

# Regional Oversight Committee

## Meeting Minutes

---



Wednesday, September 10, 2025  
1:00pm – 3:30pm  
LA County Public Works Headquarters, Alhambra Room  
900 S. Fremont Ave, Alhambra, CA 91803

### Zoom Meeting

#### Committee Members Present:

Maria Mehranian, Cordoba/Former LA Regional Water Quality Control Board, Vice-Chair  
Diana Tang, Long Beach Water Department, Chair  
Barbara Romero, City of Los Angeles  
Kristine Guerrero, League of California Cities  
Lauren Ahkiam, LAANE  
Belinda Faustinos, Retired NGO & State Agency Executive  
Carl Blum, LA County Flood Control District (non-voting member)  
Norma Camacho, LA Regional Water Quality Control Board (non-voting member)

#### Committee Members Not Present:

Charles Trevino, Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District  
Mark Gold, Scripps Institute of Oceanography, CalCOFI  
Diana Mahmud, Former City Councilmember, City of South Pasadena

---

### Meeting Summary:

At the September 10, 2025 Regional Oversight Committee (ROC) meeting, Committee Members received a presentation about expected use-cases for the Initial Watershed Plans and the Planning Tool, provided high level comments and asked questions about the Initial Watershed Plans, in further support of the 2025 Biennial Progress Report which is being drafted by a working group with staff support.

### Key Action Items:

- Public Works will evaluate current and historic datasets about ecological areas, function, and restoration for use within the SCW Program.
- Public Works will develop and make available a descriptive list of public educational institution land within high opportunity areas of the Initial Watershed Plans.
- Public Works will continue to promote SCW Program collaborative opportunities and engage with other County Departments during existing multi-agency activities for SCW Program target setting, planning, project development, and co-funding.
- The Executive Clerk team will work with Public Works facility and technology managers to strengthen hybrid meeting protocols and practices.

---

### 1. Welcome and Attendee Instructions

Diana Tang, Chair of the Safe, Clean Water (SCW) Program Regional Oversight Committee (ROC), welcomed Committee Members and members of the public and called the meeting to order.

The Executive Clerk conducted a brief tutorial on Zoom and announced that the meeting was being broadcast live in Spanish.

# Regional Oversight Committee

## Meeting Minutes



### 2. Roll Call

The Executive Clerk conducted roll call, and quorum was established.

### 3. Agenda Review and Meeting Purpose

Chair Tang reviewed the agenda and shared that the purpose of the meeting is to discuss high-level comments and questions regarding Initial Watershed Plans and use across the SCW Program.

### 4. Ex Parte Communication Disclosures

There were no ex parte communication disclosures.

### 5. Approval of August 13, 2025 Meeting Minutes

Chair Tang presented the meeting minutes from the previous meeting. Vice-Chair Maria Mehranian motioned to approve the meeting minutes, seconded by Member Kristine Guerrero. The Committee voted to approve the August 13, 2025 meeting minutes with 5 votes in favor, 0 opposed, 0 in abstention and 1 absent at the time of the vote (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

### 6. Program Administration Updates

Los Angeles County Public Works (Public Works) staff provided updates, noting:

- Community Strengths and Needs Assessment (CSNA) responses are available to Project Applicants to help guide community engagement while developing project feasibility studies. More than 600 submissions have been recorded to date.
- The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors' (Board) letter package for Regional Program Fiscal Year (FY) 2025-26 Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs) will be presented to the Board on November 4. SIP Transmittal Reports are located on the SCW Program [FY 2025-26 Projects webpage](#).
- The FY 2026-27 Call for Projects closed on July 31, 2025, with 48 applications submitted to the Infrastructure, Technical Resources, and Scientific Studies Programs.
- Following up regarding a question about the landcover survey, Public Works staff confirmed that there was not enough data resolution to decide whether impermeable areas were new houses or additions.
- Regional Program Transfer Agreement disbursements are underway. Municipal Annual Return disbursements are also underway, as 77 cities out of 86 have received disbursements.

