ATTACHMENT A: Project Modification Request (PMR) Form

The purpose of this PMR form is to initiate the Project modification process and provide the SCWP with information necessary to evaluate the Project modification request.

Regional Program	 ☑Anfrastructure Program Project □Scientific Studies Program □Technical Resources Program 	
Project/Study Name	Skylinks Golf Course at Wardlow Channel Stormwater	Capture
Project/Study Lead	City of Long Beach	
Watershed Area(s)	Lower San Gabriel River	
Current Project Phase	Design	
Estimated Completion Date of Funded Activity	Design and CEQA 11/5/2024 - Permitting 3/14/2025	
Approved Stormwater Investment Plan Fiscal Year	FY 20-21	
Transfer Agreement ID (e.g., 2020RPULAR52)	No. 2020RPLSGR05	

Has the Transfer Agreement or most recent Addendum been executed (i.e., signed by the project lead and the District)? ▲ Yes □ NNo

What type(s) of modification request?

- □ like-for-like modifications
- □ functionally equivalent BMP modifications
- □ modifications to Project or Study components that were not material to the WASC,

ROC, or Board s decision to include the Project or Study in the SIP

 $\hfill\square$ reallocation of annual funding projections in the SIP, provided that the total amount

- of Regional Program funding for the Project or Study remains unchanged
- $\hfill\square$ change in primary or secondary objective
- □ change in Project benefits
- □ change in methodology (e.g., infiltration instead of diversion to sanitary sewer)
- □ decrease in BMP capacity
- \Box change in Project or Study location

 \Box change in capture area where benefits claimed are diminished or where there is a change in the municipalities that are receiving benefits

- □ updated engineering analysis resulting in a reduction of benefits
- \Box increase in community support
- □ reduction or withdrawal of community support
- $\hfill\square$ change in amount or status of leveraged funding

A any modification resulting in an increase of the total amount of Regional Program funding for the Project or Study

□ any modification resulting in a decrease of the estimated total amount of Regional Program funding for the Project or Study

 \Box other, please describe:

Impact on scope or benefits?

- □ Improved
- □ Diminished

✗ Neither□ Not Sure

Description of the proposed modification(s), a comparison to the previously approved Project, and the reason(s) why the modification(s) is/are being proposed. Attach additional pages, as needed.

The cost of construction has increased due to inflation. The original construction cost estimate was performed as part of the SCW application in December 2019. There is over 5 years of construction cost increase including the large increase that occurred in 2020 and 2021.

Additionally, through the CEQA process an abandoned water supply well was identified approximately 200 feet east of the project site and just north of Fire Station 19 (3559 Clark Avenue). Although the well is currently inactive and has not been in production since 1986, the current condition of the well casing and sanitary seal are unknown. There is a concern that compromise or deterioration of the well casing and sanitary seal may increase the risk of downward migration of contaminated surface water or shallow groundwater breaching or short-circuiting the well, and contaminating the deeper potable aquifers which feed the other wells in the area. Given the age of the well, it was recommended that the well be decommissioned in accordance with the regulatory agency well decommissioning procedures. An additional construction cost of \$250,000 is required to decommission the well.

The City obtained \$350,000 in funding from one waterboard fine \$175k for 2024 and \$175k for 2025 from AES and is expecting to obtain \$250,000 from the Long Beach Water, and \$1,000,000 from the City of Long Beach Measure W Municipal allocation. The exact amounts will be confirmed with the finalization of City s FY 24/25 budget. The City expects to provide a minimum of 25% match from various sources for the additional fund request.

Currently, the total additional funding needed before construction begins is approximately \$4,533,000. The City is requesting an increase of \$2,933,000 in funding from the Measure W program. The City of Long Beach requests this additional funding to complete the project and allow construction to begin in Spring of 2025

revised funding request:

Note, if some or all of a previously Funded Activity cannot be completed as a result of the proposed modification, please include a description and indicate the amount of unused funds. Any unused funds should be reallocated and accounted for in your revised funding request. Attach additional pages, as needed.

