### Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Tuesday, March 11, 2025 10:00am – 12:00pm Lakewood City Hall Executive Board Room WebEx Meeting

#### Committee Members Present

CJ Caluag, Los Angeles County Flood Control District (Agency)

Madeline Chen, Central Basin (Agency)

Asha Kreiling, Water Replenishment District (Agency)

Francisco Guerrero, Los Angeles County Sanitation District (Agency)

Todd Leland, City of Long Beach Parks and Recreation (Agency)

Dan Knapp, Conservation Corps of Long Beach (Community), Co-Chair

\*Steven Mendoza, Rivers and Mountains Conservancy (Community)

Gabrielle Weeks, Long Beach Coalition for a Safe Environment (Community)

Len Gorecki, Bellflower (Municipal)

Dan Mueller, Downey (Municipal), Co-Chair

\*Konya Vivanti, Lakewood (Municipal)

Wataru Kumagai, Long Beach (Municipal)

Joseph Venzon, Unincorporated Los Angeles County (Municipal)

\*Leon Vasquez, Whittier (Municipal)

Kekoa Anderson, Ohana Vets (Watershed Coordinator, non-voting member)

#### Committee Members Attending Virtually

Sofia Taylor, Cerritos (Municipal)

#### Committee Members Not Present

Adam Galia, Resident (Community)

Ariel Pe, Business (Community)

\*Committee Member Alternate

See attached sign-in sheet for full list of attendees.

#### 1) Welcome and Introductions

Co-Chair Dan Mueller of the Lower San Gabriel River (LSGR) Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) welcomed Committee Members and called the meeting to order. Los Angeles County Public Works (Public Works) staff conducted a brief tutorial on WebEx and facilitated the roll call of Committee Members. Public Works staff made self-introductions and a quorum was established.

Member Sofia Taylor joined the meeting virtually with just cause under AB 2449.

### 2) Approval of Meeting Minutes from February 11, 2025

Public Works staff presented the meeting minutes from February 11, 2025. Member Steven Mendoza motioned to approve the meeting minutes, seconded by Member Len Gorecki. The Committee voted to approve the February 11, 2025 meeting minutes with 14 votes in favor, 0 opposed, and 1 in abstention (approved, see vote tracking sheet attached).

#### 3) Committee Member and Program Updates

# Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Public Works staff noted that SCW Program and Watershed Planning updates will be deferred to the next meeting. Please visit the SCW Program website to stay updated on the latest information.

#### 4) Watershed Coordinator Updates

Watershed Coordinator Kekoa Anderson provided an overview of the LSGR Watershed Area and reminded the WASC that annual funding distribution for LSGR totaled \$16.7 million. Watershed Coordinator Anderson highlighted past workshops and upcoming educational events, including the CalFire Natural Resource Management Workshop, Strategic Funding Planning Workshop with the City of Norwalk, City of Lakewood Earth Walk, Groundwater Festival at the Water Replenishment District, and the Touch-a-Truck event. Presentation slides are found on the SCW Program website.

#### 5) Ex Parte Communication Disclosure

There were no ex parte communications.

#### 6) Public Comment Period

There were no public comments.

#### 7) Discussion Items

# a) Regional Program Quarterly Report Summary Fiscal Year 2023 – 2024 (FY23-24) Quarter 3 (Q3) and Q4

Emily Ng (Stantec, Regional Coordination) provided an overview of the Regional Program Quarterly Report Summary for FY23-24 and highlighted the Regional Program Reporting Timeline, the transition period between Quarterly to Mid-Year, updated Metrics and Monitoring section, and the WASC's responsibility to review the various reports and status of continuing projects. Ng highlighted that the transition to the Mid-Year Report structure should provide a more streamlined reviewing process and support Committee Members in making more informed discussions.

Melanie Rivera (HDR) provided a summary of the FY23-24 Quarterly Reports for Q3 (January to March) and Q4 (April to June). Rivera shared that as of June 2024, there were a total of 21 Infrastructure Program (IP) projects and Scientific Studies (SS) in the LSGR WASC. Rivera clarified that any projects or studies with "Funds Received to Date" that do not match "Funds Awarded to Date" are awaiting Transfer Agreements or experiencing funding delays due to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) compliance requirements. Presentation slides can be found on the <a href="SCW Program website">SCW Program website</a>. Quarterly Reports can be found in the <a href="Reporting Repository">Reporting Repository</a>.