### 7. Public Comment Period

Four public comment cards were submitted prior to the meeting and can be found on the [SCW Program website](#). Virtual attendees, call-in users, and in-person attendees were invited to provide public comment.

Jens Jonason (Community Member, Garvanza) expressed gratitude to the ROC and SCW Program on working towards projects that provide near-term benefits in addition to future planning of stormwater programs and projects. Jonason expressed support for the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse project being developed by the Cities of Pasadena and South Pasadena. Jonason commented that there have been some concerns with portions of the site being on land owned by the City of Los Angeles and emphasized that there is strong community support for the project despite some opposing opinions. Jonason commented that there is a benefit to implementing projects for the immediate future while also visioning long term restoration goals.

Pilar Reynaldo (Community Member, Garvanza) expressed support for the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse project and commented how PSOMAS conducted habitat restoration in the area that created benefits to the community and increased habitat for migratory birds. Reynaldo commented that watershed projects in the area will increase water quality and water supply, as well as add habitat restoration benefits. Reynaldo asserted that Los Angeles County is historically park poor and that these projects would add two parks for



# Regional Oversight Committee

## Meeting Minutes



community members. Reynaldo commented that there is misinformation being spread to oppose the project and emphasized to the ROC that there is strong community support for the project.

Devon Provo (Accelerate Resilience Los Angeles [ARLA]) commended the Watershed Planning team in developing the Draft Initial Watershed Plans, noting the extensive process, proactive management, and influence of the SCW Program towards creating a more resilient Los Angeles. Provo provided suggestions regarding the Watershed Plans, commenting that the Plans lack detail regarding existing biological conditions, with no descriptions of sensitive or native species or habitats, and commented that without this baseline, metrics and targets about measuring net habitat area protected or restored cannot be meaningfully evaluated. Provo also commented that the Plans do not contain detailed information about historic conditions of each Watershed Area and commented that understanding how watersheds have changed over time is also critical to measuring benefits. Provo encouraged Public Works to not finalize the Initial Watershed Plans until ecological and historical perspectives have been added.

### 8. Discussion of Initial Watershed Plans

Public Works staff provided an overview of the Draft Initial Watershed Plans, noting that these plans do not prescribe specific projects. Presentation slides can be found on the [SCW Program website](#).

Public Works staff explained that the Watershed Area Steering Committees (WASCs) use the SIP Tool to help identify whether SCW Program Implementation Ordinance requirements are being met in various funding scenarios during SIP deliberation. Public Works staff added that additional functionality in the Watershed Planning Tool is being developed that will interface with the SIP Tool so WASCs can better manage and understand how a particular SIP works towards progressing elements of the Watershed Planning effort in addition to Ordinance requirements.

Member Norma Camacho commented on the flowchart that the Municipal Program and Regional Program are still on separate tracks and recommended linking the two tracks to provide a better understanding of existing projects. Member Camacho also expressed support for including ecological and historical conditions in the Watershed Plans, noting that these would be important for understanding nature-based solutions. Member Camacho suggested using artificial intelligence to help quickly answer questions about the dense Watershed Plans.

Member Faustinos expressed support for comprehensive planning processes to ensure projects are not only strong in Water Quality or Water Supply criteria, but also the third pillar of the Program, Community Investment Benefits. In regard to the CSNA Survey results, Member Faustinos cautioned the Committee and interested parties against solely relying on CSNA data and commented that appropriately integrating non-scientific data would be important to provide unbiased perspectives. Member Faustinos noted experience as a Los Angeles County Parks and Recreation Commissioner and commented that all the existing County comprehensive plans should build upon each other. Member Faustinos emphasized the need for integrative planning and coordination between various departments. Member Faustinos suggested recommending to the Board of Supervisors in the Biennial Progress Report for the Chief Engineer of the Los County Flood Control District to coordinate with County departments such as Parks and Recreation, Regional Planning, Chief Sustainability Office, Department of Economic Opportunity, and Public Health and establishing a working group to evaluate Community Investment Benefits to ensure collaborative project planning is taking place.

