and the Reporting modules that track project benefits, metrics, expenditures and progress reports are available via the SCW Portal (<https://portal.safecleanwaterla.org/scw-reporting/map>).

As part of the ongoing adaptive management of the SCW Program, the 2022 Interim Guidance (Guidance) has been developed by PW, with extensive input from stakeholders, for the following areas:

- Strengthening Community Engagement and Support
- Water Supply
- Programming Nature Based Solutions
- Implementing Disadvantaged Community Policies in the Regional Program

Additional issues warranting further guidance may be considered in the future. The next round of guidance may incorporate, as appropriate, findings of the PW-led Metrics and Monitoring Study and the associated Disadvantaged Community and Community Enhancement White Paper (currently anticipated to be completed in late 2023), as well as ongoing work with Watershed Coordinators, the Watershed Area Steering Committees, and WHAM coordination efforts, among others.

- **Promote green jobs and career pathways**

Climate resilient jobs contribute to the creation of sustainable and resilient communities by prioritizing the hiring and training of individuals with barriers to employment. The new project investments are creating jobs, the effort is being supplemented with the development of programs to connect people with jobs and provide training.

- **Ensure ongoing operation and maintenance of projects**

All Projects included in the recommended SIP may (and are expected to, unless noted otherwise) request additional funding for operations and maintenance for a minimum useful life of 30 years and monitoring for 3 years post-construction. PW intends for future SIPs to capture this in the projections as appropriate.

4 Recommendation

The ROC recommends the Board of Supervisors approve the following FY25-26 Regional Program Budgets for each Watershed Area and authorize the LA County Flood Control District to allocate funds (and conduct all related business) to each respective SCW Fund and then to each approved recipient.

Table 4-1. Recommended Regional Program budget for the FY25-26

Watershed Area	FY25-26 Regional Program Budget	ROC Recommendation
Central Santa Monica Bay	\$18,672,129.91	Recommended as is
Lower Los Angeles River	\$16,746,261.57	Recommended as is
Lower San Gabriel River	\$17,001,817.24	Recommended as is
North Santa Monica Bay	\$921,912.47	Recommended as is
Rio Hondo	\$11,446,557.01	Recommended as is
Santa Clara River	\$460,812.32	Recommended as is
South Santa Monica Bay	\$14,354,854.64	Recommended as is
Upper Los Angeles River	\$34,355,755.72	Recommended as is
Upper San Gabriel River	\$20,197,400.00	Recommended as is
Grand Total	\$134,157,500.88	

The adaptive management of the SCW Program continues to effectively advance the Regional Program while refining guidance, processes, and tools that will further maximize SCW Program Goals, demonstrate/report on Goals and metrics, and facilitating enhanced planning for the long term. The regional investments to date address the urgent and growing needs in our communities and in our region. More than ever, investments in creative and innovative solutions are now being made for a resilient future. Overall, the SCW Program Goals are being achieved, and the program is implementing a variety of multi-benefit infrastructure projects that improve water quality, increase local water supply, enhance our communities, and improve public health.

[illegible]

[illegible]

Attachment A
Summary of Regional Program Stormwater Investment Plans

Project Name	Project Lead	FY05-21 Disbursements	FY12-22 Disbursements	FY22-24 Disbursements	FY24-25 Disbursements	FY25-26 Budget	FY26-27 Projection	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection	FY29-30 Projection	Total Projected to Date
West Covina Regional Projects and Green Streets	City of West Covina	\$500,000.00			\$500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$500,000.00
FY24-25					\$6,312,624.00	\$6,313,635.00	\$6,317,622.00	\$6,322,443.00	\$6,327,170.00	\$6,331,898.00	\$13,988,424.00
Franklin Park Stormwater Capture Project, Construction Phase	City of El Segundo				\$6,112,081.00	\$6,112,082.00	\$6,112,082.00	\$6,112,082.00	\$6,112,082.00	\$6,112,082.00	\$18,776,342.00
Scientific Study	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona				\$199,972.00	\$202,953.00	\$205,440.00	\$207,343.00	\$211,470.00	\$215,600.00	\$1,022,778.00
FY25-26					\$655,320.00	\$655,320.00	\$655,320.00	\$655,320.00	\$655,320.00	\$655,320.00	\$3,276,480.00
Scientific Study	Fontana Municipal Water District				\$495,112.00	\$495,112.00	\$495,112.00	\$495,112.00	\$495,112.00	\$495,112.00	\$2,475,560.00
State Water Resources Optimization and Planning System (DROPS) for Los Angeles County	TreePeople				\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00	\$625,000.00
San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments					\$235,441.00	\$235,441.00	\$235,441.00	\$235,441.00	\$235,441.00	\$235,441.00	\$1,177,205.00
West Gen Biorrestoration Towards Living and Adapting Stormwater System for a Resilient Los Angeles County					\$14,417,600.00	\$14,417,600.00	\$14,417,600.00	\$14,417,600.00	\$14,417,600.00	\$14,417,600.00	\$57,670,400.00
Grand Total		\$66,731,546.32	\$44,443,079.50	\$17,739,323.50	\$14,417,600.00	\$14,417,600.00	\$14,417,600.00	\$14,417,600.00	\$14,417,600.00	\$14,417,600.00	\$14,417,600.00

