

Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	West Los Angeles College Stormwater Improvements Project
Project Lead	Build LACCD
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$3,166,768.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	6	0	6	20	6	Low cost-effectiveness ratio
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	Possible perched groundwater near surface
Water Supply – Part 1	3	0	3	13	Unable to Score	 Applicant received email concurrence from City of Santa Monica, but Scoring Committee requests a letter and details on how project recharges aquifer 1 week: Applicant to provide details on how water being infiltrated is recharging aquifer Rescoring meeting: Applicant to provide letter from City of Santa Monica
Water Supply – Part 2	3	0	3	12	Unable to Score	•
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	•



Community Support	2	2	2	4	Unable to Score	Request clarification on specifics of engagement.
TOTAL	65	53	65	110	Unable to Score	•



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Edward Vincent Jr. Park Stormwater Improvements Project
Project Lead	Inglewood
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$28,493,400.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	11	7	11	20	11	•
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	•
Water Supply – Part 1	0	0	5	13	0	No letter from watermaster to support claimed benefits; not claiming WS Benefits
Water Supply - Part 2	9	9	9	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10	10	10	10	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds	3	3	3	6	3	 Amounts committed were not clear in leveraged funds supporting documents Caltrans leverage funding of \$10M
Community Support	4	4	4	4	4	 Good example of community engagement Robust community engagement; 2-way engagement Multiple partners in the engagement



TOTAL 77 73 82



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Reimagining La Brea Tar Pits: An Investment in Community, Green Space, and Water Quality Enhancement
Project Lead	The Natural History Museum
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$1,980,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	5	0	5	20	5	Low cost-effectiveness ratio
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	•
Water Supply - Part 1	0	0	2	13	0	Not in a rechargeable aquifer
Water Supply - Part 2	0	0	1	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	103 new trees
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	•
Community Support	4	4	4	4	4	 Extensive outreach conducted including with indigenous communities Mailed over 30,000 households Reached out to community to be partners



						Input from community engagement was incorporated into the design. Commitment to coordinating more in later phases.
TOTAL	60	55	63	110	60	



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay						
Project Name	allona Creek TMDL Operations and Maintenance Project						
Project Lead	LA Sanitation and Environment						
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding						
Total Funding Requested	\$9,736,678.00						
Project Type Scoring Method	Dry						
WQ Scoring Pilot	No						
WS Scoring Pilot	No						

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes Ballona Creek TMDL O&M Project
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	20	20	Very large dry-weather project
Water Quality - Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	20	20	20	30	20	•
Water Supply – Part 1	13	13	13	13	13	•
Water Supply – Part 2	12	12	12	12	12	 Recycled water; concerned with schedule in meeting benefits Expecting near-term benefits during dry weather, but fully built-out Hyperion Water Reclamation Plant won't occur until 2056
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	0	0	0	15	0	•
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	•
Community Support	4	4	4	4	4	Obtained many letters of support



TOTAL	80	80	80	110	80	•
-------	----	----	----	-----	----	---



Watershed Area	South Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Darby Park Multi-Benefit Project
Project Lead	Inglewood
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$1,185,700.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality - Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	9	7	9	20	9	Groundwater could be at 10 feet; to consider high groundwater in final design
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	•
Water Supply – Part 1	0	0	4	13	0	Infiltrated water has clay layer. The water is not recharging the aquifer
Water Supply – Part 2	2	2	4	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	Trees, fencing, 5,000 sqft of shading area
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds	3	3	3	6	Unable to Score	Request clarification on additional funding
Community Support	4	4	4	4	2	 Difficult to determine engagement outcome (number of participants; how input from community contributed design) Robust community engagement budget



63 61 69	TOTAL
----------	-------



Watershed Area	South Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Downtown Lomita Multi-Benefit Stormwater Project
Project Lead	Lomita
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$21,406,429.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality - Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	4	0	4	20	4	Low capture-to-cost ratio
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	•
Water Supply – Part 1	8	0	8	13	0	No letter from Water Replenishment District (WRD) of claimed Water Supply Benefits
Water Supply – Part 2	9	5	9	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	To consider protected bike lanesNew benches
Nature-Based Solutions	11	11	11	15	11	46 trees with 12 new trees, plus several plantsRemoval of impervious area
Leveraging Funds	0	0	0	6	0	•
Community Support	4	4	4	4	1	 Want to see robust engagement Only one community outreach & engagement provided outcomes.



						No upcoming scheduled community & engagement
TOTAL	71	55	71	110	51	Does not meet the 60-point threshold



Watershed Area	South Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Los Angeles Harbor College Stormwater Projects
Project Lead	Build LACCD
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$3,974,463.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes Los Angeles Harbor College Stormwater Projects
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	6	0	6	20	6	Low capture-to-cost ratio
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	29	25	29	30	29	•
Water Supply - Part 1	3	0	3	13	0	Infiltrating to confined aquifer
Water Supply – Part 2	4	0	4	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10	10	10	Unable to Score	Not sufficient supporting info; request clarification on claimed benefits
Nature-Based Solutions	15	15	15	15	Unable to Score	Small footprint of impervious area removed; request clarification on footprint
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	•
Community Support	2	2	2	4	Unable to Score	 No description of future engagement Did not quantify outreach conducted; request clarification on outcome
TOTAL	75	58	75	110	Unable to Score	•