Rivera explained that HDR is a consulting firm tasked to review Report Summaries for the SCW Program. Rivera also confirmed that HDR is contacting the La Habra Heights Stormwater Treatment and Reuse System The Park Hacienda Road project's (hereafter referred to as La Habra Heights Project) Project Developers, since a Quarterly Report was not submitted.

Christopher Rochfort (STAR Water Group, Project Developer) provided an update for the La Habra Heights Project, sharing that it is currently in the planning and design phase and recently addressed comments by the La Habra Heights City Council. Rochford commented that the Project Developers have not begun the reporting process because the Transfer Agreement still needs to. be finalized between the City of La Habra Heights and Los Angeles County Flood Control District. Public Works staff clarified that Project Developers are required to submit progress and expenditure reports regardless of Transfer Agreement status.

# Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



# b) LSGR Project Prioritization and Selection Discussion for populating the FY25-26 Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP)

Mike Antos (Stantec, Regional Coordination) provided an overview of SIP Programming and highlighted current year budget and subsequent four-year projections. Antos provided guidance and reminders for the WASC to consider during SIP deliberations. Presentation slides are available on the SCW Program website.

Ng provided an overview of resources available to assist with SIP deliberations, including the SIP Tool, meeting minutes, presentation slides, SS applications, the WASC's preliminary rankings, SS Peer Review Summaries, and Quarterly Report updates. These resources are available on the SCW Program website.

Ng explained that once the recommended SIP is approved by the WASC, the recommended SIP will be reviewed by the Regional Oversight Committee (ROC). Upon their review, the ROC can either elect to send the SIP back to the WASC for further deliberation if the SIP is not aligned with SCW Program goals or approve the SIP and submit the SIP to the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors for approval.

Public Works staff demonstrated the SIP Tool onscreen and showed the baseline scenario onscreen, where only continuing projects are funded.

Public Works staff noted that the baseline scenario results in a 57% total funding allocation for FY25-26 and \$8.3 million in rolled over funds for the following year. Upon inquiry, Public Works staff explained the difference between the five-year allocated and five-year revenue is the denominator used to calculate the percentage on the Regional Program Distribution pie chart. Public Works noted that for IP projects, the focus is on the five-year allocated percentage, which is calculated by dividing IP allocated funds by total allocated funds. For SSs and TRPs, the focus is on the five-year revenue percentage, which is calculated by dividing total revenue available over five years.

Co-Chair Dan Knapp and Member CJ Caluag clarified with Public Works staff that up to 5% of \$82.5 million, or \$4.125 million could be allocated to SSs over a five-year period.

Upon request, Public Works staff showed future funding allocations for continuing projects and studies onscreen. Public Works staff reminded the WASC that there are four projects and two studies with future funds earmarked and two continuing projects that submitted PMRs with changes to their funding requests. Upon inquiry, Antos explained that the future anticipated construction cost is a projected estimate and has not been formally requested by Project Developers.

Upon request, Public Works showed the following SIP Tool scenarios onscreen:

- All continuing projects and both Project Modification Requests (PMRs) funded
- All continuing projects, all new studies, and both PMRs funded

Public Works staff noted that the baseline scenario with both PMRs funded shows an 82% funding allocation for FY25-26, while the scenario showing all continuing projects and studies, new studies, and both PMRs shows an 89% funding allocation for FY25-26. Public Works staff noted that the allocations fall within the required SS, TRP, and IP percentage 5-year distribution and commented that the WASC should consider reserving at least 20% of funds in the four subsequent projected years, or allocate no more than 80% of funds in the four subsequent projected years.

Public Works staff shared Committee Members' preliminary project rankings. A total of 16 Committee Members completed the survey, with each recorded response representing either the Primary or Alternate Committee Member's response. Public Works staff noted that the ranking summary is intended to serve as a resource to guide the Committee's discussions.

### Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Co-Chair Knapp requested the following scenario be shown:

All continuing projects and all new studies (five SSs) funded

Public Works stated that this scenario shows a 63% funding allocation for FY25-26 but noted that the percentage for IP projects falls below the 85% minimum allocation target for IP projects. Public Works staff reminded the WASC that the vote is only for the FY25-26 budgeted year and earmarked funds for future years will be deliberated during future cycles.

Co-Chair Mueller requested the following scenario be shown:

• All continuing projects, both PMRs, and the top three SSs funded

Watershed Coordinator Anderson noted that a delayed project can also impact future funding. Public Works staff added that PMRs can be approved with full or partial funding support.

