

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Syd Kronenthal Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	Culver City
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$730,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	No
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	7	7	8	20	7	• Diverting dry weather runoff from Ballona Creek and taking water intended for downstream use. Located upstream from LASAN's Ballona Creek TMDL O&M project. • Recommend focusing on 77-acre drainage area at the park • Concerned with benefits canceling out with the Ballona Creek Project
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	•
Water Supply – Part 1	10	10	12	13	10	• Partial water reuse for irrigation at the park and the rest diverts to the Hyperion Water Reclamation Plant (Hyperion set to be complete 2056)
Water Supply – Part 2	12	12	12	12	12	•
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	• Community garden
Nature-Based Solutions	5	5	5	15	5	

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	730k leveraged funds
Community Support	0	0	0	4	0	Missing supporting documentation for engagement
TOTAL	75	75	78	110	75	WASC to consider and discuss the potential of double counting WQ and WS benefits

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Memorial Park Multi-Benefit Stormwater Capture
Project Lead	Santa Monica
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$11,750,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	9	7	9	20	9	60 in/hr considered high; reduced to a more reasonable
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	85th percentile with high infiltration rate - Not reality: 100% bacteria and zinc pollutant reduction
Water Supply – Part 1	7	0	7	13	7	- New groundwater management authority in CSMB WA, Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) - Projects under SGMA are able to get points for Water Supply Benefits
Water Supply – Part 2	9	5	9	12	9	
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	Increase number of trees
Nature-Based Solutions	13	13	13	15	13	Removing 3 acres of impervious area
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	City leveraged funding
Community Support	4	4	4	4	4	Good engagement efforts

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



						To continue robust engagement in future outreach and phases of the project
TOTAL	83	70	83	110	83	

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	View Park – Windsor Hills Green Alley Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles County
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$500,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	10	7	10	20	10	•
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	• Captured 85 th percentile and trash pollutants
Water Supply – Part 1	3	0	3	13	0	•
Water Supply – Part 2	4	2	4	12	0	•
Community Investment	2	2	2	10	0	• No supporting documents for flood benefits
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	5	•
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	•
Community Support	1	1	1	4	0	•
TOTAL	66	58	66	110	51	• Does not meet the 60-point threshold

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	San Vicente Streetscape Plaza
Project Lead	West Hollywood
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$897,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Dry
WQ Scoring Pilot	No
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	20	20	- Concerned with geotechnical report finding groundwater level at 5 feet - Typically need minimum of 10 feet from biofiltration to groundwater level "Infiltration of stormwater is not feasible for the project" per application; WASC to obtain clarification from applicant - Applicant to conduct more geotechnical analysis for final design - Recommend typical low flow diversion and park elements separately
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	20	20	20	30	20	
Water Supply – Part 1	1	0	1	13	1	- Diverting to bioretention cells - Treatment required before irrigation - Applicant to further investigate during completion of design

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Water Supply – Part 2	1	0	1	12	1	•
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	11	11	11	15	11	• 22 new trees, removing pavement
Leveraging Funds	0	0	0	6	0	• Good prospect to leverage with Measure A and M
Community Support	2	2	2	4	2	• 3 community workshops, City Council presentations • Requesting more metrics
TOTAL	60	58	60	110	60	• WASC to obtain clarification from applicant on "Infiltration of stormwater is not feasible for the project" per application

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Santa Clara River
Project Name	Jake Kuredjian Park Stormwater Improvments Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles County
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$1,250,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	No Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	14	14	16	20	16	•
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	•
Water Supply – Part 1	0	0	10	13	0	• No letter from watermaster (design-only)
Water Supply – Part 2	9	9	9	12	9	•
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	Unable to Score 5	• Wrong recreational waterway identified • Request to clean up recreational benefits Applicant provided clarifying information
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	•
Community Support	0	0	0	4	0	•
TOTAL	74	74	86	110	Unable to Score	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



					76	
--	--	--	--	--	----	--

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Franklin D. Roosevelt Park Regional Stormwater Capture Operation and Maintenance Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles County
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$1,160,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	No Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	14	14	18	20	18	•
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	0	0	12	30	12	•
Water Supply – Part 1	13	13	13	13	13	• Requesting monitoring data for O&M and construction photos in future O&M applications
Water Supply – Part 2	12	12	12	12	12	•
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	11	11	11	15	11	•
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	•
Community Support	3	3	3	4	3	•
TOTAL	64	64	80	110	80	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Arroyo Park Infiltration Gallery
Project Lead	South Pasadena
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$1,014,666.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	8	7	8	20	8	•
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	• 85th percentile capture project, 80% copper and zinc
Water Supply – Part 1	6	0	6	13	Unable to Score	• To update WS benefits with appropriate Net countable supply ratios % (reduction) for ULAR WA
Water Supply – Part 2	5	2	5	12	5	•
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	Unable to Score	• Missing supporting documents; minimal to no quantitative information • Requesting clarifying information on claimed benefits
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	Unable to Score	• Requesting clarifying information on claimed benefits
Leveraging Funds	0	0	0	6	0	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Community Support	4	4	4	4	4	- Strong letters of support from local community groups provided, but no details on outreach and engagement - Feedback in letters raise some concerns—Scoring Committee appreciative of honesty
TOTAL	68	58	68	110	Unable to Score	

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Calles Verdes at Workman St
Project Lead	San Fernando
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$907,200.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	No
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	20	14 To verify	- Executive summary contradicts application - To provide consistent information on application and executive summary 5.23 acre-ft / \$6M = 0.86, which is 14 points
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	Unable to Score	To provide consistent information on application and executive summary
Water Supply – Part 1	0	0	7	13	Unable to Score	- Presented monitoring data - To update WS benefits with appropriate Net countable supply ratios % (reduction) for ULAR WA
Water Supply – Part 2	2	2	5	12	Unable to Score	
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	Unable to Score	Requesting clarifying information on claimed benefits
Nature-Based Solutions	12	12	12	15	Unable to Score	- Confused with claimed shade and trees - Requesting clarifying information on claimed benefits

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Leveraging Funds	0	0	0	6	0	•
Community Support	4	4	4	4	4	• Partnering with an NGO is a good way to engage the community
TOTAL	73	73	83	110	Unable to Score	•