SIP Fiscal Year	Approved Funding Allocations	Increase/ Decrease Requested	Revised Funding Request	Description/Phase/Status If applicable, include description of unused funds
FY20-21	\$1,047,369	-	-	Design & Construction
FY21-22	\$1,638,457	-	-	Design & Construction
FY22-23	\$2,792,983	-	-	Construction
FY23-24	\$2,792,983	-	-	Construction
FY24-25	\$2,175,088	-	-	Construction
FY25-26	-	\$2,933,000	\$2,933,000	Construction
TOTAL	\$10,500,000	\$2,933,000	\$13,433,000	

A: Approved Total Funding Allocations	\$10,500,000
B: Revised Estimate of Total Funding from Regional Program	\$13,433,000
Regional Program Funds Received to date	\$1,047,369
Regional Program Expenditures to date	\$1,561,407
Difference between B and A	\$2,933,000
Percent change between B and A	27.9%

Note: City of Long Beach is providing a \$1,600,000 (35.3%) match for the required additional funding (\$4,533,000) to complete the project.

Would the additional funding request be the only option that would allow the project to be implemented? Please describe.	X YES			
Yes, the the project was awarded \$10,500,000, and proceeded through design and environm permitting (CEQA completed with 401, 404, and 1602 Permits expected in March 2025). The is ready to move forward to construction phase pending the approval of the supplemental fun request as part of the PMR. Due to the large cost inflation and the final design cost estimating updates, the City will require additional funding to complete the project. The increase of approximately \$4.5 million exceeds the City's CIP funding contingency. The City is able to pro \$1,000,000 of additional funding to support the project through additional funding, but this is ready to cover the funding gap since approval of the project 4 years ago.				
Would delaying funding allocations impact the project's ability to be implemented? Please describe.	X YES			
Yes. The City can not formally bid the construction contract for the project until it has funds to cover the project. There is currently a \$4.5 million dollar shortfall after the contributions and the use of other funding sources.				
Would funding only a portion of the additional funding request impact the project's ability to be implemented? Please describe.				
Yes. The City can not formally bid the construction contract for the project until it has the funds to cover the project. With only a portion of the requested \$4.5 million, the City would still not be able to award the construction contract.				
Has the Recipient considered other funding sources? Please describe. Include type of funding, status, and amount.	🖄 YES			
Yes. In addition to providing it's own contribution of \$1,000,000, the City also obtained \$250,000 of funding from Long Beach Water for construction support, and a re- allocaton of \$350,000 from the Regional Water Board collection of a non-City of Long Beach fines. Additional grant fund from Caltrans was explored, but the tributary drainage does not include any Caltrans eligble property.				

If applicable, a description of difference in SCWP Anticipated Total Funding Request. As a reminder, annual funding is at the discretion of the WASC, ROC, and ultimately the Board of Supervisors. Attach additional pages, as needed.

An additional \$2,933,000 is requested for this project, for a revised total funding request of \$13,433,000. The City will be contributing up to \$1.6 million in supplemental funding through \$350,000 from the Los Angeles Regional Water Board, \$250,000 from the Long Beach Water, and \$1,000,000 from the City of Long Beach Measure W Municipal allocation. Construction on the project cannot begin until additional funding is received.

Brief description of Supporting Documentation provided. Please include any documentation needed to support benefits claimed by the modified Project or Study and confirm compliance with the Feasibility Study Guidelines.

SCW Application Construction Cost estimate of \$8,378,950

100% Construction Cost Estimate of \$12,872,000

Contact information of persons who should be included in correspondence with the SCWP regarding this Project or Study. Attach additional pages, as needed.

Name	Title	Email Address
Tammy Takigawa, PE, QSP/D	City Civil Engineer	ammy.takigawa@longbeach.gov
Aric Torreyson, PE	Tetra Tech PM	Aric.Torreyson@tetratech.com
Wataru Kumagai Er	vironmental Specialist	vataru.kumagai@longbeach.gov
Richard Watson	Watershed Consultant	rwatson@rwaplanning.com

I certify the information and supporting documentation provided is accurate and true.	YES
I certify the modified Project complies with all requirements described in the Feasibility Study Guidelines.	X YES
I understand this is a request and it is under the WASC's discretion to consider requested modifications.	X YES

NName Aric Torreyson

Organination Tetra Tech

Ann May

Signatur

Date 10/10/2024

FOR SCWP STAFF SE ONLY

Proposed Modifications to Projects or Studies:

	Status	Date
Scope/benefits of the modified Project or Study is consistent with the Project or Study included in the current fiscal year s SIP and proposed modifications were approved by the SCWP.	□ YES	
Scope/benefits of the modified Project or Study requires reapproval in the SIP . If yes, select all that apply :	VES	1/17/2025
Budget/schedule modifications would impact future SIP funding allocations. If yes, select all that apply:	VES	1/17/2025
PMR was received after October 31 of a fiscal year and the PMR will be considered for approval during the preparation of subsequent SIP for the fiscal year <u>after</u> the next	□ YES	-
Project or Study abandoned the proposed modifications	□ YES	
Projector or Study was withdrawn from consideration by the WASC and shall issue repayment of unspent funds		
Drepend access/benefit medifications were recommended	□ YES	
for approval in the SIP	□ NO	
	□ N/A	
Madifications to the Dreiset or Study's funding ellegations	□ YES	
were recommended for approval as identified in the SIP	PARTIAL	
were recommended for approval as identified in the SIF		

Proposed Modifications to Project Concepts:

	Status	Date
Proposed modifications were deemed consistent with the Project concept that was approved by the WASC, ROC and Board for inclusion in the SIP and can be addressed within the existing budget. SCWP staff will proceed to incorporate the proposed modification into the Feasibility Study immediately.	□ YES	
Proposed modifications were deemed significant enough to result in a significantly different Project concept from the one approved by the WASC, ROC and Board for inclusion in the SIP. If yes, select one:	□ YES	
SCWP staff to discontinue work on the Feasibility Study, return unused funds to be programmed in the SIP for the next fiscal year, and advise the proponent to submit the modified Project concept during the Call for Projects for a future fiscal year.	□ YES	-
SCWP staff to abandon the proposed modifications and proceed with the Project concept included in the SIP.	□ YES	-

SCW Application Cost Estimate Dated 12-19-2019

ATTACHMENT C: ENGINEER'S 10% COST ESTIMATE



SKYLINKS GOLF COURSE OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COSTS

Page 1 of 3

Client: City of Long Beach (Skylinks Golf Course) Project: Skylinks Golf Course Feasibility Study - 6.7 AC-FT Status: 10% Cost Estimate				MMT OG 12/11/2019
Description	Qty	Unit	Unit Price	Total
Miscellaneous				\$433,948
Mobilization / Demobilization (5% of Costs)	1	LS	\$418,948.00	\$418,948
Traffic Control	1	LS	\$15,000.00	\$15,000
Channel Diversion and Pretreatment	4		¢150.000.00	\$479,367
Rubber Dam System	1 500	LS SE	\$150,000.00	\$150,000
Diversion Structure	1,300	EA	\$84.000.00	\$84.000
Actuated alve and Structure	2	EA	\$25,000.00	\$50,000
Pretreatment Device (30 CFS)	1	EA	\$125,000.00	\$125,000
Shoring for Pretreatment Device	552	SF	\$10.00	\$5,520
Excavation for Pretreatment Device	61	CY	\$30.00	\$1,840
Backfill and Compaction for Pretreatment Device	27	CY	\$25.00	\$671
Manbole (// LD, x 11 5' Denth)	35		\$20.00 \$7.000.00	\$900 \$7 000
Shoring for Manhole	368	SF	\$5.00	\$1,840
Excavation for Manhole	27	CY	\$30.00	\$818
Backfill and Compaction for Manhole	22	CY	\$25.00	\$548
auling for Manhole	5	CY	\$28.00	\$150
Piping (30 RCP)	55	LF	\$80.00	\$4,400
Excavation for Piping	105	CY	\$8.00	\$843
Shoring for Piping	1,265	SF	\$5.00	\$6,325
Backfill and Compaction for Piping Backfill and Compaction for Piping Base (crushed aggregate)	1,182		\$8.00	\$9,403 \$1,687
auling for Piping	47	CY	\$28.00	\$1,307
Flap Gate	3	EA	\$4,000.00	\$12,000
Site Preparation and Demolition - Existing Park Area	·			\$21,070
Concrete Curb and Gutter Removal	20	LF	\$5.00	\$100
Clearing & Grubbing	4,660	SY	\$4.50	\$20,970
Storage	-			\$4,379,136
nderground Infiltration Gallery Precast Structures	321,037	CF	\$8.50	\$2,728,816
Excavation	35,716	CY	\$15.00	\$535,736
Installation	10		\$100,000.00	\$100,000
Aggregate Backfill	40	CY	\$1,000.00	\$40,000
Subgrade (6 Stone Base with 2' Overhang Around Perimeter)	624	CY	\$40.00	\$24,961
Backfill and Compaction	21,950	CY	\$25.00	\$548,744
auling	12,691	CY	\$28.00	\$355,336
Maintenance ole	2	EA	\$16,000.00	\$32,000
Wet Well and Conveyance	-			\$919,717
Wet Well	1	EA	\$20,200.00	\$20,200
Wet Well Installation	1	LS	\$31,000.00	\$31,000
Submersible Pumps and alves	106		\$350,000.00	\$350,000 \$5,875
Shoring for Wet Well	1 410	SF	\$30.00	\$42,300
Backfill and Compaction for Wet Well	140	CY	\$25.00	\$3,503
auling for Wet Well	56	CY	\$28.00	\$1,560
18 DIP to Pump Station	30	LF	\$180.00	\$5,400
18 DIP to Box Culvert	90	LF	\$120.00	\$10,800
Excavation for Piping	89	CY	\$10.00	\$889
Backfill and Compaction for Piping Reckfill and Compaction for Piping Rece (crushed aggregate)	45	CY	\$8.00	\$364
auling for Pining	8	CY	\$40.00	\$220
Treatment Filter nit	1	EA	\$400,000.00	\$400,000
Actuated alve and Structure	1	EA	\$25,000.00	\$25,000
unction Manhole	1	EA	\$15,000.00	\$15,000
Shoring for unction Manhole	160	SF	\$5.00	\$800
Excavation for unction Manhole	12	CY	\$30.00	\$356
Backilli and Compaction for Unction Mannole	/ 5	CY	\$25.00	\$175
Flap Gate	1	EA	\$20.00	\$4.500
	· ·	, <u> </u>	+ ,,000.00	\$.,550