Vice-Chair Mehranian highlighted previous ROC discussions regarding quantifying metrics and measuring the success of the SCW Program, commenting that the Watershed Planning Tools now exist and integration is the next priority. Vice-Chair Mehranian commented that any of the County departments setting numeric targets should collaborate with the entities that govern implementation programs. Upon inquiry, Public Works staff noted that the County is building increased leadership within each Watershed Area to help identify varying priorities.



# Regional Oversight Committee

## Meeting Minutes



Member Barbara Romero commented that these metrics and tools should be used at different levels of decision-making, whether at the WASC level or elsewhere. Member Romero also shared perspectives outside of the SCW Program where these tools can be used, such as when City Councils decide whether to fund projects. Member Romero noted the potential for SCW Program projects to leverage regional significance and funding with more integration across County programs. Upon inquiry, Member Romero explained that the City of Los Angeles has an internal screening process to rank projects that are specifically strong SCW Program projects. Mike Antos (Stantec, Regional Coordination) mentioned that this type of strategic decision-making is happening across the SCW Program area by cities, agencies, and others.

Member Lauren Ahkiam expressed support for how Watershed Planning tools could help Project Applicants position projects in various funding streams. Member Ahkiam commented that the targets developed for school greening and green space are low and expressed a hope that Project Applicants would be encouraged to develop auxiliary components to qualify for various multi-benefit funding initiatives. The Committee suggested that existing data sources be appended to the Watershed Planning Tool to incorporate ecological and environmental data, such as Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas (ESHAs), which are often used in the Department of Regional Planning. Committee Members also noted that the Council for Watershed Health has existing databases for historic streams and that the County Department of Parks and Recreation's Parks Needs Assessment has identified priority restoration areas.

Several Committee Members discussed the importance of collaborating between County departments, proposing that the ROC might be a suitable place for tracking various County programs and projects that are multi-purpose, even though that may be outside the scope of the SCW Program. It was noted that the County's purview is limited to Unincorporated Areas and that large cities would also be needed as part of this integration effort. Committee Members additionally suggested partnership with community colleges and other government entities to include properties such as utility corridors and campuses. The Committee discussed the importance of documenting existing programs and the corresponding funds available, citing [Infrastructure LA](#) as a helpful aggregator of information. A suggestion was made to add a closing or opening remark in the Biennial Progress Report to the Board calling for increased interdepartmental collaboration.

The Committee additionally discussed prioritizing SCW Program goals in the Biennial Progress Report Working Groups, expressing concern for diminishing future funds, and how to incorporate Watershed Area specific priorities. Antos added CSNA Survey data trends shows that community members often prioritize a cleaner environment, which is aligned with the Water Quality Benefit rather than an explicit Community Investment Benefit. Antos shared that this response trend was also observed in WaterTalks, a separate community engagement effort in the region.

The Committee underlined the importance of ensuring WASCs and Project Applicants understand how to effectively use the Watershed Plans and accompanying Tools. Public Works staff noted a Draft Initial Watershed Plans Technical Information Session was held on August 12 and shared plans for more training sessions in the future. The Technical Information Session recording and materials can be found on the [SCW Program website](#). It was noted that the ROC will have to evaluate how Watershed Plans were used based on project outcomes, as the ROC cannot be at every discussion.

### 9. ROC Member Updates

There were no updates.

### 10. Items for Next Agenda / 2025 Look Ahead

Public Works staff shared a look ahead of 2025 ROC meetings which can be found on the [SCW Program website](#).

### 11. Meeting Adjourned

Chair Tang thanked ROC Members and the public and adjourned the meeting at 3:31 PM.