Co-Chairs Mueller and Knapp discussed how future IP project funding requests may return to the WASC due to underestimated construction costs and from IP projects that received funds for only design. Public Works staff mentioned that the SIP Tool funding scenario "All Considered Projects + Potential Future IP Costs" reflects the assumption that IP projects would secure 50% of leveraged funds for construction and inflation. Public Works staff also shared that Initial Watershed Plans will be finalized next year to help the WASC prioritize needs.

Committee Members discussed the new studies. Upon inquiry, Public Works staff confirmed that studies in other WASCs have returned with a PMR. Antos added that a lower ranking for a study does not necessarily reflect negatively on the study itself due to the scale of the survey. Public Works staff clarified how the points were calculated for each study or PMR ranking, and confirmed that if a Committee Member did not include a project in the ranking, the project was not assigned any points.

Upon request, Run Chen (City of Norwalk) provided an overview of the PMR for the Hermosillo Park Project. Chen summarized that the Hermosillo Park Project includes a design-build made up of two major components: the park component, funded by municipal funds, and the stormwater component, funded by SCW Program funds. Chen explained that the fund distribution ratio would be 58% from SCW Program funds and 42% from other funding sources. Mario Mera (City of Norwalk) explained that the cost escalation was due to the COVID-19 pandemic, noting that initial estimates were done in 2018. Mera shared how the Hermosillo Park Project aligns with SCW Program goals, highlighting that the stormwater component would help with stormwater capture and infiltration, adding that the project's stormwater capture basin would be the largest one in the area.

Chen reminded the WASC that the Hermosillo Park Project is a design-build and therefore already has a contractor involved. Chen noted that if the PMR is approved, the project can break ground this summer and would be on track to be completed by the 2028 Olympics. Chen explained that if the PMR is denied or modified, the City of Norwalk would still have a park project, but without the stormwater component. Mera emphasized the importance of incorporating the stormwater component now to avoid future costs and noted the park's current state and need for a stormwater capture system. Chen reiterated that the scope of work has not changed, but that the cost escalation is the main reason behind the PMR.

Member Asha Kreiling expressed concern about the Hermosillo Park Project operations and maintenance (O&M) and its claim on groundwater recharge, citing the Project's lack of concurrence from the Water Replenishment District (WRD), the groundwater basin administrative agency. Antos noted that the SCW Program has acknowledged Hermosillo Park Project's Water Supply Benefits in February 2020 and that the Scoring Committee had previously awarded the Project with Water Supply points. Chen acknowledged the lack of concurrence and shared that the Hermosillo Park Project has accounted for O&M and conducted a geotechnical study. Chen shared plans to collaborate with WRD to obtain concurrence.

# Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Upon inquiry, Chen confirmed that the entire Hermosillo Park Project will cost approximately \$50 million dollars, with \$20 million from the SCW Program to fund the stormwater component, and \$30 million from municipal funds and Los Angeles County Regional Parks and Open Space District for the park component. Mera emphasized that the \$50 million is the guaranteed maximum price (GMP) identified through the design-build contract, and if the PMR is approved, construction can begin as soon as July 2025. Chen stated that the City of Norwalk has seen significant cost increases in the park elements, including the new community building, parking lot, and sports field. Chen explained that the \$9.9 million in the PMR is solely for the stormwater subsurface basin, which has increased in cost from \$20 million to \$30 million.

Watershed Coordinator Anderson shared that although it was previously reported that the Hermosillo Park Project had no leveraged funding, the above-surface level park components have leveraged funding. Watershed Coordinator Anderson explained the challenges of constructing subsurface systems once a park has already been built, and Chen added that the park components cannot be removed from the scope. Mera also mentioned the importance of maintaining the capacity of the system for efficient water capture and infiltration. Mera noted that the funding disbursement could be divided into two parts, but the funding must be received within two years to ensure construction is completed by June 2028. Upon inquiry, Mera confirmed that O&M is part of the contractor's scope of work.

Chen commented that the design-build team is extremely capable, having served as contractors for other SCW Program projects, such as the Adventure Park Multi-Benefit Stormwater Capture Project in Whittier. Upon inquiry, Mera specified that the design is currently 65% complete but can be finalized within one month. Member Mendoza shared that the Rivers and Mountains Conservancy has additional funds available through Proposition 4 and will be hosting a funding workshop from March 25-27, 2025. Chen shared that the City of Norwalk has plans to apply for leveraged funding.

Upon request, Public Works shared the following scenario on screen:

• Baseline scenario where all continuing projects and both PMRs are funded.

