

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	North Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Westlake Village MS4 Compliance Project
Project Lead	Westlake Village
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$1,315,356.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	No
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	20	20	- Combination of dry and wet weather project, mostly dry with four drainage locations - High design cost due to anticipated permitting costs - Concerned with low construction cost - Concerned with proposed gravity flow with valve at storm drain to the sewer - Applicant confirmed with Las Virgenes MWD on no pump; request a letter to confirm final design 1 cfs of low flow diversion is too high; request actual data to confirm dry-weather runoff in final design - Groundwater is at 16 ft; to confirm 10-ft space separation in final design
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	
Water Supply – Part 1	13	13	13	13	13	Recycled into sewer

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Water Supply – Part 2	12	12	12	12	12	•
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds	0	0	0	6	0	• No leveraging funds
Community Support	2	2	2	4	0	• Little effort on community engagement to date • Population of 8,000; most engagement through phone and email • Request a robust community engagement for final design
TOTAL	92	92	92	110	90	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	East Los Angeles Sustainable Median Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles County
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$1,500,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Dry
WQ Scoring Pilot	No
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	20	20	- Infiltration project, large drainage area - Based on captured volume of 22 acre-ft, project is classified as dry weather project
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	20	20	20	30	20	
Water Supply – Part 1	6	6	11	13	11	
Water Supply – Part 2	12	12	12	12	12	
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	Various tours and engagement events
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	
Community Support	3	3	3	4	3	
TOTAL	82	82	87	110	87	

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Rio Hondo Ecosystem Restoration Project
Project Lead	Monrovia
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$19,397,616.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet Dry
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	16	14	16	20	20	- Claiming 0.22 inches, which would be a dry weather project. Reclassified as dry weather - Concerned with clearance requested
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	27	20	27	30	20	
Water Supply – Part 1	2	0	2	13	2	Concerned whether project is recharging water
Water Supply – Part 2	5	2	5	12	5	
Community Investment	10	10	10	10	5	- No flood benefit - Good job articulating why DAC benefits are claimed even though outside the region
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	Good job at describing NBS
Leveraging Funds	3	3	3	6	3	
Community Support	4	4	4	4	4	
TOTAL	77	63	77	110	69	

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Sierra Madre Boulevard Median Enhancement Project
Project Lead	Pasadena
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$12,481,400.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Dry
WQ Scoring Pilot	No
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	20	20	•
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	20	20	20	30	20	•
Water Supply – Part 1	0	0	13	13	0	•
Water Supply – Part 2	0	0	1	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	• 292,000 sqft shrub coverage, 275,000 sqft of tree canopy • Great job quantifying benefits
Leveraging Funds	3	3	3	6	3	• Caltrans leverage funding
Community Support	4	4	4	4	4	• Multiple workshops, 2-way engagement • Community letter of support
TOTAL	62	62	76	110	62	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Arcadia City Hall Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	Arcadia
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$1,272,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	20	20	20	20	20	- Only treats around 40%, 0.49 infiltration rate - Verification of 85th percentile when applying for SCW funds for construction 60-70 % effective rate, theoretical
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	25	20	25	30	25	
Water Supply – Part 1	0	0	9	13	0	Treat and release; no water supply benefits
Water Supply – Part 2	0	0	1	12	0	
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	10 trees for shade
Leveraging Funds	0	0	0	6	0	No leveraging funds
Community Support	1	1	1	4	1	
TOTAL	61	56	71	110	61	

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Santa Clara River
Project Name	Jake Kuredjian Park Stormwater Improvments Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles County
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$1,250,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	No
WS Scoring Pilot	No

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	14	14	16	20	16	•
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	•
Water Supply – Part 1	0	0	10	13	0	• No letter from groundwater master (design-only)
Water Supply – Part 2	9	9	9	12	9	•
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	Unable to Score	• Wrong recreational waterway identified • Request to clean up recreational benefits
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds	6	6	6	6	6	•
Community Support	0	0	0	4	0	•
TOTAL	74	74	86	110	Unable to Score	•