Regional Oversight Committee - September 10, 2025			
	Quorum Present		Approve the August 13, 2025 Meeting Minutes
Member Type	Member	Present?	
Voting Member	Maria Mehranian	X	Y
Voting Member	Barbara Romero	X	Y
Voting Member	Diana Tang	X	Y
Voting Member	Kristine Guerrero	X	Y
Voting Member	Belinda Faustinos	X	Y
Voting Member	Lauren Ahkiam	X	NP
Voting Member	Charles Trevino		
Voting Member	Mark Gold		
Voting Member	Diana Mahmud		
Non-Voting Member	Carl Blum	X	
Non-Voting Member	Norma Camacho	X	
Total Non-Vacant Seats	9	Yay (Y)	5
Total Voting Members Present	6	Nay (N)	0
		Abstain (A)	0
		Total	5
			Approved



# Regional Oversight Committee Meeting

## COMMITTEE MEMBER SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization	Email Address	Signature
<b>Voting Members</b>			
Barbara Romero	City of Los Angeles	[Redacted]	[Signature]
Belinda Faustinos	Nature For All		[Signature]
Charles Trevino	Upper San Gabriel Valley MWD		[Signature]
Diana Tang	City of Long Beach		[Signature]
Mark Gold	Scripps Institute of Oceanography CalCOFI		[Signature]
Diana Mahmud	Former Mayor, City of South Pasadena		AB2449
Kristine Guerrero	League of Cities		[Signature]
Lauren Ahkiam	LAANE		[Signature]
Maria Mehranian	Cordoba, Former RWQCB Chair		[Signature]
<b>Non-Voting Members</b>			
Carl Blum	Flood Control District	[Redacted]	[Signature]
Norma Camacho	Former LA Regional Water Quality Control Board Chair		[Signature]

late



# Public Comment Form

Name\*: Jens Jonason

Organization\*: Private individual

Email\*: [REDACTED]

Phone\*: [REDACTED]

Meeting: Regional Oversight Committee Meeting

Date: Sep 10 @ 1:00 pm - 3:30 pm

☐ LA County Public Works may contact me for clarification about my comments

\*Per Brown Act, completing this information is optional. At a minimum, please include an identifier so that you may be called upon to speak.

Phone participants and the public are encouraged to submit public comments (or a request to make a public comment) to [SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov](mailto:SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov). All public comments will become part of the official record.

Please complete this form and email to [SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov](mailto:SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov) by at least 5:00pm the day prior to the meeting with the following subject line: "Public Comment: [Watershed Area] [Meeting Date]" (ex. "Public Comment: USGR 4/8/20").

## Comments

I will deliver an in-person comment in support of the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project, commending the Program for staying focused on voter-approved goals, and critiques fringe opposition groups for blocking meaningful progress while offering no viable alternatives.

**Lowry, Bridget**

---

**From:** Jens Jonason [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 10, 2025 2:40 PM  
**To:** DPW-SafeCleanWaterLA  
**Subject:** Re: Public Comment: Regional Oversight Committee, Sep 10 @ 1:00 pm - 3:30 pm

**CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.**

This was the comment I intended to deliver, but managed to remove from my screen (lesson learned: use printed hard copy) so I spoke from memory.

Sending the written comment in hopes it can be added to the public record. I understand if that is not possible.

Thank you for bearing with me and my first comment for this great committee.

/jens

Good afternoon. My name is Jens Jonason. I live in Garvanza, I am a Board Member of the Historic Highland Park Neighborhood Council, but I'm speaking here as a full-time dad of two young children who often play, run, and explore the areas of the Lower Arroyo Seco.

I want to thank this Committee and the Safe, Clean Water Program staff for your persistence in seeing important projects like the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project in the cities of Pasadena and South Pasadena through to completion.

You are fulfilling the promise that LA County voters endorsed — real improvements to water quality, ecological health, and public land use *today*, not in a hypothetical distant future.

The Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project delivers those benefits. It makes public space safer, more accessible to more people, while meeting moral and legal imperatives to clean polluted stormwater before it reaches the a LA river and ocean.

I also want to acknowledge that some community members have raised objections to this project. Their passion and desire for a more comprehensive vision of Arroyo restoration is admirable.