Project Name	Project Lead	FY20-21 Disbursements	FY21-22 Disbursements	FY22-23 Disbursements	FY23-24 Disbursements	FY24-25 Disbursements	FY25-26 Budget	FY26-27 Projection	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection	FY29-30 Projection	Total Projected to Date
Central Santa Monica Bay												
FY20-21 Infrastructure Project	City of Culver City	\$11,537,500.00	\$15,762,500.00	\$19,914,581.75	\$14,299,675.65	\$20,318,758.31	\$18,672,129.91	\$13,974,839.38	\$3,744,328.49	\$2,663,850.00	\$400,000.00	\$122,287,663.49
	City of Culver City	\$11,537,500.00	\$13,462,500.00	\$13,497,900.00	\$5,087,900.00	\$6,347,918.00	\$5,733,333.00	\$4,753,333.00	\$1,733,334.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$62,943,718.00
	MacArthur Lake Rehabilitation Project	\$10,837,500.00	\$13,082,500.00	\$13,097,900.00	\$4,697,900.00	\$5,947,918.00	\$5,333,333.00	\$4,333,333.00	\$1,333,334.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$58,643,718.00
	City of Beverly Hills (Derek Nguyen)	\$2,500,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,000,000.00
	City of Culver City	\$237,500.00	\$712,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$950,000.00
	Los Angeles County Public Works	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,000,000.00
	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$9,397,900.00	\$4,697,900.00	\$5,947,918.00	\$4,000,000.00	\$3,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$31,043,718.00
	Montheth Park and View Park Green Alley Stormwater Improvements Project	\$1,400,000.00	\$3,150,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,550,000.00
	Sustainable Water Infrastructure Project	\$2,500,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,500,000.00
	Washington Boulevard Stormwater and Urban Runoff Diversion	\$1,200,000.00	\$1,200,000.00	\$1,200,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,333,333.00	\$1,333,333.00	\$1,333,334.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,600,000.00
	Technical Resource	\$700,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$4,300,000.00
	Central Santa Monica Bay Watershed Coordinators WC, TBD	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$4,000,000.00
	Edward Vincent Junior Park Stormwater Improvements Project	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
	FY21-22 Infrastructure Project	\$3,300,000.00	\$3,733,831.00	\$3,733,831.00	\$4,966,082.00	\$8,473,468.00	\$6,726,063.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$27,199,444.00
	Ballona Creek TMDL Project	\$5,000,000.00	\$5,000,000.00	\$5,000,000.00	\$5,000,000.00	\$6,500,000.00	\$6,500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$26,899,444.00
												\$22,000,000.00
	Slauson Connect Clean Water Project		\$0.00	\$733,831.00	\$1,966,082.00	\$1,973,468.00	\$226,063.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,899,444.00
	Technical Resource		\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
	Syd Kronenthal Park Stormwater Capture Project		\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
	FY22-23 Infrastructure Project			\$2,682,850.75	\$3,415,584.50	\$4,300,091.25	\$3,598,941.00	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$14,097,467.50
	Angeleno Mesa Green Infrastructure Corridor Project			\$2,108,550.00	\$3,140,000.00	\$4,223,941.00	\$3,598,941.00	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$13,171,432.00
	Edward Vincent Jr. Park Stormwater Improvements Project			\$573,550.00	\$530,000.00	\$3,598,941.00	\$3,598,941.00	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,401,432.00
	Ladera Heights - W Centinela Ave Green Improvement			\$1,035,000.00	\$2,610,000.00	\$625,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,270,000.00
	Scientific Study			\$500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$500,000.00
	Community Garden Stormwater Capture Investigation			\$274,300.75	\$275,584.50	\$76,150.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$826,035.50
	Microplastics in LA County Stormwater			\$189,142.00	\$189,142.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$378,284.00
	Technical Resource			\$300,000.00	\$86,442.50	\$76,150.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$247,751.50
	Fern Dell Restoration and Stormwater Capture Project			\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
	FY23-24 Infrastructure Project			\$300,000.00	\$820,109.15	\$545,764.06	\$621,654.91	\$107,432.49	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6,906,324.99
	Imperial Highway Green Infrastructure Project				\$173,000.00	\$216,000.00	\$339,000.00	\$4,504,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,232,000.00
	Scientific Study			\$47,109.15	\$329,764.06	\$282,654.91	\$307,364.38	\$107,432.49	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,074,324.99
	Regional Pathogen Reduction Study			\$47,109.15	\$329,764.06	\$282,654.91	\$307,364.38	\$107,432.49	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,074,324.99
	Technical Resource				\$600,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$600,000.00
	Blackwelder Tract Community Greenbelt BMPs and Landscape Improvement											
	Hollywood Bowl Stormwater Quality Improvement and Sustainability Project					\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
	FY24-25 Infrastructure Project					\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
	Baldwin Vista Green Streets Project					\$651,517.00	\$626,762.00	\$4,213,377.00	\$1,903,562.00	\$2,263,850.00	\$0.00	\$9,659,068.00
	Scientific Study					\$500,328.00	\$464,591.00	\$1,822,625.00	\$1,822,625.00	\$2,179,262.00	\$0.00	\$9,076,847.00
	Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity					\$151,189.00	\$162,171.00	\$109,536.00	\$80,937.00	\$84,588.00	\$0.00	\$582,421.00
	FY25-26 Street Sweeping Study					\$79,989.00	\$81,181.00	\$82,176.00	\$80,937.00	\$84,588.00	\$0.00	\$408,871.00
	Scientific Study					\$71,200.00	\$80,990.00	\$21,360.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$173,550.00
	Data-Driven Resource Optimization and Planning System (DROPS) for Los Angeles County						\$1,365,376.00	\$116,265.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,481,641.00
	Technical Resource						\$165,376.00	\$116,265.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$281,641.00
	Hayden Tract Community Greenbelt						\$49,111.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$49,111.00
	Linberg Park Multi-Benefit Stormwater Capture Project						\$1,200,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,200,000.00
	Western Gage Green Alleys Project						\$400,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$400,000.00
	Lower Los Angeles River						\$400,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$400,000.00
	FY20-21 Infrastructure Project	\$9,800,000.00	\$12,964,999.00	\$13,142,448.96	\$13,075,234.00	\$9,247,101.54	\$16,746,261.57	\$12,360,330.91	\$10,278,104.00	\$8,681,755.00	\$333,498.00	\$106,830,332.98
		\$9,800,000.00	\$7,200,000.00	\$5,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$23,400,000.00
		\$9,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$4,800,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$20,800,000.00
		\$8,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,000,000.00
	John Anson Ford Park Infiltration Cistern						\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Project Name	Project Lead	FY20-21 Disbursements	FY21-22 Disbursements	FY22-23 Disbursements	FY23-24 Disbursements	FY24-25 Disbursements	FY25-26 Budget	FY26-27 Projection	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection	FY29-30 Projection	Total Projected to Date
Long Beach Municipal Urban Stormwater Treatment (LB MUST) - Phase 1	City of Long Beach	\$1,000,000.00	\$5,000,000.00	\$4,800,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,800,000.00
Technical Resource		\$800,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$2,600,000.00
Lower Los Angeles River Watershed Coordinator WC: TBD	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$2,000,000.00
Parque Dos Rios Bioswale	Watershed Conservation Authority	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Willow Springs Park Wetland Restoration Expansion	City of Long Beach	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY21-22												
Infrastructure Project		\$5,764,999.00	\$3,393,664.00	\$1,346,000.00	\$1,346,000.00	\$4,768,817.00	\$5,748,803.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$21,022,283.00
Compton Blvd Et. Al. Project	Los Angeles County	\$5,689,999.00	\$3,393,664.00	\$1,346,000.00	\$1,346,000.00	\$4,768,817.00	\$5,748,803.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$20,947,283.00
Furnan Park Stormwater Capture and Infiltration Project	City of Downey	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$600,000.00
Lynwood City Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Lynwood	\$606,386.00	\$606,386.00	\$893,664.00	\$1,000,000.00	\$4,422,817.00	\$5,402,803.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$12,325,670.00
Spane Park	City of Paramount	\$1,691,629.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,691,629.00
Urban Orchard Project	City of South Gate	\$2,200,000.00	\$2,200,000.00	\$346,000.00	\$346,000.00	\$346,000.00	\$346,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,436,000.00
Scientific Study		\$75,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$75,000.00
Gateway Area Pathfinding Analysis (GAP Analysis)	Gateway Water Management Authority	\$75,000.00										\$75,000.00
FY22-23												
Infrastructure Project		\$4,748,784.96	\$1,856,401.00	\$1,856,401.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,782,385.58
Apollo Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Downey	\$4,399,783.00	\$1,533,056.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$800,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,532,839.00
Salt Lake Park Infiltration Cistern	City of Huntington Park	\$1,699,583.00	\$1,133,056.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,832,639.00
Willow Springs Park Wetland Restoration and Expansion Project	City of Huntington Park	\$1,500,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$800,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,500,000.00
Scientific Study		\$1,200,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,200,200.00
Gateway Area Pathfinding Analysis (GAP Analysis) - Phase 2	Gateway Water Management Authority	\$349,001.96	\$323,345.00	\$279,209.54	\$220,810.57	\$77,179.51	\$220,810.57	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,249,546.58
Microplastics in LA County Stormwater	Dr. Andreau Gray, University of California Riverside	\$230,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$230,000.00
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	Gateway Water Management Authority	\$85,158.75	\$86,442.50	\$76,150.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$247,751.50
FY23-24												
Infrastructure Project		\$33,843.21	\$236,902.50	\$236,902.50	\$232,059.29	\$220,810.57	\$220,810.57	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$771,795.08
			\$9,672,833.00	\$3,013,086.00	\$8,375,407.40	\$1,679,407.00	\$8,375,407.00	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$29,746,793.40
			\$9,456,564.00	\$2,789,217.00	\$8,719,407.40	\$1,000,000.00	\$8,719,407.40	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$29,300,655.40
Long Beach Municipal Urban Stormwater Treatment (LB MUST) - Phase 2	City of Long Beach											
Spane Park	City of Paramount						\$2,964,559.00	\$3,733,751.00	\$1,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,387,527.00
Scientific Study							\$100,000.00	\$3,945,656.40	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$18,913,128.40
Ground truth: guiding a soils-based strategy for impactful nature-based solutions	TreePeople				\$216,269.00	\$229,869.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$446,138.00
FY24-25												
Infrastructure Project							\$1,331,181.00	\$3,604,344.00	\$8,478,104.00	\$8,481,755.00	\$133,498.00	\$22,608,871.00
Lynwood City Park Stormwater Capture Project							\$500,000.00	\$1,250,000.00	\$3,522,168.00	\$8,397,167.00	\$133,498.00	\$22,200,000.00
Scientific Study	City of Lynwood						\$500,000.00	\$1,250,000.00	\$3,522,168.00	\$8,397,167.00	\$133,498.00	\$22,200,000.00
Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona											
FY25-26												
Scientific Study							\$70,000.00	\$82,176.00	\$80,937.00	\$84,588.00	\$0.00	\$408,871.00
Maximizing Impact of Minimum Control Measures	Not Yet Decided						\$270,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$270,000.00
Lower San Gabriel River		\$7,260,594.00	\$16,384,694.00	\$14,913,072.75	\$20,699,552.04	\$20,351,842.03	\$17,001,817.24	\$16,410,639.85	\$2,577,206.71	\$284,588.00	\$200,000.00	\$116,084,006.62
FY20-21												
Infrastructure Project		\$7,260,594.00	\$11,926,907.00	\$9,621,433.00	\$3,621,433.00	\$8,343,538.00	\$10,450,097.00	\$13,513,124.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$65,337,126.00
Adventure Park Multi-Benefit Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles County Public Works	\$7,060,594.00	\$11,726,907.00	\$9,421,433.00	\$3,421,433.00	\$8,143,538.00	\$10,250,097.00	\$13,313,124.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$63,337,126.00
Bolivar Park	City of Lakewood	\$2,000,000.00	\$5,500,000.00	\$6,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$13,500,000.00
Cantharis Park	City of Bellflower	\$473,000.00	\$1,177,000.00	\$1,177,000.00	\$1,177,000.00	\$1,177,000.00	\$1,177,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,265,900.00
El Dorado Regional Project	City of Long Beach	\$900,000.00	\$2,100,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,000,000.00
Hermosillo Park	City of Norwalk	\$2,240,000.00	\$1,860,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,340,000.00	\$7,663,977.00	\$13,313,124.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,266,125.00
Mayfair Park	City of Lakewood	\$253,225.00	\$253,225.00	\$253,225.00	\$253,225.00	\$253,225.00	\$253,225.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,013,433.000.00
Skylarks Golf Course at Windlow Stormwater Capture Project	City of Long Beach	\$1,047,369.00	\$1,638,457.00	\$2,792,983.00	\$2,792,983.00	\$2,175,088.00	\$2,986,120.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,000,000.00
Technical Resource		\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$2,000,000.00
Lower San Gabriel River Watershed Coordinator WC: TBD	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$2,000,000.00
FY21-22												
Infrastructure Project			\$4,457,787.00	\$467,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,924,987.00
Bellflower Simms Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Bellflower		\$2,141,987.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,141,987.00
Cerritos Sports Complex	City of Cerritos		\$1,940,800.00	\$467,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,408,000.00
Scientific Study			\$75,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$75,000.00
Gateway Area Pathfinding Analysis (GAP Analysis)	Gateway Water Management Authority											
Technical Resource			\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Sorensen Park Multi-Benefit Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles County Public Works		\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY22-23												
Infrastructure Project			\$4,824,499.75	\$5,602,012.50	\$5,591,720.25	\$5,591,720.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,018,172.50
			\$4,509,281.00	\$5,515,570.00	\$5,515,570.00	\$5,515,570.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15,540,421.00
Bellflower Simms Park Stormwater Capture Project (Construction)	City of Bellflower											
York Field Stormwater Capture Project	City of Whittier											
							\$5,515,570.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$13,666,701.00
							\$2,635,561.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,873,720.00
							\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Project Name	Project Lead	FY20-21 Disbursements	FY21-22 Disbursements	FY22-23 Disbursements	FY23-24 Disbursements	FY24-25 Disbursements	FY25-26 Budget	FY26-27 Projection	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection	FY29-30 Projection	Total Projected to Date
Scientific Study												
Gateway Area Pathfinding Analysis (GAP Analysis) - Phase 2	Gateway Water Management Authority			\$315,158.75	\$86,442.50	\$76,150.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$477,751.50
Microplastics in LA County Stormwater	Dr. Andrew Gray, University of California Riverside			\$230,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$230,000.00
FY23-24												
Infrastructure Project				\$85,158.75	\$86,442.50	\$76,150.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$247,751.50
Artesia Park Urban Runoff Capture Project	City of Artesia				\$11,476,106.54	\$1,025,465.78	\$2,093,834.24	\$288,184.85	\$100,728.71	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$14,984,320.12
					\$11,256,937.00	\$416,279.00	\$1,828,817.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$13,902,033.00
						\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,568,876.00
Heartwell Park at Palo Verde Channel Stormwater Capture Project	City of Long Beach				\$1,485,048.00	\$0.00	\$1,828,817.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,313,865.00
La Habra Heights Stormwater Treatment and Reuse System The Park	City of La Habra Heights				\$289,069.00	\$416,279.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$705,348.00
Hacienda Road	City of La Mirada				\$5,752,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,752,200.00
City of La Mirada	City of Paramount				\$2,161,744.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,161,744.00
Progress Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Paramount				\$609,186.78	\$265,017.24	\$288,184.85	\$100,728.71	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,482,887.12
Scientific Study												
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	Gateway Water Management Authority				\$44,169.54	\$309,186.78	\$265,017.24	\$288,184.85	\$100,728.71	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,007,887.12
Targeted Human Waste Source Reduction Strategy to Address Bacteria-Related Compliance Objectives for the Los Cerritos Channel	City of Lakewood				\$175,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$475,000.00
FY24-25												
Infrastructure Project						\$5,391,118.00	\$3,431,762.00	\$1,985,521.00	\$1,984,282.00	\$84,888.00	\$0.00	\$12,877,771.00
Heartwell Park at Clark Channel Stormwater Capture Project	City of Long Beach					\$5,311,129.00	\$3,350,581.00	\$1,903,345.00	\$1,903,345.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$12,468,400.00
Independence Park Runoff Capture Facility	City of Downey					\$1,432,236.00	\$1,432,236.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,864,472.00
Reservoir Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Signal Hill					\$1,310,458.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,310,458.00
Sorensen Park Multi-Benefit Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles County Public Works					\$951,843.00	\$1,918,345.00	\$1,903,345.00	\$1,903,345.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6,676,478.00
Scientific Study												
						\$1,616,592.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,616,592.00
						\$79,989.00	\$81,181.00	\$82,176.00	\$80,937.00	\$84,888.00	\$0.00	\$408,871.00
Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona					\$79,989.00	\$81,181.00	\$82,176.00	\$80,937.00	\$84,888.00	\$0.00	\$408,871.00
FY25-26												
Infrastructure Project						\$1,026,124.00	\$623,810.00	\$292,196.00	\$292,196.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,942,130.00
Next Gen Bioretention: Towards Living and Adaptive Stormwater Systems for a Resilient Los Angeles County	Not Yet Decided					\$1,026,124.00	\$623,810.00	\$292,196.00	\$292,196.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,942,130.00
The Application of Innovative Technology for Microbiological Testing in the Los Cerritos Channel Watershed	TreePeople											
FY20-21												
North Santa Monica Bay	Not Yet Decided											
Technical Resource		\$800,000.00	\$800,000.00	\$604,748.60	\$783,240.22	\$1,497,274.10	\$921,912.47	\$593,968.51	\$618,915.14	\$616,324.99	\$100,000.00	\$6,636,384.03
North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Coordinator WC: TBD	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$1,000,000.00
Technical Resource		\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$1,000,000.00
North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Coordinator WC: TBD	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$1,000,000.00
Infrastructure Project		\$700,000.00	\$700,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,100,000.00
Viewridge Road Stormwater Improvements Project	Los Angeles County Public Works	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$800,000.00
Technical Resource		\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$800,000.00
Las Virgenes Creek Restoration - Phase III	City of Calabasas	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY22-23												
Infrastructure Project				\$104,748.60	\$33,240.22	\$28,491.61	\$30,982.33	\$10,829.20	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$208,291.96
Liberty Canyon Road Green Improvement	Los Angeles County Public Works			\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$100,000.00
Scientific Study						\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	Gateway Water Management Authority			\$4,748.60	\$33,240.22	\$28,491.61	\$30,982.33	\$10,829.20	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$108,291.96
Infrastructure Project						\$650,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$650,000.00
Technical Resource						\$350,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$350,000.00
Cornell - Mulholland Highway Green Improvement Project	Los Angeles County Public Works					\$350,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$350,000.00
Technical Resource						\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Westlake Village M54 Compliance Project	City of Westlake Village					\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY24-25												
Infrastructure Project						\$1,368,782.49	\$398,965.41	\$400,748.98	\$401,558.24	\$402,893.68	\$0.00	\$2,972,448.80
Agoura Hills Stormwater Diversion Project	City of Agoura Hills (Jessica Forte and Kelly Fisher)					\$1,368,782.49	\$398,965.41	\$400,748.98	\$401,558.24	\$402,893.68	\$0.00	\$2,972,448.80
FY25-26												
Infrastructure Project							\$91,964.73	\$82,890.33	\$117,356.90	\$113,431.31	\$0.00	\$405,643.27
North Santa Monica Bay Dry Weather Storm Drain Diversions	Not Yet Decided						\$91,964.73	\$82,890.33	\$117,356.90	\$113,431.31	\$0.00	\$405,643.27
Technical Resource												
Prioritization and Development of Parking Lot Retrofit Opportunities in NSMB	Craig Doberstein (Herrera)						\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Rio Hondo												
FY20-21		\$8,507,500.00	\$12,515,721.00	\$8,725,357.04	\$8,129,692.42	\$12,869,151.68	\$11,446,557.01	\$11,258,351.45	\$8,328,754.42	\$605,448.50	\$200,000.00	\$82,586,533.52
Infrastructure Project		\$8,507,500.00	\$3,855,000.00	\$5,142,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,170,010.00	\$2,170,010.00	\$2,170,010.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$18,955,530.00
Baldwin Lake and Tule Pond Restoration Project	Los Angeles County Public Works/Flood Control District	\$7,440,000.00	\$5,330,000.00	\$4,730,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15,500,000.00
		\$440,000.00	\$3,330,000.00	\$4,730,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,500,000.00

