

**ATTACHMENT A: Funding Reduction Concurrence (FRC) FORM**

The purpose of this FRC form is to demonstrate an IPPA's or SSA's willingness and ability to complete a project or study with a lesser amount than the amount requested in its application ("partial funding"), in order for the project or study to be recommended in a Stormwater Investment Plan for partial funding. The partial funding award must not negatively impact the score achieved by the initial application or result in any changes to the project's or study's scope or benefits as identified in the application and submitted Feasibility Study, if applicable. The IPPA or SSA is required to submit a FRC form to facilitate the partial funding process.

<b>Project/Study Name</b>	North Santa Monica Bay Dry Weather Storm Drain Diversions
<b>Project/Study Lead</b>	m6 Consulting Inc. and LVMWD
<b>Watershed Area(s)</b>	North Santa Monica Bay

**Brief description of why and how the funding request included in the application is being reduced (e.g. Project or Study will be phased, more information has become available, additional leveraged funding was secured, etc.):**

The NSMB WASC had a 5% ordinance requirement, and the WASC noted they cannot approve applications and requested partial funding of the scientific study. A request from the committee of \$37,000. A conservative assumption was made for the Engineering and Reporting as well as the MS4 and LVMWD Collaboration, Program Development and Management Tasks and it is assumed that both of these tasks may be reduced without limiting the anticipated scoping.

# SCW Program

## Programming of Partial Funding



### Revised Eligible Expenditure Projections:

Funding Year	Fiscal Year	Amount	Description/Phase
1	25-26	\$91,964.73	Working group Development and Collaboration (30% Total Estimate for MS4 and LVMWD Collaboration, Program Development and Management) and Engineering and Reporting (~19% Total Engineering and Reporting Estimate) MS4 Outfall Collection and Testing (25% Total Estimate for MS4 Outfall Collection and Testing)
2	26-27	\$82,890.33	Engineering and Reporting (10% Total Engineering and Reporting Estimate), MS4 Outfall Collection and Testing (50% Total Estimate for MS4 Outfall Collection and Testing), Working group Development and Collaboration (~12% Total Estimate for MS4 and LVMWD Collaboration, Program Development and Management)
3	27-28	\$117,356.90	MS4 Outfall Collection and Testing (25% Total Estimate for MS4 Outfall Collection and Testing), Working group Development and Collaboration (~22% Total Estimate for MS4 and LVMWD Collaboration, Program Development and Management), Engineering and Reporting ~33% Total Estimate for Engineering and Reporting Estimate)
4	28-29	\$113,431.31	Working group Development and Collaboration (~38% Total Estimate for MS4 and LVMWD Collaboration, Program Development and Management), Engineering and Reporting (~36% Total Estimate for Engineering and Reporting Estimate)
5			
Future Funding		N/A	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$405,643.27</b>	

<b>A: Total Original SCW Funding Request</b>	<b>\$442,643.27</b>
<b>B: Total Revised SCW Funding Award</b>	<b>\$405,643.27</b>
<b>C: Shortfall (A-B)</b>	<b>\$37,000.00</b>

**Compensation plan for shortfall – Include evidence of the status (must be assurance of timely secured funds for WASC to consider partial funding award) and amount of each additional funding source to ensure completion of all activities proposed in the application and submitted Feasibility Study, if applicable (cost share, grants, SCW Municipal Program funds, subsequent SCW funding request, etc.). Reliance on subsequent Regional Program funding is not a guarantee and is therefore discouraged. Also, include description of the which elements will be funded by this SCW funding request and by funds outside this SCW funding request to demonstrate all elements are funded. For phased projects or studies, provide information on additional funding sources to complete all activities proposed in the phased scope of work (if any).**

From our perspective, given the amount of coordination, discussion with agencies (cities, LVMWD/Tapia) and knowledge of existing infrastructure we at m6 have this is an acceptable reduction in funding from the Engineering and Reporting as well as the MS4 and LVMWD Collaboration, Program Development and Management Tasks.

**If applicable, provide a description and justification of any de minimis scope changes that will maintain or increase the Project Score or improve the Scientific Study. De minimis scope changes should be within the parameters and design of the project or study scope identified in the submitted Feasibility Study or Scientific Study application and any associated additional cost, in addition to the shortfall from the original request, must be covered by other non-SCWP funds.**

m6 Consulting evaluated the scope based on the effort and cost associated with the Engineering and Reporting tasks, as well as MS4 and LVMWD Collaboration, Program Development, and Management tasks—both from the standpoint of preparing a grant application and under the assumption that a different consultant, rather than M6, would be undertaking this work.

Our experience with similar efforts, such as the Agoura Hills Low Flow Storm Drain Diversion project and the related scientific study grant application, allows us to leverage historic working knowledge to deliver more cost-effective and efficient grant outcomes.

**I confirm that partial funding award will not negatively impact the achieved score or result in changes to Project or Study scope or benefits provided as described in the application and submitted Feasibility Study, if applicable.** ☒ YES

**If Project or Study is to be considered in phases, I understand that funding for future phases is not guaranteed, and that submission of a new application is required for funding for future phases not shown in the current SIP, and that annual funding is at the discretion of the WASC, ROC, and ultimately the Board of Supervisors. As such, I understand citing the assumption of additional Regional Program Funds alone is strongly discouraged. If future phases are not funded through the Regional Program, I remain committed to securing additional funding sources to ensure completion of all activities proposed in the application and submitted Feasibility Study such that benefits claimed are fully realized.** ☒ YES ☐ N/A

Name Rachel Williams, PE

Organization m6 Consulting Inc.

Signature Rachel Williams  Digitally signed by Rachel Williams  
Date: 2025.06.02 12:38:44-07'00'

Date 6/2/2025