

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Story Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	Alhambra
Application Type	Design Only
Total Funding Requested	\$1,648,000.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	12	11	12	20	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treat and release project; 0.3 inches/hour • Two diversion points, one on east & other on south
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Has wet weather and dry weather components. Only evaluated the wet weather portion • Claiming 90% effectiveness
Water Supply – Part 1	2	0	2	13	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Water Supply – Part 2	3	0	3	12	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Community Investment	5	5	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No points on greening school and access to waterways • No documentation on localized flooding
Nature-Based Solutions	11	11	11	15	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Leveraging Funds	0	0	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Community Support	2	2	2	4	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good list of local community-based supporters
TOTAL	65	59	65	110	65	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2026-2027



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Eaton Wash Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	Pasadena
Application Type	Construction and O&M Funding
Total Funding Requested	\$19,435,556.00
Project Type Scoring Method	Wet Dry
WQ Scoring Pilot	Yes
WS Scoring Pilot	Yes

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Original Score	Pilot Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality – Part 1 Wet + Dry Weather	12	11	12	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 90% treat and release, only 10% infiltration; not treating 85th percentile Questioning the effectiveness of BMPs
Water Quality – Part 2 Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Dry Weather (20 pts)	30	30	30	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Categorized as dry weather project Claiming over 80% treatment
Water Supply – Part 1	2	0	2	13	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No new water per Raymond Basin letter
Water Supply – Part 2	5	2	5	12	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 60% from cartridge filter
Community Investment	10	10	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No benefit for flood management because not treating 85th percentile
Nature-Based Solutions	10	10	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inconsistent number of trees
Leveraging Funds	3	3	3	6	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Leveraging funds from Caltrans
Community Support	4	4	4	4	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Workshops, press release survey Would like to see more community engagement
TOTAL	76	70	76	110	68	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DAC benefits due to the nearby Boys and Girls Club; WASC to confirm