Attachment A
Summary of Regional Program Stormwater Investment Plans

Project Name	Project Lead	FY20-21 Disbursements	FY21-22 Disbursements	FY22-23 Disbursements	FY23-24 Disbursements	FY24-25 Disbursements	FY25-26 Budget	FY26-27 Projection	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection	FY29-30 Projection	Total Projected to Date
East Los Angeles Sustainable Median Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles County	\$7,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,000,000.00
Scientific Study		\$287,500.00	\$325,000.00	\$212,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$17,010.00	\$17,010.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$855,530.00
ULR Adaptation to Address the LA River Bacteria TMDL for the ULAR Watershed Management Group	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments	\$57,500.00	\$115,000.00	\$92,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$264,500.00
pres/AP: A Platform for Watershed Science and Project Collaboration	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments	\$210,000.00	\$210,000.00	\$120,000.00	\$0.00	\$17,010.00	\$17,010.00	\$17,010.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$591,030.00
Technical Resource		\$800,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$2,600,000.00
Arcadia Wash Water Conservation Diversion	City of Monrovia	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Rio Hondo Watershed Coordinator WC: TBD	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$2,000,000.00
Vincent Lugo Park Stormwater Capture Feasibility Study	City of San Gabriel	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY21-22		\$8,660,721.00	\$2,782,360.00	\$2,069,428.00	\$2,237,932.00	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,250,441.00
Infrastructure Project		\$7,699,901.00	\$2,723,213.00	\$2,004,245.00	\$2,141,970.00	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15,068,329.00
Alhambra Wash Dry-Weather Diversion	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (SGVCOG)	\$275,300.00	\$651,500.00	\$822,690.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,572,180.00
East Los Angeles College Northeast Drainage Area and City of Monterey Park Bioritration Project	East Los Angeles College/build LACCD	\$60,524.00	\$472,094.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,032,618.00
Eaton Wash Dry-Weather Diversion	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (SGVCOG)	\$247,000.00	\$444,665.00	\$592,890.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,729,720.00
Merced Ave Greenway (Phase I - South Residential Corridor)	City of South El Monte	\$3,197,240.00	\$37,454.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,234,694.00
Mt. Lowe Median Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles County	\$800,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$800,000.00
Plymouth School Neighborhood Stormwater Capture Demonstration Project	Amigos de los Rios (AdRiR), Claire Robinson	\$548,662.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$559,162.00
Rio Hondo Ecosystem Restoration Project	City of Monrovia	\$2,329,375.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,329,375.00
Rubio Wash Dry-Weather Diversion	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (SGVCOG)	\$241,800.00	\$1,117,500.00	\$726,390.00	\$726,390.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,812,080.00
Scientific Study		\$60,820.00	\$59,147.00	\$65,183.00	\$95,962.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$281,112.00
Fire Effects Study in the ULAR Watershed Management Area	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments	\$60,820.00	\$59,147.00	\$65,183.00	\$95,962.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$281,112.00
Technical Resource		\$900,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$900,000.00
Sierra Madre Boulevard Green Street Stormwater Capture Project	City of Pasadena	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
South El Monte High School	Lena Luna	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Washington Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Pasadena	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY22-23		\$800,997.04	\$1,038,496.75	\$2,594,000.00	\$319,646.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,753,139.79
Infrastructure Project		\$682,000.00	\$802,000.00	\$2,594,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,078,000.00
Vincent Lugo Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of San Gabriel	\$682,000.00	\$802,000.00	\$2,594,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,078,000.00
Scientific Study		\$118,997.04	\$236,496.75	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$319,646.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$675,139.79
Additional Funding Request to Support the LRS Adaptation Addressing the LA River Bacteria TMDL for the ULAR Watershed Management Group	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments	\$35,721.64	\$79,306.65	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$115,028.29
Maximizing Impact of Minimum Control Measures	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments	\$83,275.40	\$157,190.10	\$0.00	\$319,646.00	\$0.00	\$319,646.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$560,111.50
Infrastructure Project			\$4,821,767.67	\$4,362,020.68	\$9,012,613.01	\$5,937,312.45	\$2,904,519.42	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$27,038,333.23
			\$4,791,354.00	\$4,149,115.00	\$8,830,131.00	\$5,738,878.00	\$2,835,161.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$26,344,649.00
Burke Heritage Park & Marengo Yard Stormwater Capture Project	City of Alhambra		\$787,896.00	\$1,225,408.00	\$2,410,814.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,424,118.00
El Monte Norwood Elementary School Stormwater Capture Project	Edna Robidas (Trust for Public Land)		\$642,637.00	\$0.00	\$5,515,600.00	\$2,835,161.00	\$2,835,161.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,828,359.00
Feasibility Study												
Kinneloa Yard Stormwater Capture Project Preliminary Design and	City of Pasadena		\$2,292,762.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,292,762.00
Merced Avenue Stormwater Capture Project	City of El Monte		\$1,068,059.00	\$2,923,717.00	\$2,903,717.00	\$2,903,717.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,799,210.00
Scientific Study			\$30,413.67	\$182,482.01	\$198,434.45	\$69,358.42	\$69,358.42	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$693,584.23
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	Gateway Water Management Authority		\$30,413.67	\$182,482.01	\$198,434.45	\$69,358.42	\$69,358.42	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$693,584.23
FY24-25			\$3,458,189.00	\$369,481.00	\$4,865,588.00	\$5,224,235.00	\$4,005,448.50	\$405,448.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$14,372,941.50
Infrastructure Project			\$3,378,200.00	\$288,300.00	\$4,783,412.00	\$5,143,298.00	\$320,860.50	\$320,860.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$13,914,070.50
South El Monte High School Stormwater Improvement Project	El Monte Union High School District		\$1,264,800.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,264,800.00
Washington Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Pasadena		\$2,113,400.00	\$288,300.00	\$4,783,412.00	\$5,143,298.00	\$320,860.50	\$320,860.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$12,649,770.50
Scientific Study			\$79,989.00	\$81,181.00	\$82,176.00	\$84,588.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$408,871.00
Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona		\$79,989.00	\$81,181.00	\$82,176.00	\$84,588.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$408,871.00
FY25-26			\$1,027,807.00	\$238,441.00	\$238,441.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,266,248.00
Scientific Study			\$227,807.00	\$238,441.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$466,248.00
Next Gen Bioritention: Towards Living and Adaptive Stormwater Systems for a Resilient Los Angeles County	TreePeople		\$227,807.00	\$238,441.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$466,248.00
Technical Resource			\$800,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$800,000.00
City of Montebello Stormwater Capture Project	City of Montebello		\$400,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$400,000.00
Klingerman Park Multi-Benefit Stormwater Capture Project	City of Rosemead		\$400,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$400,000.00
Santa Clara River		\$4,888,603.00	\$500,000.00	\$1,015,866.36	\$11,366,683.53	\$9,918,336.17	\$460,812.32	\$345,559.27	\$307,937.00	\$16,483,485.00	\$200,000.00	\$45,487,882.65
FY20-21		\$4,888,603.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$22,887,500.00
Infrastructure Project		\$4,688,603.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,198,897.00	\$0.00	\$20,887,500.00