SKYLINKS GOLF COURSE OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COSTS

Page 2 of 3

Client:City of Long Beach (Skylinks Golf Course)Prepared by:NProject:Skylinks Golf Course Feasibility Study - 6.7 AC-FTChecked by:CStatus:10% Cost EstimateDate:1					
Description	Qty	Unit	Unit Price	Total	
Electrical Service, Controls, Instrumentation				\$317,000	
Electrical Service	1	LS	\$60,000.00	\$60,000	
Control Panel and PLC Programming	1	LS	\$90,000.00	\$90,000	
Conduit & Wiring	1	LS	\$50,000.00	\$50,000	
NEMA 4 unction Box, 6 x6 x6 (1 each for 480 and 120 conduits)	6	EA	\$2,000.00	\$12,000	
Misc. Conduit Fittings, Elbows, Core Drilling and Sealing, etc.	1	LS	\$25,000.00	\$25,000	
Instrumentation	1	LS	\$80,000.00	\$80,000	
Landscape and Irrigation Modifications				\$296,700	
Seeding	41,940	SF	\$0.50	\$20,970	
Irrigation	41,940	SF	\$2.00	\$83,880	
Shrubs, Perennials, and Grasses	65,340	SF	\$2.50	\$163,350	
Tree Planting	15	EA	\$500.00	\$7,500	
Sand olleyball Court	1	EA	\$1,000.00	\$1,000	
90-Day Plant Establishment Period	1	LS	\$20,000.00	\$20,000	
Site Amenities and Improvements				\$520	
Concrete Curb and Gutter	20	LF	\$26.00	\$520	
Start-up, Testing, Prepare Operations & Maintenance Manuals, and Prepare Record I	Drawings			\$135,000	
SWPPP Implementation	1	LS	\$75,000.00	\$75,000	
Start-up and Testing	1	LS	\$50,000.00	\$50,000	
O&M Manuals	1	LS	\$5,000.00	\$5,000	
Record Drawings	1	LS	\$5,000.00	\$5,000	
SUBTOTAL				\$6,982,458	
			20% Contingency	\$1,396,492	
Total Construction Costs					
GRAND TOTAL					

SKYLINKS GOLF COURSE OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COSTS

Page 3 of 3

Client: City of Long Beach (Skylinks Golf Course)			Prepared by:	ММТ	
Project:	Project: Skylinks Golf Course Feasibility Study - 6.7 AC-FT Checked by: OG				
Status:	10% Cost Estimate			Date:	12/11/2019
	Description	Qty	Unit	Unit Price	Total

Assumptions and Exclusions

1 This is a rough order of magnitude preliminary opinion of probable construction costs only. Actual costs may vary.

- 2 The unit cost data is derived from inhouse sources, recent bids on similar construction, and RSMeans current construction cost data.
- 3 This opinion of cost is based on the project program and plans made available at the time of preparation.
- 4 Material prices are based on current quotations and do not include escalation.
- 5 This opinion of cost assumes that all improvements will be constructed at one time.
- 6 Quantity take offs were performed when possible and parametric estimates and allowances are used for items that cannot be quantified at this stage of the design.
- 7 This opinion has been based on a competitive open bid situation with a recommended 5 7 bonafide reputable bids from general contractors and a minimum of 3 bidders for all items of subcontracted work.
- 8 All unit costs take into account sales tax, general conditions, bonding and insurance, and subcontractor and general contractor overhead and profit.
- 9 Where applicable, unit costs include the cost of freight.