Public Works staff noted that this scenario resulted in an 82% funding allocation for FY25-26. Co-Chair Mueller acknowledged that the current Call for Projects did not accept new IP project applications and shared observations that, there have been more SS Applications, which do not significantly impact the budget.

Co-Chair Mueller requested the following scenario:

 Baseline scenario where all continuing projects, the Skylinks Regional Stormwater Capture Project PMR, and the top three SSs are funded.

Public Works staff noted that this scenario results in a 78% total funding allocation for FY25-26. Upon request, Tammy Takigawa (City of Long Beach) clarified that 50% of funding has been secured through a cost-sharing arrangement with the City of Long Beach, the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, and Long Beach Utilities.

Upon inquiry, Chen explained that if the Hermosillo Park Project PMR is denied, the City of Norwalk will move forward with the park and offramp the stormwater component. Mero noted that the request could be split over two FY.

Upon inquiry, Public Works noted that the WASC's 90% funding allocation for FY25-26 SIP can result in limited funding for both new and ongoing projects that may return for construction or O&M costs in the future. Member Caluag added that the \$16.5 million tax revenue could also fluctuate, potentially resulting in less funding available in future years. Co-Chair Mueller added while there may be some fluctuations in the Municipal Program, it is unlikely there will be huge swings in total revenue and thus the Regional

# Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Program.

Watershed Coordinator Anderson mentioned that some projects in the LSGR Watershed Area are in the design phase and do not have construction costs programmed into the SIP. Watershed Coordinator Anderson commented that as new projects are introduced, the WASC should consider both a five-year and a 10-to-15-year plan to account for future construction funding requests.

Co-Chair Knapp voiced appreciation for the Hermosillo Park Project, but expressed concern over the large funding request, which is now double the original funding request. Upon inquiry, Mero confirmed that partial funding was not feasible, and funding cannot be delayed until FY27-28. Antos added that Hermosillo Park Project only expended \$160,000, and if the project does not proceed, the remaining money would return to the SCW Program.

Member Kreiling noted the lack of the Quarterly Reporting from the previously funded La Habra Heights Project and questioned whether funding should be postponed due to the absence of reporting. Public Works staff noted that the funded La Habra Heights Project was previously approved for funding for FY23-24 and FY24-25, not FY25-26.

Co-Chair Knapp noted that the funding request for the Data-Driven Resource Optimization and Planning System (DROPS) for Los Angeles County study (hereafter referred to as the DROPS SS) is requesting a relatively low dollar amount at \$49,111. Member Kreiling expressed that while the DROPS SS is a relatively inexpensive SS compared to other projects, there are similarities with existing planning tools, and thus did not recommend moving forward with funding the study.

Upon inquiry, Public Works staff confirmed that while there have been other WASCs that have started SIP deliberations, there has yet to be a WASC to recommend their FY25-26 SIP.

Member Todd Leland highlighted the value of two impactful IPs that are ready for construction, submitted as PMRs for the FY25-26 SIP, noting that uncertainty only increases with time.

Upon request, Public Works staff shared the following scenarios on screen:

- Baseline scenario where all continuing projects, the Hermosillo Park Project PMR, and the top three SS projects (Application of Innovative Technology for Microbiological Testing in the Los Cerritos Watershed, Maximizing Impact of Minimum Control Measures, and Next Gen Bioretention: Towards Living and Adaptive Stormwater Systems for a Resilient Los Angeles County) funded.
- Baseline scenario where all continuing projects, both PMRs, and the top three SSs (Application of Innovative Technology for Microbiological Testing in the Los Cerritos Watershed, Maximizing Impact of Minimum Control Measures, and Next Gen Bioretention: Towards Living and Adaptive Stormwater Systems for a Resilient Los Angeles County) funded.

Public Works staff noted that the scenario including both PMRs results in an 89% funding allocation, while the scenario that includes only the Hermosillo Park PMR results in a 72% allocation for FY25-26. Co-Chair Mueller agreed that the funding request is high for Hermosillo Park but recognized that cost will continue to increase overtime.

Member Joseph Venzon suggested determining the studies that the WASC Members all support, particularly the top three SS. Co-Chair Knapp voiced appreciation for nature-based solutions but expressed concerns about the large funding request for the Hermosillo Park Project, noting that funding the request could limit funding for future projects.