Project Name	Project Lead	FY20-21 Disbursements	FY21-22 Disbursements	FY22-23 Disbursements	FY23-24 Disbursements	FY24-25 Disbursements	FY25-26 Budget	FY26-27 Projection	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection	FY29-30 Projection	Total Projected to Date
Hasley Canyon Park Stormwater Improvements Project	Los Angeles County Public Works	\$2,887,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,887,500.00
Neuhall Park Infiltration	City of Santa Clarita	\$1,801,103.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,198,897.00	\$0.00	\$18,000,000.00
Technical Resource		\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$2,000,000.00
Santa Clara River Watershed Coordinator WC: TBD	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$2,000,000.00
FY21-22		\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$3,000,000.00
Technical Resource		\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$3,000,000.00
Via Princessa Park	Oliver Cramer	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$3,000,000.00
FY22-23		\$815,866.36	\$111,064.53	\$95,198.17	\$103,520.32	\$103,520.32	\$103,520.32	\$36,183.27	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,161,832.65
Infrastructure Project	Los Angeles County	\$500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$500,000.00
Pico Canyon Park Stormwater Improvements Project		\$500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$500,000.00
Scientific Study		\$500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$500,000.00
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	Gateway Water Management Authority	\$15,866.36	\$111,064.53	\$95,198.17	\$103,520.32	\$103,520.32	\$103,520.32	\$36,183.27	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$361,832.65
Technical Resource		\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$3,000,000.00
FY23-24		\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$3,000,000.00
Infrastructure Project	Los Angeles County	\$8,943,149.00	\$8,943,149.00	\$8,943,149.00	\$8,943,149.00	\$8,943,149.00	\$8,943,149.00	\$27,000.00	\$27,000.00	\$27,000.00	\$27,000.00	\$20,079,768.00
Via Princessa Park and Regional BMP Project	City of Santa Clarita	\$11,055,619.00	\$11,055,619.00	\$11,055,619.00	\$11,055,619.00	\$11,055,619.00	\$11,055,619.00	\$27,000.00	\$27,000.00	\$27,000.00	\$27,000.00	\$20,079,768.00
FY24-25		\$679,989.00	\$81,181.00	\$81,181.00	\$81,181.00	\$81,181.00	\$81,181.00	\$80,937.00	\$80,937.00	\$84,588.00	\$0.00	\$1,008,871.00
Scientific Study		\$79,989.00	\$81,181.00	\$81,181.00	\$81,181.00	\$81,181.00	\$81,181.00	\$80,937.00	\$80,937.00	\$84,588.00	\$0.00	\$408,871.00
Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona	\$600,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$600,000.00
Technical Resource		\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$3,000,000.00
Bacteria Mitigation - MTD 1643	City of Santa Clarita	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$3,000,000.00
Old Orchard Park - PD 0717	Heather Merenda	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$3,000,000.00
FY25-26		\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$491,110.00
Scientific Study		\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$491,110.00
Data-Driven Resource Optimization and Planning System (DROPS) for Los Angeles County		\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$49,111.00	\$491,110.00
South Santa Monica Bay	Foothill Municipal Water District	\$14,388,796.00	\$19,998,012.42	\$17,160,289.73	\$17,296,402.86	\$15,795,846.70	\$14,354,854.64	\$18,623,368.00	\$12,999,202.00	\$540,588.00	\$200,000.00	\$30,657,360.35
FY20-21		\$14,388,796.00	\$12,478,529.00	\$10,220,261.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$38,487,586.00
Infrastructure Project		\$13,574,325.00	\$12,255,375.00	\$10,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$35,829,700.00
Alondra Park Multi-Benefit Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles County	\$10,000,000.00	\$10,000,000.00	\$10,000,000.00	\$10,000,000.00	\$10,000,000.00	\$10,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$30,000,000.00
Torrance Airport Storm Water Basin Project, Phase 2	City of Torrance	\$906,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$906,000.00
Wilmington Q Street Local Urban Area Flow Management Project	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation	\$2,668,325.00	\$2,255,375.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,923,700.00
Scientific Study		\$14,471.00	\$23,154.00	\$20,261.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$57,886.00
Recalculation of Wet Weather Zinc Criterion	City of Los Angeles Sanitation	\$14,471.00	\$23,154.00	\$20,261.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$57,886.00
Technical Resource		\$800,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$2,600,000.00
Eastview Park	City of Rancho Palos Verdes	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Harbor City Park Multi-Benefit Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles County	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$3,000,000.00
South Santa Monica Bay Watershed Coordinator WC: TBD	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$2,000,000.00
FY21-22		\$7,513,483.42	\$5,061,869.98	\$7,933,801.36	\$10,412,027.45	\$6,127,916.64	\$6,127,916.64	\$2,500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$41,555,092.85
Infrastructure Project		\$6,872,327.00	\$4,717,905.50	\$7,600,932.50	\$8,072,432.50	\$2,500,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$39,845,530.00
Carson Stormwater and Runoff Capture Project at Carriage Crest Park	City of Carson	\$207,500.00	\$207,500.00	\$207,500.00	\$207,500.00	\$207,500.00	\$207,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,037,500.00
South Santa Monica Bay Water Quality Enhancement: 28th Street Storm Drain Infiltration Project	City of Manhattan Beach	\$1,497,100.00	\$4,005,732.50	\$4,005,732.50	\$4,055,732.50	\$4,055,732.50	\$4,055,732.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$17,620,030.00
Stormwater Basin Expansion Project	City of Torrance	\$4,505,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,505,000.00
Wilmington Neighborhood Greening Project	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation and Environment	\$662,727.00	\$504,673.00	\$3,809,200.00	\$3,809,200.00	\$3,809,200.00	\$3,809,200.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,683,000.00
Scientific Study		\$47,156.42	\$343,964.48	\$332,868.86	\$330,094.95	\$55,478.14	\$55,478.14	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,109,562.85
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	Gateway Water Management Authority	\$47,156.42	\$343,964.48	\$332,868.86	\$330,094.95	\$55,478.14	\$55,478.14	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,109,562.85
Technical Resource		\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$6,000,000.00
Palos Verdes Peninsula Multi-Benefit Flow Diversion Project	City of Rolling Hills Estates	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Prioritization of Parkway BMPs for Dominguez Channel/ Harbors Toxics TMDL	City of Torrance	\$1,878,158.75	\$2,308,742.50	\$1,759,150.25	\$1,759,150.25	\$2,500,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$46,500.00	\$1,906,638.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY22-23		\$1,193,000.00	\$2,222,300.00	\$1,683,000.00	\$1,683,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$46,500.00	\$1,906,638.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,399,189.50
Infrastructure Project		\$300,000.00	\$149,300.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$449,300.00
Downtown Lomita Multi-Benefit Stormwater Project	City of Lomita	\$93,000.00	\$2,073,000.00	\$1,683,000.00	\$1,683,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$46,500.00	\$1,906,638.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,302,138.00
Fulton Playfield Multi-Benefit Infiltration Project	City of Redondo Beach	\$800,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$800,000.00
West Rancho Dominguez - San Pedro Street Green Improvement	Los Angeles County Public Works	\$85,158.75	\$86,442.50	\$76,150.25	\$76,150.25	\$76,150.25	\$76,150.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$247,751.50
Scientific Study		\$85,158.75	\$86,442.50	\$76,150.25	\$76,150.25	\$76,150.25	\$76,150.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$247,751.50
Microplastics in LA County Stormwater	Dr. Andrew Gray, University of California Riverside	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$6,000,000.00
Technical Resource		\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$3,000,000.00
City of Lawndale Southern Revitalization Project	City of Lawndale	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Darby Park Multi-Benefit Project	City of Inglewood	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY23-24		\$6,853,859.00	\$2,548,280.00	\$2,548,280.00	\$2,548,280.00	\$2,548,280.00	\$2,548,280.00	\$8,565,180.00	\$1,227,225.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19,922,824.00