But this doesn't have to be a binary choice. It's not "either-or" — it's "yes, and."

Completing ASWRP now doesn't preclude greater projects later.

In fact, it shows that Los Angeles, Pasadena, and South Pasadena — and the communities along the Arroyo — can work together to learn from the past, deliver benefits now, and keep moving forward.



We have the funds for this project today, and if funds become available in the future for other projects, they can be considered then.

Please stay the course, and help us share the positive story of this project with our neighbors. Thank you.

On Sep 9, 2025, at 16:44, Jens Jonason wrote:



# Public Comment Form

Name\*: Pilar Reynaldo

Organization\*: Garvanza Resident

Email\*: [REDACTED]

Phone\*: [REDACTED]

Meeting: Safe, Clean water Regional Oversight committee

Date: 9/10/2025

☐ LA County Public Works may contact me for clarification about my comments

\*Per Brown Act, completing this information is optional. At a minimum, please include an identifier so that you may be called upon to speak.

Phone participants and the public are encouraged to submit public comments (or a request to make a public comment) to [SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov](mailto:SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov). All public comments will become part of the official record.

Please complete this form and email to [SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov](mailto:SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov) by at least 5:00pm the day prior to the meeting with the following subject line: "Public Comment: [Watershed Area] [Meeting Date]" (ex. "Public Comment: USGR 4/8/20").

## Comments

I'm a resident of Garvanza who walks in the lower arroyo several times a week. It is a very special place in the area. I learned about the Lower arroyo watershed projects in October of 2023 on a community walk. All of the participants on this walk were so excited to learn about the efforts being put forward by the City of Pasadena & South Pasadena. In 2020 Psomas conducted Habitat restoration under the La Loma bridge. The restoration has greatly improved the area not only for those of us you regularly walk but for our migratory friends. For the past 3 years fledgling owls have been born in the restored space. Many of us gather in the evening to observe their growth. The watershed projects will not only prevent toxins from running into our ocean, it will clean and infiltrate much needed water into the aquifer. The cities are not stopping with their mandated obligations they are also including habitat restoration into these projects which will provide our native migratory friends areas to feed and rest. Los Angeles County is famously park poor, these projects will extensively add two parks to the area for people to enjoy.

I'm baffled by Clara Solis, Tim Brick & Barbara Eisenstein's false and negative narrative they are spreading amongst the 3 cities where they reside. It seems neither of them have actually read the entirety of the information available regarding the projects.

Ms Solis's claims regarding Historic Palm trees are seriously ridiculous. The times has run a series of stories since the fires at the beginning of the year, the palm trees are soon to be gone as they aid in spreading fire and provide habitat for rats not bats.  
<https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-08-10/prepare-to-say-farewell-to-los-angeles-palm-trees> . I have many aerial photos of the 30 & 40's of the San Pascual site which show the Palm





---

**Re: Your public comment to the SCW Program Regional Oversight Committee**

---

**From** PW-SCWP\_ROC\_ExecClerk <SCWP\_ROC\_ExecClerk@pw.lacounty.gov>

**Date** Wed 9/17/2025 9:15 AM

**To** Pilar Reynaldo [REDACTED]

Thank you Pilar.

Mike Antos, PhD  
Executive Clerk  
Regional Oversight Committee  
Safe, Clean Water Program  
626-568-6080

---

**From:** Pilar Reynaldo [REDACTED] >

**Sent:** Friday, September 12, 2025 12:47 PM

**To:** PW-SCWP\_ROC\_ExecClerk <SCWP\_ROC\_ExecClerk@pw.lacounty.gov>

**Subject:** Re: Your public comment to the SCW Program Regional Oversight Committee

**CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.**

Good afternoon ,

Below is my comment card in its entirety.