Member Konya Vivanti noted that the Hermosillo Park Project was awarded funds in FY23-24 and FY24-25 but only recently began making progress and expressed concern about O&M costs. Co-Chair Muller

### Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



commented that compliance issues are common and operational issues may arise. Co-Chair Mueller commented that fine sediment is a significant problem and encouraged the Project Proponents to consult with other Project Developers who have had similar experiences.

Member Venzon commented that the WASC's decisions set precedent and stressed the importance of consistency in evaluating PMRs. Member Venzon expressed support for the two PMRs and the top three SS projects. Member Venzon expressed appreciation for the City of Norwalk clarifying leveraged funds and the design-build approach's GMP that provides more certainty.

#### 8) Public Comment Period

Richard Watson (Richard Watson Consultants) highlighted that the Regional and State Water Quality Control Boards are adopting better science-based regulations, focusing on targets relating to metals, pathogens, and bacteria. Watson added that this could reduce the need for building many projects in the future.

#### 9) Voting Items

# a) Approve the final FY25-26 SIP recommendations for the LSGR Watershed Area and submission to the ROC for review

Member Leland made a motion to approve the final FY25-26 SIP for LSGR Watershed Area including Watershed Coordinators and approve submission to the ROC for review (funding scenario "all continuing projects and SS (with no funding changes); two full PMR Projects (Skylinks Regional Stormwater Capture Project and Hermosillo Park Project), three top new SS (Application of Innovative Technology, Maximizing Impact of Minimum Control, and Next Gen Bioretention)"). The motion was seconded by Member Venzon.

The motion passed with 9 votes in favor, 4 opposed, 0 in abstention, and 2 absent at time of vote (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

#### 10) Items for Next Agenda

Public Works staff stated that since the SIP was recommended at this meeting, the next meeting scheduled for April 8 will likely be cancelled. The ROC will begin reviewing WASC-recommended SIPs during the May, June, and July ROC meetings. See the SCW Program website for details.

#### 11) Adjournment

Co-Chair Mueller thanked Committee Members and the public for their attendance and participation and adjourned the meeting.

LOWER SAN GABRIEL RIVER WASC MEETING - MARCH 11, 2025							
					Voting Items		
	Quorum Present				(see meeting minutes for additional details)		
Member Type	Position	Member	Voting/ Present?	Alternate	Voting/ Present?	Approve 2/11/2025 Meeting Minutes	Approve the Final Fiscal Year 2025-2026 Stormwater Investment Plan for LSGR Watershed Area including Watershed Coordinators and approve submission to the ROC for review (funding scenario "All continuing projects and scientific studies (with no funding changes); 2 Full PMR Projects (Skylinks and Hermosillo); Top 3 New Studies (The Application of Innovative Technology, Maximizing Impact of Minimum Control, Next Gen Bioretention)
Agency		CJ Caluag	x	Daniel Bradbury		у	у
Agency	Central Basin	Madeline Chen	x	Elaine Jeng		у	n
Agency	Water Replentishment District	Asha Kreiling	x	Jenn Swart		у	n
Agency	Sanitation Districts	Francisco Guerrero	x	Alysha Chan		a	у
Agency	City of Long Beach Parks and Recreation	Todd Leland	х	Stephen Scott		у	у
Community Stakeholder	Conservation Corps of Long Beach	Dan Knapp	x	Irene Lopez-Muro		у	n
Community Stakeholder	Resident	Adam Galia					
Community Stakeholder	Rivers Mountains Conservancy	Mark Stanley		Steven Mendoza	х	у	у
Community Stakeholder	Long Beach Coalition for a Safe Environm	Gabrielle Weeks	х			у	
		Ariel Pe					
Municipal Members	Bellflower	Len Gorecki	х			у	
Municipal Members	Cerritos	Sofia Taylor	х	Sergio Huizar		у	у
Municipal Members	Downey	Dan Mueller	х			у	n
Municipal Members	Lakewood	Kelli Pickler		Konya Vivanti	х	у	у
Municipal Members	Long Beach	Wataru Kumagai	х			у	у
Municipal Members	Unincorporated	Joseph Venzon	х	Fred Gonzalez		у	у
Municipal Members	Whittier	Vicki Smith		Leon Vasquez	х	у	у
Watershed Coordinator							
Non-Voting Member	Watershed Coordinator	Kekoa Anderson	х	Traci Gleason			
Total Non-Vacant Seats		17			Yes (Y)	14	9
Total Voting Members Present		15			No (N)	0	4
Agency		5			Abstain (A)	1	0
Community Stakeholder		3			Total	15	13
Municipal Members		7				Approved	Approved