Project Name	Project Lead	FY20-21 Disbursements	FY21-22 Disbursements	FY22-23 Disbursements	FY23-24 Disbursements	FY24-25 Disbursements	FY25-26 Budget	FY26-27 Projection	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection	FY29-30 Projection	Total Projected to Date
Infrastructure Project Beach Cities Green Streets Project	City of Torrance				\$6,533,859.00	\$2,548,280.00	\$728,280.00	\$8,565,180.00	\$1,227,225.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19,622,824.00
					\$5,366,953.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,366,953.00
Glen Anderson Park Regional Stormwater Capture Green Streets Maintenance	City of Redondo Beach City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, LA Sanitation and Environment				\$391,000.00	\$391,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$782,000.00
Wilmington-Anaheim Green Infrastructure Corridor Project	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, LA Sanitation and Environment				\$513,200.00	\$1,362,400.00	\$0.00	\$7,770,300.00	\$628,600.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,274,500.00
Technical Resource					\$300,000.00				\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Holly Park Multi-Benefit Drought Resiliency and Stormwater Infiltration Project	City of Hawthorne				\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY24-25 Infrastructure Project					\$876,389.00	\$2,633,961.00	\$2,633,961.00	\$7,196,096.00	\$8,965,339.00	\$340,588.00	\$0.00	\$20,012,373.00
Torrance Airport Stormwater Basin Project	City of Torrance				\$450,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$7,100,000.00	\$8,884,402.00	\$256,000.00	\$0.00	\$19,190,402.00
Scientific Study					\$450,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$7,100,000.00	\$8,884,402.00	\$256,000.00	\$0.00	\$19,190,402.00
Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity Street Sweeping Study	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona City of Los Angeles				\$79,989.00	\$81,181.00	\$81,181.00	\$82,176.00	\$80,937.00	\$84,588.00	\$0.00	\$408,871.00
Technical Resource					\$46,400.00	\$52,780.00	\$52,780.00	\$13,920.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$113,100.00
					\$300,000.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Gardena Willows Wetland Stormwater Enhancement Project	The City of Gardena; The Friends of the Gardena Willows Wetland Preserve				\$300,000.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY25-26 Scientific Study							\$164,703.00	\$115,592.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$280,295.00
Data-Driven Resource Optimization and Planning System (DROPS) for Los Angeles County							\$164,703.00	\$115,592.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$280,295.00
Upper Los Angeles River Infrastructure Project	Foothill Municipal Water District Council for Watershed Health	\$27,315,801.32	\$44,217,686.21	\$16,369,465.97	\$20,133,327.86	\$41,828,907.67	\$34,355,755.72	\$115,592.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$49,111.00
Active Transportation Rail to River Corridor Project - Segment A	Los Angeles Metropolitan Transit Authority (Metro)	\$27,315,801.32	\$33,460,562.21	\$15,928,895.70	\$5,224,069.80	\$10,156,440.00	\$9,039,040.00	\$5,781,540.00	\$42,622,169.71	\$14,879,888.00	\$5,622,000.00	\$307,775,525.55
City of San Fernando Regional Park Infiltration Project	City of San Fernando (Kenneth Jones)	\$1,500,000.00	\$4,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$4,624,069.80	\$9,499,900.00	\$6,382,500.00	\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$108,831,349.03
Echo Park Lake Rehabilitation	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation	\$3,115,000.00	\$5,785,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$425,000.00	\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$98,023,398.03
Fernangetes Park Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)	\$400,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$100,400.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,201,700.00
Franklin D. Roosevelt Park Regional Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles County	\$2,926,261.89	\$3,344,299.31	\$1,254,112.24	\$836,074.83	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$400,000.00
Lankershim Boulevard Local Area Urban Flow Management Network Project	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,360,748.27
Oro Vista Local Area Urban Flow Management Project	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation	\$5,139,380.00	\$5,139,380.00	\$5,139,380.00	\$0.00	\$5,139,380.00	\$6,139,380.00	\$5,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,000,000.00
Rory M. Shaw Wetlands Park Project	Los Angeles Flood Control District	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,118,120.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$31,696,900.00
Strathern Park North Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)	\$3,247,511.94	\$3,711,442.21	\$1,391,790.83	\$927,860.55	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,000,000.00
The Distributed Drywell System Project	City of Glendale	\$76,750.00	\$1,765,250.00	\$17,000.00	\$17,000.00	\$17,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,278,605.53
Valley Village Park Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)	\$1,112,070.49	\$1,270,537.69	\$476,601.63	\$317,734.42	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,893,000.00
Walnut Park Pocket Park Project	County of Los Angeles	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,177,344.23
Scientific Study		\$980,707.00	\$1,226,133.00	\$831,491.00	\$0.00	\$56,540.00	\$56,540.00	\$56,540.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,000,000.00
LRS Adaptation to Address the LA River Bacteria TMDL for the ULAR Watershed Management Group	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments	\$192,500.00	\$385,000.00	\$308,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,207,951.00
preSIP: A Platform for Watershed Science and Project Collaboration Recalculation of Wet Weather Zinc Criterion	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments City of Los Angeles Sanitation	\$700,000.00	\$700,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$0.00	\$56,540.00	\$56,540.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$885,500.00
Technical Resource		\$88,207.00	\$141,133.00	\$123,491.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,969,620.00
		\$2,200,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$7,600,000.00
FY21-22 Infrastructure Project	Shahid Abbas, Director of Public Works, City of South Pasadena; Kristine Courdy, Deputy Director of Public Works, City of South Pasadena	\$400,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$400,000.00
Green Street Demonstration Project on Main Street Feasibility Study	City of Alhambra	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Pasadena Unified School District Campus Green Infrastructure Development Project	City of La Canada Flintridge Claire Robinson, Managing Director, Amigos de los Rios, Claire@amigosdelosrios.org 626-676-5027	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Coordinators WC: TBD Feasibility Study	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$6,000,000.00
FY21-22 Infrastructure Project	City of La Canada Flintridge	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Altadena - Lake Avenue Green Improvement	Los Angeles County Public Works	\$10,757,124.00	\$15,729,769.00	\$2,964,188.00	\$2,964,188.00	\$17,187,983.00	\$12,020,221.00	\$15,695,154.00	\$20,399,154.00	\$13,634,238.00	\$5,022,000.00	\$113,949,831.00
Altadena Mariposa Green Street Demonstration Project	Amigos de los Rios, Claire Robinson	\$8,746,866.00	\$15,240,334.00	\$2,745,968.00	\$2,745,968.00	\$16,866,721.00	\$12,020,221.00	\$15,695,154.00	\$20,399,154.00	\$13,634,238.00	\$5,022,000.00	\$110,910,656.00
Arroyo Seco-San Rafael Treatment Wetlands	City of Pasadena	\$545,300.00	\$165,972.00	\$10,500.00	\$0.00	\$9,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$500,000.00
Broadway-Manchester Multi-Modal Green Streets Project	City of Los Angeles Bureau of Street Services (StreetLA)	\$1,194,953.00	\$1,205,468.00	\$1,185,468.00	\$1,185,468.00	\$3,464,689.00	\$2,279,221.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,329,799.00
		\$886,000.00	\$4,000,000.00	\$4,000,000.00	\$4,000,000.00	\$4,626,116.00	\$2,833,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$12,345,116.00

Project Name	Project Lead	FY20-21 Disbursements	FY21-22 Disbursements	FY22-23 Disbursements	FY23-24 Disbursements	FY24-25 Disbursements	FY25-26 Budget	FY26-27 Projection	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection	FY29-30 Projection	Total Projected to Date
David M. Gonzales Recreation Center Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)		\$388,000.00	\$581,000.00	\$1,550,000.00	\$2,130,000.00	\$3,099,000.00	\$7,736,238.00	\$9,542,238.00	\$8,344,738.00	\$0.00	\$33,370,714.00
Lincoln Park Neighborhood Green Street Network	City of Los Angeles Sanitation and Environment		\$3,726,916.00	\$3,726,916.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,726,916.00	\$3,726,916.00	\$3,726,916.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$18,634,580.00
Los Angeles Pierce College Northeast Campus Stormwater Capture & Use and Biofiltration Project	Los Angeles Community College District & BuildLACCD		\$476,697.00	\$4,766,978.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,043,675.00
Valley Plaza Park Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)		\$529,000.00	\$794,000.00	\$0.00	\$2,910,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,232,000.00	\$7,670,000.00	\$5,290,000.00	\$5,032,000.00	\$26,447,000.00
Westmont - Vermont Avenue Green Improvement	Los Angeles County Public Works		\$500,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$500,000.00
Scientific Study		\$1,410,258.00	\$489,435.00	\$489,435.00	\$218,220.00	\$321,262.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,439,175.00
Evaluation of infiltration testing methods for design of stormwater drywell systems	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona		\$554,684.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$554,684.00
Fire Effects Study in the ULAR Watershed Management Area	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments		\$203,616.00	\$198,014.00	\$218,220.00	\$321,262.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$941,112.00
LALSD Living Schoolyards Program Pilot Study	TreePeople		\$651,958.00	\$291,421.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$943,379.00
Technical Resource		\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
McCambridge Park Stormwater Capture Multi-Benefit Project	City of Burbank		\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
South Pasadena Huntington Drive Regional Green Street	City of South Pasadena		\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY22-23				\$3,710,801.27	\$6,262,749.11	\$5,437,468.00	\$8,143,456.00	\$2,424,600.00	\$2,516,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$28,495,074.38
Infrastructure Project				\$2,524,000.00	\$5,283,224.00	\$5,437,468.00	\$8,143,456.00	\$2,424,600.00	\$2,516,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$26,328,748.00
Echo Park Lake Rehabilitation Operation and Maintenance	City of Los Angeles, LA Sanitation and Environment		\$480,000.00	\$480,000.00	\$480,000.00	\$480,000.00	\$480,000.00	\$480,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,400,000.00
Jackson Elementary School Campus Greening and Stormwater Quality Improvement Project	Amigos de los Rios (ADIR) and Pasadena Unified School District (PUSD)		\$455,200.00	\$2,286,148.00	\$100,600.00	\$100,600.00	\$100,600.00	\$75,600.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,018,148.00
Watts Civic Center Serenity Greenway	City of Los Angeles, Council District 15		\$255,000.00	\$250,000.00	\$1,514,800.00	\$649,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,669,000.00
Whittsett Fields Park North Stormwater Capture Project	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)		\$840,000.00	\$1,679,000.00	\$1,679,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,679,000.00	\$2,516,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,393,000.00
Winery Canyon Channel and Descanso Gardens Stormwater Capture and Reuse Project	Descanso Gardens Foundation		\$493,800.00	\$588,076.00	\$1,663,068.00	\$6,913,656.00	\$190,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,848,600.00
Scientific Study			\$586,801.27	\$979,525.11	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,566,326.38
Additional Funding Request to Support the LRS Adaptation Addressing the LA River Bacteria TMDL for the ULAR Watershed Management Group	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments		\$119,589.85	\$265,504.86	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$385,094.71
Community Garden Stormwater Capture Investigation	Los Angeles Community Garden Council		\$189,144.00	\$189,142.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$378,286.00
Maximizing Impact of Minimum Control Measures	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments		\$278,067.42	\$524,878.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$802,945.67
Technical Resource			\$600,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$600,000.00
Camino Verde Pocket Park Regional Stormwater Capture Demonstration Project	City of South Pasadena		\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY23-24	San Fernando Calles Verdes		\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Infrastructure Project				\$5,682,320.95	\$7,176,642.67	\$1,115,671.72	\$16,965,467.09	\$17,873,739.71	\$17,873,739.71	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$48,813,842.14
Brookside Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Pasadena			\$5,580,226.00	\$6,461,978.00	\$503,102.00	\$16,299,347.00	\$17,640,912.00	\$16,485,565.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$46,485,565.00
				\$2,198,612.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,198,612.00
California Avenue and Adjacent Streets Stormwater Capture Project	City of Glendale		\$289,810.00	\$2,516,383.00	\$54,902.00	\$54,902.00	\$54,902.00	\$54,902.00	\$54,902.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,970,899.00
Eagle Rock Boulevard: A Multi-Modal Stormwater Capture Project	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, StreetsLA		\$1,089,238.00	\$155,599.00	\$0.00	\$3,206,443.00	\$3,181,443.00	\$3,206,443.00	\$3,181,443.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,632,723.00
Earvin "Magic" Johnson Park Operation and Maintenance Project	Los Angeles County Public Works		\$325,000.00	\$325,000.00	\$325,000.00	\$325,000.00	\$325,000.00	\$325,000.00	\$325,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,625,000.00
Emerald Necklace John Muir High School Campus Natural Infrastructure Improvement Project	Claire Robinson, Amigos de los Rios and Environment		\$404,400.00	\$1,117,500.00	\$123,200.00	\$123,200.00	\$123,200.00	\$123,200.00	\$123,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,891,500.00
Hollenbeck Park Lake Rehabilitation Project	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works, LA Sanitation and Environment		\$482,582.00	\$1,658,979.00	\$0.00	\$10,935,027.00	\$12,084,728.00	\$12,084,728.00	\$12,084,728.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$25,161,316.00
Sylmar Channel Project			\$790,584.00	\$688,517.00	\$0.00	\$1,654,775.00	\$1,871,639.00	\$1,871,639.00	\$1,871,639.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,005,515.00
Scientific Study			\$102,094.95	\$714,664.67	\$612,569.72	\$666,120.09	\$232,827.71	\$232,827.71	\$232,827.71	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,338,277.14
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	Gateway Water Management Authority		\$102,094.95	\$714,664.67	\$612,569.72	\$666,120.09	\$232,827.71	\$232,827.71	\$232,827.71	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,338,277.14
FY24-25				\$1,870,374.00	\$1,629,906.00	\$563,662.00	\$568,276.00	\$568,276.00	\$568,276.00	\$595,760.00	\$0.00	\$5,227,958.00
Infrastructure Project				\$1,361,402.00	\$1,417,953.00	\$349,222.00	\$356,933.00	\$349,222.00	\$356,933.00	\$375,280.00	\$0.00	\$3,860,790.00
Bowtie Demonstration Project	The Nature Conservancy			\$376,402.00	\$375,953.00	\$349,222.00	\$356,933.00	\$349,222.00	\$356,933.00	\$375,280.00	\$0.00	\$1,833,790.00
Green Street Demonstration Project on Main Street	City of Alhambra			\$985,000.00	\$1,042,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,027,000.00
Scientific Study				\$208,972.00	\$211,953.00	\$214,440.00	\$211,953.00	\$214,440.00	\$211,953.00	\$220,470.00	\$0.00	\$1,067,178.00
Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona			\$208,972.00	\$211,953.00	\$214,440.00	\$211,953.00	\$214,440.00	\$211,953.00	\$220,470.00	\$0.00	\$1,067,178.00
Technical Resource				\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Elephant Hill Open Space and Stormwater Infrastructure Feasibility Study	Save Elephant Hill			\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY25-26					\$2,407,461.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,407,461.00
Scientific Study					\$1,207,461.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,207,461.00
Data-Driven Resource Optimization and Planning System (DROPS) for Los Angeles County	Foothill Municipal Water District				\$49,111.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$49,111.00