Best,

Pilar Reynaldo

I'm a resident of Garvanza who walks in the lower arroyo several times a week. It is a very special place in the area. I learned about the Lower arroyo watershed projects in October of 2023 on a community walk. All of the participants on this walk were so excited to learn about the efforts being put forward by the Cities of Pasadena & South Pasadena. It is hard for me to fathom why a group of people have decided to turn what are beneficial projects into detrimental, unnecessary changes to the area based on misinformation and supposition and not fact. In 2020 Psomas executed Habitat restoration under the La Loma bridge. The restoration has greatly improved the area not only for those of us you regularly walk but for our migratory friends. For the past 3 years fledgling owls have been born in the restored space. Psomas is the same company contracted to complete the Habitat restoration at both of the lower arroyo watershed sites. They have proven they are worthy of overseeing these projects with their painstaking searches of the exact native seeds which would have been in the area when it was wild. The watershed projects will not only prevent toxins from running into our ocean, it will clean and infiltrate much needed water into the aquifer. These projects water portion of these projects are mandated by the state, Pasadena should be applauded for incorporating habitat restoration into both projects. Los Angeles County is famously park poor, these projects will extensively add two parks to the area for people to enjoy.

I'm baffled by Clara Solis, Tim Brick & Barbara Eisenstein's false and negative narrative they are spreading amongst the 3 cities where they reside. It seems neither of them have actually read the entirety of the information available regarding the projects.

Ms Solis's claims regarding Historic Palm trees are seriously ridiculous. The times has run a

a series of articles since the Eaton fire encouraging Cities and homeowners to reimagine their relationship with Palm Trees.



Commentary: Prepare to say a frond farewell to Los Angeles' palm trees  
latimes.com

We also know from aerial photos of the 30's and 40's that the trees did not exist in the space making her historic point, mute.



1937-08-09 (Channelized south of San Pascual)



Lastly I have recently learned from an L.A. waterkeepers event I attended that the water flowing down the arroyo is far more toxic than we know.



New Analysis: LA Waterkeeper Samples from LA River Show Shocking Levels of PFAS Contamination — LAWaterkeeper | LA River Restoration | Wastewater Recycling  
[lawaterkeeper.org](http://lawaterkeeper.org)

On Sep 10, 2025, at 9:17 AM, PW-SCWP\_ROC\_ExecClerk <[SCWP\\_ROC\\_ExecClerk@pw.lacounty.gov](mailto:SCWP_ROC_ExecClerk@pw.lacounty.gov)> wrote:

Good morning,

Your submitted Comment Card was passed to me to log, load to the website, and share with the Committee. During the meeting I will draw their attention to it, and if you are present, offer you a chance to speak.

I am writing because your written comment seems longer than the form would allow, so it is being cut-off. Please submit the entire text of your comment to me via email, either in the body or using an attachment, so that I can make sure it is recorded.

Thank you,

Mike Antos, PhD  
Executive Clerk  
Regional Oversight Committee  
Safe, Clean Water Program  
626-568-6080





# Public Comment Form

Name\*: Noel McCarthy

Organization\*: Los Angeles Stakeholder

Email\*: [REDACTED]

Phone\*: [REDACTED]

Meeting: Regional Oversight Committee Meeting

Date: 9/9/2025

☒ LA County Public Works may contact me for clarification about my comments

\*Per Brown Act, completing this information is optional. At a minimum, please include an identifier so that you may be called upon to speak.

Phone participants and the public are encouraged to submit public comments (or a request to make a public comment) to [SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov](mailto:SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov). All public comments will become part of the official record.

Please complete this form and email to [SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov](mailto:SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov) by at least 5:00pm the day prior to the meeting with the following subject line: "Public Comment: [Watershed Area] [Meeting Date]" (ex. "Public Comment: USGR 4/8/20").

## Comments

To Whom it may concern - As a 14 year resident of Highland Park, I am writing to whole heartedly voice my support The Arroyo Secco Water Reuse Project. I walk in the Arroyo on a very regular basis. This is an excellent plan that will greatly enhance the biodiversity of the Arroyo Seco while cleaning millions of gallons of water per year. In addition to this - it will make 3 + acres of newly accessible parkland. atering

It has been quite disturbing these last 2 years to see "Save San Pascual" and "Stewards of the Arroyo Seco" traffic in transparently false information to garner support. As recently as this past Sunday at a "Bio Blitz" event - both of these organizations repeatedly (and knowingly) mis-represented the plan to an unwitting crowd of 50 people.