The following are excluded:

- 1 Environmental clearances and permits
- 2 azardous spoil disposal, if encountered
- 3 Property and Right of Way acquisition or easements
- 4 Legal and accounting fees
- 5 Plan check, building permit fees
- 6 tility Connection Fees
- 7 Testing and inspection
- 8 Fire and all risk insurance
- 9 Removal of unforeseen underground obstructions
- 10 Relocation of unforeseen subsurface utilities
- 11 Signage and wayfinding
- 12 Additional fill or import
- 13 Loose furniture and equipment
- 14 tility connection fees
- 15 Tel/data system
- 16 Construction contingency
- 17 Work done after business hours
- 18 Design, engineering and consulting fees other than those specifically listed in the above estimate

Items that may affect the cost estimate:

- 1 Modifications to the scope of work included in this estimate
- 2 nforeseen sub-surface conditions
- 3 Restrictive technical specifications or excessive contract conditions
- 4 Any other non-competitive bid situations
- 5 Bids delayed beyond the projected schedule



100% Final Design Cost Estimate Dated 5-19-2024



Client:	ent: Prepared by:					
Project: CLB Skylinks Phase 2			C	checked by:		
Status: 100% Design				Date:	5	5/19/2024
Description	Unit	Quantity	l	Jnit Price	lt€	em Total
Office & Admin						
Construction Schedule (Baseline)	LS	1	\$	2,000.00	\$	2,000
Construction Schedule (pdated)	MT	12	\$	500.00	\$	6,000
Construction Schedule (As-Built)	LS	1	\$	1,000.00	\$	1,000
Office Facilities	MT	12	\$	500.00	\$	6,000
Demolition						
Prepare and Implement SWPPP	1.5	1	\$	65 000 00	\$	65,000
Clearing and Grubbing	ACRE	2	Ψ \$	10 020 00	Ψ ¢	16.032
Prepare and Implement Water Diversion Plan		1	Ψ \$	50,000,00	Ψ ¢	50,000
Site Preparation and Demolition	LS	1	\$	25,000.00	Ψ \$	25,000
Landscaping		1 4		504 570 50		504 500
	LS	1	\$	581,579.58	\$	581,580
1 Year Plant Maintenance and Establishment	LS	1	\$	35,000.00	\$	30,000
Electrical			-			
Electrical Work	LS	1.0	\$	240,000.00	\$	240,000
Basic Electrical Methods and Requirements						
Service Switchboard						
Motor Control Centers						
Execution						
Cable						
Low oltage (600 olts and Below)						
Conduit Systems						
Boxes and Wiring Devices						
Grounding						
Control Panels and Appurtenances						
Testing						
Demonstrations and Training						
Telementry						
					L	
20 Inch Beinforced Concrete Ding Line A	18	4	¢	19 450 00		
30-Inch Reinforced Concrete Pipe - Line A	L3	1	¢ \$	16,450.00	¢	10 450
30 Reinforced Concrete Pipe		02	¢	225.00	Ф	10,430
Diversion Structure	LS	1	\$	220.000.00	\$	220.000
			Ŧ	,	÷	
Well Closure	LS	1	\$	250,000.00	\$	250,000
Pre-treatment Device	LS	1	\$	325,000.00		
Pre-treatment Device	LS	1	\$	325,000.00	\$	325,000
Additional 30 RCP required for installation						
Pump Station - Line C	LS	1	\$	715,000.00	<u> </u>	
Submersible Pumps	LS	1	\$	680,000.00	\$	680,000
Ductile Iron Pipe and Fitting	LS	1			\$	-
Check alves	EA	1			\$	-
Eccentric Plug alves	EA				<u> </u>	
Rip Rap Protected Inlet	LS	1	\$	5,000.00	\$	5,000
Catch Basin	LS	1	\$	30,000.00	\$	30,000
Outlet - Line B	LS	1	\$	49,670.00		
Catch Basin	EAC	1	\$	32,000.00	\$	32,000
Check alves				,		,
18 RCP	LF	93	\$	190.00	\$	17,670
Filtration/Water Polisher Unit	LS	1	\$	275,000.00	<u> </u>	
Water Polisher/Filtration nit	EAC	1	\$	275,000.00	\$	275,000
Additional 18 RCP Piping	LF				\$	
Slide Gates Motors & Vaults	91	1	¢	100 000 00		
30 Cast-Iron Slide Gate Assembly	10	1	Ψ ¢	45 000 00	¢	15 000
36. Cast-Iron Slide Gate Assembly	19	1	φ ¢	55 000 00	Ψ ¢	55 000
Electric Motor Operators and Appurtenances		¦	Ψ	50,000.00	Ψ	00,000
		<u> </u>				
		1	1		<u> </u>	