Project Name	Project Lead	FY20-21 Disbursements	FY21-22 Disbursements	FY22-23 Disbursements	FY23-24 Disbursements	FY24-25 Disbursements	FY25-26 Budget	FY26-27 Projection	FY27-28 Projection	FY28-29 Projection	FY29-30 Projection	Total Projected to Date
Quantifying Community Flood Management Benefits of Watershed- Scale Stormwater Capture	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments						\$470,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$470,000.00
Street Sweeping Study (ULAR)	City of Los Angeles						\$688,350.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$688,350.00
Technical Resource							\$1,200,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,200,000.00
Cindy Montañez Natural Park Feasibility Study	TreePeople						\$400,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$400,000.00
Crescenta Valley Park Stormwater Capture Project	Crescenta Valley Water District						\$400,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$400,000.00
La Canada Town Center Stormwater Infiltration Galleries	Foothill Municipal Water District						\$400,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$400,000.00
Upper San Gabriel River		\$10,919,762.00	\$18,280,067.00	\$27,093,294.00	\$18,459,287.00	\$15,693,658.00	\$20,197,400.00	\$402,343.00	\$411,470.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$120,994,183.00
FY20-21		\$10,919,762.00	\$10,789,423.00	\$23,512,652.00	\$17,377,894.00	\$2,655,938.00	\$8,806,782.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$86,890,205.00
Infrastructure Project		\$9,794,762.00	\$10,589,423.00	\$23,312,652.00	\$17,177,894.00	\$2,455,938.00	\$8,606,782.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$83,905,205.00
Barnes Park	City of Baldwin Park	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,500,000.00	\$7,400,000.00	\$4,835,690.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$14,735,690.00
Bassett High School Stormwater Capture Multi-Benefit Project	Los Angeles County	\$3,000,000.00	\$7,200,000.00	\$7,000,000.00	\$4,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$10,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$31,200,000.00
Encanto Park Stormwater Capture Project	City of Monrovia	\$702,860.00	\$827,000.00	\$952,388.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,027,754.00	\$2,455,938.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6,965,940.00
Garvey Avenue Grade Separation Drainage Improvement Project	City of El Monte	\$4,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,000,000.00
Pedley Spreading Grounds	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group (City of San Dimas, City of Claremont, City of Pomona, City of La Verne)	\$102,760.00	\$154,140.00	\$1,330,180.00	\$1,212,120.00	\$26,700.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,825,900.00
Wingate Park Regional EWMP Project	City of Covina	\$929,142.00	\$908,283.00	\$6,630,084.00	\$7,130,084.00	\$8,580,082.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$24,177,675.00
Scientific Study		\$385,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$385,000.00
San Gabriel Valley Regional Confirmation of Infiltration Rates	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group (City of San Dimas, City of Claremont, City of Pomona, City of La Verne)	\$385,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$385,000.00
Technical Resource		\$800,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$2,600,000.00
Glendora Avenue Green Street Feasibility Study	City of Glendora	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
MacLaren Hall Property Park and Sports Fields Project	City of El Monte	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Upper San Gabriel River Watershed Coordinator WC: TBD	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$2,000,000.00
FY21-22		\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$2,000,000.00
Infrastructure Project		\$7,480,644.00	\$1,230,642.00	\$1,230,642.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$519,822.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,820,930.00
Fairplex	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group	\$7,090,644.00	\$1,290,642.00	\$1,290,642.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,381,286.00
FINKBINER PARK STORMWATER CAPTURE PROJECT	City of Glendora	\$2,900,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,900,000.00
Lone Hill Park	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group	\$1,290,644.00	\$1,290,642.00	\$1,290,642.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,581,286.00
Zamora Park Renovation Project	City of El Monte	\$900,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$900,000.00
Scientific Study		\$2,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,000,000.00
Regional Pathogen Reduction Study	Gateway Water Management Authority	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$519,822.00	\$519,822.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,139,644.00
Technical Resource		\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
Sunset Crossing Park Multi-Benefit Stormwater Project	City of Diamond Bar	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY22-23		\$2,290,000.00	\$781,393.00	\$15,000.00	\$479,469.00	\$15,000.00	\$479,469.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,565,862.00
Infrastructure Project		\$2,150,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,315,000.00
Glendora Avenue Green Streets	City of Glendora	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$315,000.00
Marchant Park	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group	\$675,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$675,000.00
Pelita Park	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group	\$1,325,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$479,469.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,325,000.00
Scientific Study		\$140,000.00	\$631,993.00	\$258,284.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,250,862.00
Community Garden Stormwater Capture Investigation	Los Angeles Community Garden Council	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Maximizing Impact of Minimum Control Measures	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments	\$20,000.00	\$373,109.00	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$479,469.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$378,284.00
FY23-24		\$2,000,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,600,000.00
Technical Resource		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
West Covina Regional Projects and Green Streets	City of West Covina	\$0.00	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
FY24-25		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Infrastructure Project		\$6,315,035.00	\$6,317,522.00	\$6,315,035.00	\$6,317,522.00	\$6,315,035.00	\$6,317,522.00	\$202,343.00	\$211,470.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19,398,424.00
Finkbinner Park Stormwater Capture Project, Construction Phase	City of Glendora	\$6,132,082.00	\$6,112,082.00	\$6,112,082.00	\$6,112,082.00	\$6,112,082.00	\$6,112,082.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$18,376,246.00
Scientific Study		\$199,972.00	\$202,953.00	\$205,440.00	\$202,953.00	\$205,440.00	\$202,953.00	\$202,343.00	\$211,470.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,022,178.00
Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity	California State Polytechnic University, Pomona	\$202,953.00	\$202,953.00	\$205,440.00	\$202,953.00	\$205,440.00	\$202,953.00	\$202,343.00	\$211,470.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,022,178.00
FY25-26		\$655,320.00	\$655,320.00	\$655,320.00	\$655,320.00	\$655,320.00	\$655,320.00	\$653,442.00	\$653,442.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,018,762.00
Scientific Study		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Data-Driven Resource Optimization and Planning System (DROPS) for Los Angeles County		\$49,112.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$49,112.00
Depave LA: Prioritizing Parking Lots for Green Retrofitting	Foothill Municipal Water District	\$125,001.00	\$125,001.00	\$125,001.00	\$125,001.00	\$125,001.00	\$125,001.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$250,002.00
Hardscape and Brownfield Transformation Opportunity Study	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments	\$253,400.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$253,400.00
Next Gen Bioretention: Towards Living and Adaptive Stormwater Systems for a Resilient Los Angeles County	TreePeople	\$227,807.00	\$238,441.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$227,807.00	\$238,441.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$466,248.00
Grand Total		\$94,718,556.32	\$142,423,679.63	\$137,939,125.16	\$124,243,095.58	\$147,520,876.20	\$134,157,500.88	\$81,378,960.47	\$45,117,897.49	\$7,455,498.00	\$0.00	\$1,089,289,072.19

**ENCLOSURE B: Safe Clean Water Program
Watershed Area Steering Committee Members**

Agency Stakeholders

Central Santa Monica Bay

	Category	Name	Affiliation
1	Flood Control District	Marcela Benavides	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
2	Water Agency	Matthew Veeh	West Basin Metropolitan Water District
3	Groundwater/ Water Agency #2	Art Castro	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power
4	Sanitation	Susie Santilena	Los Angeles City Sanitation and Environment
5	Municipal Parks/Open Space	Cathie Santo Domingo	Los Angeles City Recreation and Parks

Lower Los Angeles River

	Category	Name	Affiliation
1	Flood Control District	Ernesto Rivera	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
2	Water Agency	Madeline Chen	Central Basin
3	Groundwater/ Water Agency #2	Asha Kreiling	Water Replenishment District
4	Sanitation	Dave Pierce	Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts
5	Municipal Parks/Open Space	Stephen Scott	City of Long Beach Parks and Recreation