They repeatedly asserted (in a formal presentation format )

- " All of the water will go to watering a golf course" (Tim Brick)
- " They are going to Bull doze this entire are" (Barbara Eisenstein)
- " All of these trees will be cut down" (Tim Brick)
- " San Pascual is a Natural wetland and is being completely destroyed" (Clara Solis)
- " It will all be lined with concrete with chain link around it" (Tim Brick)
- " These are native Palm trees filled with bats"

It's as if none of them actually read the plan. It all seemed quite unhinged (and frankly embarrassing) But it's crtical to note these transgressions to truthfulness - since most people have not looked at the plan - and this is the basis for their support.

And when it came to offering alternatives - it always meandered around the "Nature Based Solutions" subject without offering actual suggestions (other than "Hire proffessionals") What did seem clear is that their "Nature Based Solutions" were all predicated on removing the flood





# Public Comment Form

Name\*: Wesley Reutimann Organization\*: n/a

Email\*: [REDACTED] Phone\*: n/a

Meeting: Regional Oversight Committee Date: 9/10/2025

☒ LA County Public Works may contact me for clarification about my comments

\*Per Brown Act, completing this information is optional. At a minimum, please include an identifier so that you may be called upon to speak.

Phone participants and the public are encouraged to submit public comments (or a request to make a public comment) to [SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov](mailto:SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov). All public comments will become part of the official record.

Please complete this form and email to [SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov](mailto:SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov) by at least 5:00pm the day prior to the meeting with the following subject line: "Public Comment: [Watershed Area] [Meeting Date]" (ex. "Public Comment: USGR 4/8/20").

## Comments

Dear Committee Members,

As a long-time resident of west Pasadena and weekly user of the Arroyo Seco's beautiful trails and open spaces, I submit this comment in support of the proposed projects to improve the health of the watershed by removing toxins from the storm drains, installing water infiltration to help recharge the aquifer, and restoring habitat for native flora and fauna, as well as migratory birds. These improvements are long overdue and would be a positive step forward.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

The Reutimann household



# Regional Oversight Committee Meeting

## PUBLIC SIGN-IN



First Name	Last Name	Municipality/Organization	Email Address
Cathy	Grimes	Black & Veatch	
HEMS	JONASSEN	SELF	
Victoria	Sanchez	Self	
Devon Provo	Provo	AECA	
Devon Kutzli	Kutlik	CAW	

\*Signing or completing this form is voluntary for members of the public

## **Zoom Sign In**

Hayat Rasul | Stantec (Host)

Javier - Interpreter

Interpreter- GabrielaM. ( GabrielaM. )

Javier Panelist

Larry Tran - SCWP

jescajed

CJ Caluag

Tranette Sanders

Kiley Beaart

Paul

Christina Vallejo

Thom Epps (Craftwater)

James, Day One.

Craig Moyle

Joe Venzon - LA County

Mike Scaduto

Jason Casanova, Council for Watershed Health

valeria

Alexia Skrbic

Michelle Stafffield (JLHA)

Aric (JLHA)

mazizi

conference

conference

Luis Perez

David Lee - LACFCD

Jose Luis Escajeda

Andrew Kim

Veronica Carrillo

Leslie's iPad

Raina Dwivedi

Vicente Villasenor

Jack Mikesell

Wendy Sotelo

Mike Scaduto

Johanna

Jens Jonason (HHPNC)

Nancy Verdin

Kristina'

Serena Zhu

Bryan Igboke

Amanda Begley

Haley Lawton

Craig Doberstein (Herrera)