Venetative Dand System			\$	000 004 50		
Impermable Liner	LS	1	>	236,824.50	*	45.004
Filter Fabric	SQFT	6252.5	\$	2.50	⊅ €	15,631
egetative Pond Soil	SQFI	6252.5	\$ ¢	2.50	¢ ל	15,631
Washed 57 AAS TO Stone		918.6	\$	45.00	\$ ¢	41,337
9" BVC Subdrain Sustem	SQFI	1918.8	\$	45.00	\$	86,346
8 P. C. Porforetod Pino	LS	1	\$	24,780.00	^	0.000
		456	\$	5.00	¢ ל	2,280
2'v2' Catch Basin	EA	1	\$	6,500.00	\$ ¢	6,500
	LS	1	\$	16,000.00	\$	16,000
		42.5	\$	220.00	\$	9,350
	CY	406.5	\$	12.00	\$	4,878
	CY	2591.4	\$	15.00	\$	38,871
			-		•	
Shoring of Open Excavations	LS	1	\$	900,000.00	\$	900,000
Driveway	LS	1	\$	12,000.00	\$	12,000
Traffic Control	LS	1	\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000
12" Reclaimed Water Line Relocation	LS	1	\$	42,000.00	\$	42,000
Dewatering	LS	1	\$	17,000.00	\$	17,000
Underground Storage Reservoir	new: 2,910,90	0 per Precon. Not i	ncludi	ng excavation, ba	se pr	ep, shoring, or ba
Labor, Materials, Equipment, Supplies, Supervision & Incidental	LS	1			\$	-
Removal of Interfering Existing Improvements	LS	1			\$	-
Control of Water	LS	71			\$	-
Precast Reservoir Units	LS	1	\$ 2	2,910,900.00	\$	2,910,900
Design of Precast Concrete Reservoir nits	LS	1			\$	-
Fabricating and All Materials for Plant Precast Concrete Reservoir nits	LS	1			\$	-
Delivery and Storage of Precast Concrete Reservoir nits	LS	1			\$	-
Installation of Precast Concrete Reservoir nits	LS	1	\$	450,000.00	\$	450,000
Maintenance Vaults and Vents	LS	1	\$	180,000.00	\$	180,000.00
Steps					\$	-
ents					\$	-
Furnishing and Installing of Geotextile Fabric	SQFT	33,285	\$	2.25	\$	74,891
Backfilling	CY	7,514	\$	15.00	\$	112,710
Excavation	CY	29,456	\$	11.00	\$	324,020
Disposal of Excess Excavated and Removed Material	CY	24,127	\$	5.50	\$	132,700
Clearing and Striping					\$	-
Fiberglass Stop Logs	EAC	3	\$	3,100.00	\$	9,300
Access Manholes	EAC	7	\$	7,000.00	\$	49,000
Gravel Backfill	CY	2,661	\$	5.25	\$	13,969
Subtotal (1)	I				\$	8,367,046
			Т			, ,
Mobilization/Demobilization and Clean- p - used 10%					\$	836,705
Permits Allowances - 1% to 3% of Subtotal (1), used 1%					\$	83.670
Subtotal (2)	I	<u></u>			\$	9.287.421
						-, -,
Estimating Contingency - 10% to 20% of Subtotal (2), used 10%			1		\$	928.742
Subtotal (3)					\$	10.216.163
			T		-	
Escalation - 5% per year of Subtotal (3), used compound amount factor: (1+i)	^ 2 Years use	d			\$	510 808
Subtotal (4)					¢	10 726 074
			<u> </u>		Ψ	10,120,311
Budget Contingency 10% to 20% of Subtatel (2) used 20%			+		¢	2 1/5 20/
Total Estimated Project Cost					¢	12 972 000
I Utar Estimateu Fruject Cust					Þ	12,072,000