Lower San Gabriel River

	Category	Name	Affiliation
1	Flood Control District	CJ Caluag	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
2	Water Agency	Madeline Chen	Central Basin
3	Groundwater/ Water Agency #2	Asha Kreiling	Water Replenishment District
4	Sanitation	Francisco Guerrero	Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts
5	Municipal Parks/Open Space	Danielle Lewis	City of Long Beach Parks and Recreation

North Santa Monica Bay

	Category	Name	Affiliation
1	Flood Control District	Marcela Benavides	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
2	Water Agency	David Pedersen	Las Virgenes Municipal Water District
3	Groundwater/ Water Agency #2	William Johnson	Los Angeles County Waterworks District
4	Sanitation	Jessica Forte	Las Virgenes Municipal Water District
5	Municipal Parks/Open Space	Brian Baldauf	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority

Rio Hondo

	Category	Name	Affiliation
1	Flood Control District	Julian Juarez	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
2	Water Agency	Tom Love	Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District
3	Groundwater/ Water Agency #2	Kelly Gardner	Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster
4	Sanitation	Shannon Bishop	Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts
5	Municipal Parks/Open Space	Jeff Khun	City of Pasadena Public Works

**ENCLOSURE B: Safe Clean Water Program
Watershed Area Steering Committee Members**

Agency Stakeholders

Santa Clara River

	Category	Name	Affiliation
1	Flood Control District	Julian Juarez	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
2	Water Agency	Ali Elhassan	Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency
3	Groundwater/ Water Agency #2	Steve Cole	Santa Clarita Valley Groundwater Sustainability Agency
4	Sanitation	Francisco Guerrero	Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts
5	Municipal Parks/Open Space	Jerrid McKenna	Santa Clarita Recreation & Community Services

South Santa Monica Bay

	Category	Name	Affiliation
1	Flood Control District	Marcela Benavides	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
2	Water Agency	Matthew Veeh	West Basin Municipal Water District
3	Groundwater/ Water Agency #2	Jenn Swart	Water Replenishment District
4	Sanitation	Dave Pierce	Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts
5	Municipal Parks/Open Space	Cathie Santo Domingo	Los Angeles City Recreation & Parks

Upper Los Angeles River

	Category	Name	Affiliation
1	Flood Control District	Ernesto Rivera	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
2	Water Agency	Art Castro	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power
3	Groundwater/ Water Agency #2	John Huynh	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power
4	Sanitation	Ida Meisami-Fard	Los Angeles City Sanitation and Environment
5	Municipal Parks/Open Space	Cathie Santo Domingo	Los Angeles City Recreation and Parks

Upper San Gabriel River

	Category	Name	Affiliation
1	Flood Control District	Julian Juarez	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
2	Water Agency	Tom Love	Upper San Gabriel Valley Water District
3	Groundwater/ Water Agency #2	Kelly Gardner	Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster
4	Sanitation	Francisco Guerrero	Los Angeles County Sanitation District
5	Municipal Parks/Open Space	Andrea Vona	Los Angeles County Parks & Recreation



[Home \(/LACoBids/\)](#) / [Admin \(/LACoBids/Admin\)](#) / [Open Solicitations \(/LACoBids/Admin/BidList\)](#) / [Detail](#) [Log Off \(/LACoBids/Account/LogOff\)](#)

+

Solicitation Detail

Soliciation Number:	BRC0000529		
Title:	On-Call Safe, Clean Water Program - Watershed Coordinator Services (BRC0000529)		
Department:	Public Works		
Bid Type:	Service	Bid Amount:	\$2,300,000.00
Commodity:	CONSULTING SERVICES - ENVIRONMENTAL		

Description:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Public Works on behalf of Los Angeles County and Los Angeles Flood Control District is conducting this Request for Statement of Qualifications for its On-Call Safe, Clean Water Program - Watershed Coordinator Services (BRC0000529) contract. This program will award a total of 12 contracts. Each contract has been designed to have a potential maximum contract term of 5 years, consisting of an initial 1-year term and potential additional four 1-year option renewals. The total estimated maximum annual contract amount for each Watershed Area (WA) is shown in the table below. The proposer's proposed annual amount must not exceed \$200,000, and the North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area proposed annual amount must not exceed \$100,000. The Request for Statement of Qualifications (RFSQ) with contract specifications, forms, and instructions for preparing and submitting Statement of Qualifications (SOQ) may be accessed at <http://pw.lacounty.gov/brcd/servicecontracts/> or may be requested from Mr. David Pang at (626) 458-7167 or dpang@pw.lacounty.gov or Mr. Danny Medina at (626) 458-4080 or dmedina@pw.lacounty.gov, Monday through Thursday, 7 a.m. to 5 p.m.

The deadline to submit SOQ is Monday, September 30, 2024, at 5:30 p.m. Please direct your questions to Mr. Pang or Mr. Medina. See below for all deadlines relating to this solicitation. Be advised, any changes to the due dates listed herein will only be made by Public Works, in writing, in the form of an Informational Update or Addendum to the solicitation.

Optional Proposers' Conference

There will be two optional proposers' conferences to be held on Thursday, September 12, 2024, at 9:00 a.m., and on Monday, September 16, 2024, at 10:00a.m. using the online WebEx meeting platform. The information presented at both proposers' conferences will be the same material. Attendance by the proposers or an authorized representative at the conference is highly recommended.

The meeting details are posted below:

Thursday, September 12, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.
Meeting number: 2498 487 0885
Password: scwp (7297 when dialing from a phone or video system)
Join link: <https://lacountydpw.webex.com/lacountydpw/j.php?MTID=mf92f58e5f5581e8f74bac9b6314905e6>

Join by phone: +1-408-418-9388 United States Toll
Access code: 2498 487 0885

Monday, September 16, 2024, at 10:00 a.m.
Meeting number: 2480 720 8371
Password: scwp (7297 when dialing from a phone or video system)
Join link: <https://lacountydpw.webex.com/lacountydpw/j.php?MTID=m705a898b6924f79404f2b9cbb569bd4c>

Join by phone: +1-408-418-9388 United States Toll
Access code: 2480 720 8371

Please refer to the video conference guidelines here: (<https://safecleanwater.kinsta.cloud/content/uploads/2024/01/Video-Conferencing-Public-Guidelines20230301.pdf>) on instructions on how to create a WebEx account and different methods to join WebEx meetings (See pages 1 through 3 of the video conference guidelines).

Attendees should be prepared to ask questions at that time about the specifications, SOQ requirements, and contract terms. It is the proposers' sole responsibility to do their due diligence to visit and familiarize themselves with the work locations and their requirements before submitting their SOQ. After the conference, proposers must submit questions in writing and request information for this solicitation within 3 business days from the date of the conference.

Public Works will award 12 Watershed Coordinator contracts for the following Nine Watershed Areas. The RFSQ may allow for the awarding of additional contracts for any specified Watershed Area(s) if the number of contracts being awarded as stated in the table below do not fulfill the needs of the work.

No.	Watershed Areas	Number of Contracts being Awarded	Estimated Maximum Annual Amount for Each Contract
1	Central Santa Monica Bay	2	\$200,000
2	Lower Los Angeles River	1	\$200,000
3	Lower San Gabriel River	1	\$200,000
4	North Santa Monica Bay	1	\$100,000
5	Rio Hondo	1	\$200,000

6 Santa Clara River 1 \$200,000
 7 South Santa Monica Bay 1 \$200,000
 8 Upper Los Angeles River 3 \$200,000
 9 Upper San Gabriel River 1 \$200,000

Note: Public Works seeks to award a total of twelve contracts according to the table above. Proposers may submit a Statement of Qualification (SOQ) for a contract under one or more of the 9 Watershed Areas listed above and must clearly indicate in their Form PW-17 SOQ Submission Form the Watershed Area(s) for which they are submitting a SOQ. SOQs will be reviewed on a Pass/Fail basis to verify compliance with the minimum mandatory requirements identified herein below. Proposers whose SOQ are determined to meet the minimum mandatory requirements will be evaluated under Phase 1, as described in this RFSQ, by the County for the identified Watershed Area (WA). The proposers who meet the minimum scoring under the Phase 1 evaluation will be recommended to the applicable Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) as a Qualified Proposer to undergo interview under a Phase 2 evaluation, as follows:

Phase 1: Public Works will evaluate each SOQ based on experience, work plan, price, performance history, and references as described in Part I, Section 4, of this RFSQ. Proposers that receive a qualifying score resulting from the evaluation will be placed on a Qualified Proposer List for that particular Watershed Area (WA).

Phase 2: The proposers on the Qualified Proposer List will be invited to provide a presentation of their Capabilities and Qualifications (CAQ) to the applicable Watershed Area Steering Committee who will conduct an interview at a public meeting to select the Watershed Coordinator(s) for the identified WA.

Additional information regarding the evaluation and selection process is provided in Part I, Section 4 of this RFSQ. Important Information:

- Each Watershed Area will be awarded and evaluated independently.
- Proposers may be individuals or entities. Each Watershed Coordinator will be an individual person or entity who has been selected through the two-phase selection process identified in this RFSQ.
- An individual person may only provide services in one Watershed Area.
- A proposing entity may receive one or more contract awards if they have identified more than one individual on their staff to perform Watershed Coordinator services. In other words, a proposer may receive more than one contract only where they are providing more than one employee to serve as Watershed Coordinators, each of whom is assigned to a different Watershed Area or staffing a separate Watershed Coordinator position.
- If a Watershed Coordinator is selected for more than one Watershed Area, then Public Works will determine, in consultation with the selected individual and the WASC, where they will be assigned.

The assignment of work will be in accordance with Part 1, Section 4E and Agreement, Paragraph 4.

Item Solicitation Schedule Due Date

1. Written Questions Due Thursday, September 19, 2024
2. Form PW-3: Jury Service Exception Tuesday, September 10, 2024
3. Form PW-11 Solicitation Requirements Review (SRR) Request

Submit only if you believe your firm is being unfairly disadvantaged per the 4 reasons cited in Form PW-11

Tuesday, September 10, 2024

4. SOQ Submission Due Monday, September 30, 2024

NOTE: Items 1 through 3 above, if submitting, will be due via email to Mr. Pang or Mr. Medina. Item 4 is due from all proposers in accordance with the Important Notice below.

PLEASE CHECK THE WEBSITE FREQUENTLY FOR ANY CHANGES TO THIS SOLICITATION. ALL ADDENDA AND INFORMATIONAL UPDATES WILL BE POSTED AT <http://pw.lacounty.gov/brcd/servicecontracts>.
 "Do Business with Public Works" Website Registration

Less

Open Day:	8/27/2024	Close Date:	9/30/2024 5:30:00 PM
Contact Name:	David Pang	Contact Phone:	(626) 458-7167
Contact Email:	dpang@pw.lacounty.gov		
Last Changed On:	8/27/2024 8:22:54 AM		
Attachment File (0) :	Click here to download attachment files.		

