

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



<b>Watershed Area</b>	North Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Westlake Village MS4 Compliance Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Westlake Village
<b>Application Type</b>	Design Only
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$1,315,356.00
<b>Project Type Scoring Method</b>	Wet
<b>WQ Scoring Pilot</b>	No
<b>WS Scoring Pilot</b>	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality – Part 1</b> Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Combination of dry and wet weather project, mostly dry with four drainage locations</li> <li>• High design cost due to anticipated permitting costs</li> <li>• Concerned with low construction cost</li> <li>• Concerned with proposed gravity flow with valve at storm drain to the sewer</li> <li>• Applicant confirmed with Las Virgenes MWD on no pump; request a letter to confirm final design</li> <li>• 1 cfs of low flow diversion is too high; request actual data to confirm dry-weather runoff in final design</li> <li>• Groundwater is at 16 ft; to confirm 10-ft space separation in final design</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality – Part 2</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• </li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply – Part 1</b>	13	13	13	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recycled into sewer</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



<b>Water Supply – Part 2</b>	12	12	12	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	5	5	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	10	10	<b>15</b>	<b>10</b>	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b>	0	0	0	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	• No leveraging funds
<b>Community Support</b>	2	2	2	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Little effort on community engagement to date</li> <li>• Population of 8,000; most engagement through phone and email</li> <li>• Request a robust community engagement for final design</li> </ul>
<b>TOTAL</b>	92	92	92	<b>110</b>	<b>90</b>	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Rio Hondo
<b>Project Name</b>	East Los Angeles Sustainable Median Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Los Angeles County
<b>Application Type</b>	Construction and O&M Funding
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$1,500,000.00
<b>Project Type Scoring Method</b>	Dry
<b>WQ Scoring Pilot</b>	No
<b>WS Scoring Pilot</b>	<del>No</del> Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality – Part 1</b> Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Infiltration project, large drainage area</li> <li>Based on captured volume of 22 acre-ft, project is classified as dry weather project</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality – Part 2</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	20	20	20	<b>30</b>	<b>20</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply – Part 1</b>	6	6	11	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply – Part 2</b>	12	12	12	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	5	5	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	10	10	<b>15</b>	<b>10</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b>	6	6	6	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Support</b>	3	3	3	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Various tours and engagement events</li> </ul>
<b>TOTAL</b>	82	82	87	<b>110</b>	<b>87</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Rio Hondo
<b>Project Name</b>	Rio Hondo Ecosystem Restoration Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Monrovia
<b>Application Type</b>	Construction and O&M Funding
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$19,397,616.00
<b>Project Type Scoring Method</b>	<del>Wet</del> Dry
<b>WQ Scoring Pilot</b>	Yes
<b>WS Scoring Pilot</b>	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality – Part 1</b> Wet + Dry Weather	16	14	16	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Claiming 0.22 inches, which would be a dry weather project. Reclassified as dry weather</li> <li>Concerned with clearance requested</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality – Part 2</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	27	20	27	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply – Part 1</b>	2	0	2	13	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concerned whether project is recharging water</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply – Part 2</b>	5	2	5	12	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	10	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No flood benefit</li> <li>Good job articulating why DAC benefits are claimed even though outside the region</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	10	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Good job at describing NBS</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b>	3	3	3	6	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Support</b>	4	4	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>TOTAL</b>	77	63	77	110	69	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Rio Hondo
<b>Project Name</b>	Sierra Madre Boulevard Median Enhancement Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Pasadena
<b>Application Type</b>	Construction and O&M Funding
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$12,481,400.00
<b>Project Type Scoring Method</b>	Dry
<b>WQ Scoring Pilot</b>	No
<b>WS Scoring Pilot</b>	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality – Part 1</b> Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	20	20	•
<b>Water Quality – Part 2</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	20	20	20	30	20	•
<b>Water Supply – Part 1</b>	0	0	13	13	0	•
<b>Water Supply – Part 2</b>	0	0	1	12	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	5	5	10	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	10	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 292,000 sqft shrub coverage, 275,000 sqft of tree canopy</li> <li>• Great job quantifying benefits</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b>	3	3	3	6	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Caltrans leverage funding</li> </ul>
<b>Community Support</b>	4	4	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multiple workshops, 2-way engagement</li> <li>• Community letter of support</li> </ul>
<b>TOTAL</b>	62	62	76	110	62	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Rio Hondo
<b>Project Name</b>	Arcadia City Hall Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Arcadia
<b>Application Type</b>	Design Only
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$1,272,000.00
<b>Project Type Scoring Method</b>	Wet
<b>WQ Scoring Pilot</b>	Yes
<b>WS Scoring Pilot</b>	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality – Part 1</b> Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Only treats around 40%, 0.49 infiltration rate</li> <li>• Verification of 85th percentile when applying for SCW funds for construction</li> <li>• 60-70 % effective rate, theoretical</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality – Part 2</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	25	20	25	30	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply – Part 1</b>	0	0	9	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Treat and release; no Water Supply Benefits</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply – Part 2</b>	0	0	1	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	5	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	10	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10 trees for shade</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b>	0	0	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No leveraging funds</li> </ul>
<b>Community Support</b>	1	1	1	4	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>TOTAL</b>	61	56	71	110	61	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Santa Clara River
<b>Project Name</b>	Jake Kuredjian Park Stormwater Improvements Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Los Angeles County
<b>Application Type</b>	Design Only
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$1,250,000.00
<b>Project Type Scoring Method</b>	Wet
<b>WQ Scoring Pilot</b>	<del>No</del> Yes
<b>WS Scoring Pilot</b>	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality – Part 1</b> Wet + Dry Weather	14	14	16	20	16	•
<b>Water Quality – Part 2</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	•
<b>Water Supply – Part 1</b>	0	0	10	13	0	• No letter from watermaster (design-only)
<b>Water Supply – Part 2</b>	9	9	9	12	9	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	5	5	10	Unable to Score	• Wrong recreational waterway identified • Request to clean up recreational benefits
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	10	10	15	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b>	6	6	6	6	6	•
<b>Community Support</b>	0	0	0	4	0	•
<b>TOTAL</b>	74	74	86	110	Unable to Score	•