Susie Santilena - LASAN, SCWID

Paul



Christopher Vong  
Bryan  
Jenny Newman, LARWQCB  
Mike Antos (Stantec)  
savannah.regan  
LKhechatoorian  
Anthony B - SCW Staff  
Luis  
rebecca.kaliff  
Mark N  
Mayra Cabrera - LACPW  
Ghina Yamout  
Cris Gutierrez  
Brenda Ponton  
Alexander Iglesias  
Craig W. Cadwallader—Chair SSMB WASC, Surfrider South Ba  
Wayne Lee  
JonPaul Sarro  
Vicente Villasenor  
Bryan  
Jenny Chau  
Christopher Vong  
Dawn Flores  
Kirk Allen  
Jens Jonason (HHPNC)  
Jolene  
ROC Meeting Audio ( matt frary )  
Richard Watson  
JKanarek  
Alonso Garcia  
Bruce Hamamoto  
Julian Juarez, LACPW  
JonPaul Sarro  
Fred Gonzalez - LACPW

16199881960

Amanda Begley  
Justin Jones  
Jens Jonason (HHPNC)  
Izellah  
Drew Ready  
Justin Jones  
Lonnie Gordon  
savannah.regan  
Jenny Newman, LARWQCB  
Julian Juarez, LACFCD/LACPW  
Jack Mikesell  
carodriguez

Julie Millett  
Annelisa Moe  
Julie Millett  
Seth Carr  
Haley Lawton  
Stacy Luell, Herrera  
Melina's iPhone  
James, Day One.  
Alonso Garcia

ROC Room ( Hayat Rasul | Stantec ) (Host)  
Javier - Interpreter  
Interpreter- Gabriela M. ( GabrielaM. )  
Javier Panelist  
Executive Clerk ( Mike Antos (Stantec) )  
CJ Caluag  
Marcela  
jescajed  
LKhechatoorian  
Leslie's iPad  
Richard Watson  
Joyann's iPhone  
Serena Zhu  
Nancy Verdin  
Thom Epps (Craftwater)  
Tranette Sanders  
Joe Venzon - LA County  
Alexander Iglesias  
Aric (JLHA)  
Amanda Begley  
valeria  
Izellah  
Mike Scaduto  
Craig W. Cadwallader-Chair SSMB WASC, Surfrider South Ba  
mazizi  
David Lee - LACFCD  
Jose Luis Escajeda  
Craig Doberstein (Herrera)  
Andrew Kim  
Annelisa Moe  
JonPaul Sarro  
conference  
Raina Dwivedi  
Darin Seegmiller (Santa Clara River WASC)  
Wendy Sotelo  
bruce  
rebecca.kaliff



Steve Watson  
Paul  
Craig Moyle  
Ghina Yamout  
Mark N  
Luis Perez  
Kristina'  
Johanna  
Kiley Beaart  
Hayat Rasul  
Sandra  
Haley Lawton  
Alexia Skrbic  
James, Day One.  
savannah.regan  
Intepreter- Gabriela M. ( GabrielaM. )  
Sandra  
Darin  
Susie Santilena - LASAN, SCWID  
Tom West  
Christopher Vong  
Jenny Newman, LARWQCB  
ROC Support ( Hayat Rasul )  
Jason Casanova, Council for Watershed Health  
Kiley Beaart  
Luis  
Larry Tran - SCWP  
Mayra Cabrera - LACPW  
Alexia Skrbic  
Wayne Lee  
Dee Corhiran  
Veronica Carrillo  
Jack Mikesell  
Wayne Lee  
Pablo  
Fred Gonzalez - LACPW  
Uriel Cobian  
conference  
Julian juarez  
conference  
Vicente Villasenor  
Kirk Allen  
Julian juarez  
Lauren Ahkiam  
Christina Vallejo  
Jian Peng  
Vicente Villasenor

Michelle Stafffield (JLHA)

Brenda Ponton

bruce

Annelisa Moe

Jens Jonason (HHPNC)

Carla Dillon

Cris Gutierrez

Aric (JLHA)

Melina Watts