[Update \(/LACoBids/Admin/UpdateBid/MzAzMTM5ODI3MjQx\)](#)



Powered by ISD | [Contact Us](#)

SAMPLE AGREEMENT FOR
ON-CALL SAFE, CLEAN WATER PROGRAM – WATERSHED COORDINATOR
SERVICES (BRC0000529)

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 2025, by and between the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, a subdivision of the State of California, a body corporate and politic (hereinafter referred to as COUNTY) and [Name of CONTRACTOR], a [State of Incorporation] [Form of Entity], located at [Full Address of the Contractor], (hereinafter referred to as CONTRACTOR). COUNTY and CONTRACTOR are each a Party and collectively referred to as the Parties.

WITNESSETH

FIRST: The CONTRACTOR, for the consideration hereinafter set forth and the acceptance by the Board of Supervisors (Board) of said COUNTY of the CONTRACTOR'S Proposal filed with the COUNTY on _____, 2024, hereby agrees to provide services as described in this Contract for On-Call Safe, Clean Water Program – Watershed Coordinator Services.

SECOND: This AGREEMENT, together with Exhibit A to Exhibit J; the CONTRACTOR'S SOQ, all attached hereto; the Request for Statement of Qualifications; and Addenda to the RFSQ, all of which are incorporated herein by reference, are agreed by the COUNTY and the CONTRACTOR to constitute the Contract.

THIRD: The COUNTY agrees, in consideration of satisfactory performance of the foregoing services in strict accordance with the Contract specifications to the satisfaction of the Director, to pay the CONTRACTOR pursuant to the Schedule of Prices set forth in the Proposal and attached hereto as Form PW-2.X. In certain circumstances, conditioned on available Technical Resources Program (TRP) funds, an aggregate annual amount in excess of \$XXX,XXX, or such greater amount as the Board may approve, (Maximum Contract Sum), may be paid to the CONTRACTOR to accommodate addressing additional evolving program needs and ensure sufficient resources are available to support community engagement, project development, and technical assistance efforts across the Watershed Area.

FOURTH: As part of the Statement of Qualification evaluation process relative to this Contract solicitation, the COUNTY determined that the CONTRACTOR selection for work will be based on the evaluation by COUNTY and development of a Qualified Proposer List for each of the nine Watershed Areas. The Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) will conduct interviews with the candidates on the Qualified Proposer List and select the proposer to be awarded the Contract for their Watershed Area by voting. COUNTY reserves the right to award more than one contract for each of the nine Watershed Areas as determined by total population. As the need arises for services at each required Watershed Areas, the WASC will use the Qualified Proposer List to conduct interviews and to allow the qualified proposers to demonstrate their Capabilities and Qualifications (CAQ) through a presentation. Each WASC will select the Watershed

Coordinator by means of voting in an open session. Candidates not selected or Watershed Coordinators not renewed by WASC will be placed back onto the Qualified Proposer List for selection consideration for future needs. In the event the Contractor selected is not available or cannot perform the work, the WASC will conduct the interview and selection by voting process until the next CONTRACTOR is found to be available and capable to perform the work.

FIFTH: This Contract's initial term will commence upon the Board's approval and final execution of this Agreement by both parties, whichever occurs last, and will end on December 31, 2026. The COUNTY will have the sole option to renew this Contract term for up to 4 additional one-year period and a six month-to-month extension, for a maximum total Contract term of 5 years and six months. Each such option will be exercised at the sole discretion of the COUNTY. The COUNTY, acting through the Director, may give a written notice of intent to renew this Contract at least 10 days prior to the end of each term. At the sole discretion of the COUNTY, in lieu of renewing the Contract for the full 1 year, this Contract may be renewed on a month-to-month basis, upon written notice to the CONTRACTOR at least 10 days prior to the end of a term. Further, in accordance with Section 2.A.3 of Exhibit B, Service Contract General Requirements, the COUNTY may, at its sole discretion, authorize extensions of time to the Contract's term, to the extent that extensions of time for Contractor performance do not impact either scope or amount of this Contract, provided the aggregate of all such extensions during the life of this Contract will not exceed 180 days. The Director will provide a written notice of nonrenewal at least 10 days before the last day of any term, in which case this Contract will expire as of midnight on the last day of that term. Where all option years have been exercised, the Director will not provide a written notice of nonrenewal. If the County authorizes the Contractor in writing to perform services on a given project prior to the stated expiration date, but thereafter such services are not completed by the stated expiration date, then the expiration of the Contract will be automatically extended solely to allow for the completion of such services. County may authorize unforeseen additional services and extend the contract expiration date as necessary to complete those services when the unforeseen additional services are directly related to the initial scope of work and are necessary for the completion of a given project.

SIXTH: The CONTRACTOR will bill upon completion, in arrears, for the tasks or work performed during the preceding month. Work performed will be billed at the hourly rates quoted in Form PW-2, Schedule of Prices. The CONTRACTOR will invoice the COUNTY upon completion of work/task which are specified in this Contract, Exhibit A, Scope of Work, and any Amendments/Change Orders, as applicable, and which have been approved in writing by the COUNTY as set forth in this Contract. No partial or progress payments towards anticipated or substantial completion of Tasks or other Work will be made under this Contract unless approved in advance by the Contract Manager in coordination with the WASC as applicable. All invoices will be reviewed and approved by the Contract Manager. Payments will be made for all work/tasks completed to the satisfaction of the Contract Manager and may include consultation with the WASC being served by the Contractor. Invoices will be paid within 30 days upon approval of invoice and Monthly Progress Report. Payment may be delayed and/or withheld if there are any discrepancies between the Monthly Progress Reports and the submitted invoices.

SEVENTH: Public Works will make payment to the CONTRACTOR within 30 days of receipt and approval of a properly completed and undisputed invoice. However, should the CONTRACTOR be certified by the COUNTY as a Local Small Business Enterprise, payment will be made in accordance with Board of Supervisors Policy No. 3.035, Small Business Liaison and Prompt Payment Program. Each invoice must be in triplicate (original and two copies) and must itemize the work completed. The invoices must be submitted to:

Los Angeles County Public Works
Attention Fiscal Division, Accounts Payable
P.O. Box 7508
Alhambra, CA 91802-7508

EIGHTH: In no event will the aggregate total amount of compensation paid to any and all contractors under this Program exceed the amount of compensation authorized by the Board. Such aggregate total amount is the Maximum Contract Sum.

NINTH: The CONTRACTOR understands and agrees that only the designated Public Works Contract Manager is authorized to request or order work under this Contract. The CONTRACTOR acknowledges that the designated Contract Manager is not authorized to request or order any work that would result in the CONTRACTOR earning an aggregate compensation in excess of this Contract's Maximum Contract Sum.

TENTH: The CONTRACTOR must not perform or accept work requests from the Contract Manager or any other person that will cause the Maximum Contract Sum of this Contract to be exceeded. The CONTRACTOR must monitor the balance of this Contract's Maximum Contract Sum. When the total of the CONTRACTOR'S paid invoices, invoices pending payment, invoices yet to be submitted, and ordered services reaches 75 percent of the Maximum Contract Sum, the CONTRACTOR must immediately notify the Contract Manager in writing. The CONTRACTOR must send written notification to the Contract Manager when this Contract is within 6 months from expiration of the term as provided for hereinabove.

ELEVENTH: No cost-of-living adjustment will be granted for the optional renewal periods.

TWELFTH: In the event that terms and conditions, which may be listed in the CONTRACTOR'S Proposal, conflict with the COUNTY'S specifications, requirements, and terms and conditions as reflected in this AGREEMENT including, but not limited to, Exhibits A through J, inclusive, the COUNTY'S provisions will control and be binding.

THIRTEENTH: The CONTRACTOR agrees in strict accordance with the Contract specifications and conditions to meet the COUNTY'S requirements.

FOURTEENTH: Advertising and Other External Communications About the Contract. Contractor shall obtain the County's prior written approval before disclosing or communicating any information concerning the award of the contract, the progress of the

work, or the completion of the work, to any non-party, including but not limited to outside media and news organizations. This requirement includes, but is not limited to: (1) a Contractor's, application for an award or any other recognition of the Contract; and (2) any advertising or promotion of the Contract and/or the Contractor's role on the project. The County retains the sole discretion as to the release of such information, including the right to deny the request for disclosure, the right to direct the timing of the disclosure, and/or the right to direct Contractor to make revisions to the information prior to disclosure.

FIFTEENTH: This Contract constitutes the entire agreement between the COUNTY and the CONTRACTOR with respect to the subject matter of this Contract and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous agreements and understandings. This CONTRACT may be signed by the Parties hereto in separate counterparts, including both counterparts that are executed on paper and counterparts that are in the form of electronic signatures. Electronic signatures include facsimile or e-mail electronic signatures. Each executed counterpart will be deemed an original. All counterparts, taken together, constitute the executed Agreement.

The Parties hereby acknowledge and agree that electronic records and electronic signatures, as well as facsimile signatures, used in connection with the execution of this Agreement and electronic signatures, facsimile signatures or signatures transmitted by electronic mail in so-called pdf format will be legal and binding and will have the same full force and effect as if a paper original of this Agreement had been delivered and had been signed using a handwritten signature. Contractor and County (i) agree that an electronic signature, whether digital or encrypted, of a Party to this Agreement is intended to authenticate this writing and to have the same force and effect as a manual signature, (ii) intend to be bound by the signatures (whether original, faxed or electronic) on any document sent or delivered by facsimile, or electronic mail, or other electronic means, (iii) are aware that the other Party will rely on such signatures, and (iv) hereby waive any defenses to the enforcement of the terms of this Agreement based on the foregoing forms of signature. If this Agreement has been executed by electronic signature, all Parties executing this document are expressly consenting under the United States Federal Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act of 2000 (E-SIGN) and California Uniform Electronic Transactions Act (UETA)(Cal. Civ. Code § 1633.1, et seq.), that a signature by fax, e-mail or other electronic means will constitute an Electronic Signature to an Electronic Record under both E-SIGN and UETA with respect to this specific transaction.

//
 //
 //
 //
 //
 //
 //
 //

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the COUNTY has, by order of its Board of Supervisors, caused these presents to be subscribed by the Director of Public Works, and the CONTRACTOR has subscribed its name by and through its duly authorized officers, as of the day, month, and year first written above.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

By _____
Director of Public Works

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DAWYN R. HARRISON
County Counsel

By _____
Deputy

Type/Print Name

[NAME OF CONTRACTOR]

By _____
Its President

Type/Print Name

By _____
Its Secretary

Type/Print Name