# Lower San Gabriel River Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting COMMITTEE MEMBER AND ALTERNATE SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization		Signature
Adam Galia	Resident	Primary	
Alysha Chan	Sanitation Districts	Alternate	
Ariel Pe	Business	Primary	
Asha Kreiling	Water Replentishment District	Primary	asheking
CJ Caluag	Los Angeles County FCD	Primary	Coffely
Dan Knapp	Conservation Corps of Long Beach	Primary	Jan Min
Dan Mueller	Downey	Primary	Mun
Daniel Bradbury	Los Angeles County FCD	Alternate	
Elaine Jeng	Central Basin	Alternate	
Francisco Guerrero	Sanitation Districts	Primary	1-0-
Fred Gonzalez	Unincorporated	Alternate	1.0
Gabrielle Weeks	Long Beach Coalition for a Safe Environment	Primary	

# Lower San Gabriel River Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting COMMITTEE MEMBER AND ALTERNATE SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization		Signature
Irene Lopez-Muro	Conservation Corps of Long Beach	Alternate	
Jenn Swart	Water Replentishment District	Alternate	
Joseph Venzon	Unincorporated	Primary	Jhr.
Kekoa Anderson	Watershed Coordinator	Primary	
Kelli Pickler	Lakewood	Primary	
Konya Vivanti	Lakewood	Alternate	
Len Gorecki	Bellflower	Primary	
Leon Vasquez	Whittier	Alternate	LLS
Madeline Chen	Central Basin	Primary	
Mark Stanley	Rivers Mountains Conservancy	Primary	
Noya Wang	Resident	Alternate	
Sergio Huizar	Cerritos	Alternate	

# Lower San Gabriel River Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting COMMITTEE MEMBER AND ALTERNATE SIGN-IN



Municipality/		
Organization		Signature
Cerritos	Primary	
City of Long Beach Parks and Recreation	Alternate	
Rivers Mountains Conservancy	Alternate	STA
City of Long Beach Parks and Recreation	Primary	1. hely
Watershed Coordinator	Alternate	·
Whittier /	Primary	
Long Beach	Primary	2 de la companya della companya dell
		/
	City of Long Beach Parks and Recreation  Rivers Mountains Conservancy  City of Long Beach Parks and Recreation  Watershed Coordinator  Whittier	Cerritos Primary  City of Long Beach Parks and Recreation  Rivers Mountains Conservancy  City of Long Beach Parks and Recreation  Watershed Coordinator  Whittier  Primary  Primary  Alternate  Primary  Primary

# Lower San Gabriel River Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting PUBLIC SIGN-IN



First Name	Last Name	Municipality/Organization	Email Address
Propod	Watson	rup-le ws Group	twaten Etwaphoning, a
Rus	Chen	City of Norwalk	twaten Etwaphoning, a rehen @ nowalt can
Mario	Mera	City of wowed	1/ // Y
Alan	Predomo	City of Norwal-	
Brianna	Datti	Craftwater	
Dustin	Herrmann	TREE PEUPLE	dherrmann Ofeepespl. s
			•

<sup>\*</sup>Signing or completing this form is voluntary for members of the public

# Webex Attendees Lower San Gabriel River WASC Meeting March 11, 2025

Alex Iglesias Rosales

Alexa Reasoner

Ali Sharbat Cal Poly

Alysha Chan

Anjulee Rusnak Gray Lab

**Anthony Barreras** 

Cecilia Salazar

Christina

Christopher Rochfort Christopher Tull, CaDC

Clara Dahl

Craig Doberstein

Dan Angelescu | Fluidion US

Danielle Gonsman
David Lee - LACFCD
Dusadee Corhiran

Elizabeth Lambe

- "

Emily

Emily Huang Stantec

Fred Gonzalez - LA County

Grace Kast

Jason Casanova

Jenny Chau

Jessica Kanarek

Jesus Saldana

Jillian Brickey JLHA

Jim Cluxton JonPaul Sarro Jose L Escajeda

Joyce Wong - Fluidion

Kerry Quinlan Kirk Allen - LACPW

LSGR WASC

LSGR WASC WebEx Host

Larry Tran

Leslie Martinez - LACPW

Luis Garcia

Mehrad Kamalzare - CPP Melanie Rivera - Speaker

Michelle Kim

Mike Antos - Regional Coord

Ng, Emily

Oliver Galang Craftwater

Pablo Forni

Sofia Taylor - City of Cerritos

Stacy Luell