# ATTACHMENT A: Project Modification Request (PMR) Form

The purpose of this PMR form is to initiate the Project modification process and provide the SCWP with information necessary to evaluate the Project modification request.

Regional Program	✓Infrastructure Program Project  □ Scientific Studies Program  □ Technical Resources Program
Project/Study Name	Hermosillo Park Stormwater Capture and Infiltration Project
Project/Study Lead	City of Norwalk
Watershed Area(s)	Lower San Gabriel River
<b>Current Project Phase</b>	Design - Build
Estimated Completion Date of Funded Activity	June 2027
Approved Stormwater Investment Plan Fiscal Year	FY 20/21
Transfer Agreement ID (e.g., 2020RPULAR52)	2020RPLSGR03

Has the Transfer Agreement or most recent Addendum been executed (i.e., signed by the project lead and the District)? 
✓ Yes □ No

What type(s) of modification reque	est?
$\square$ like-for-like modifications	
$\hfill \square$ functionally equivalent BMP modi	fications
$\hfill\Box$ modifications to Project or Study (	components that were not material to the WASC,
ROC, or Board's decision to include	the Project or Study in the SIP
$\hfill\Box$ reallocation of annual funding pro	jections in the SIP, provided that the total amount
of Regional Program funding for the	Project or Study remains unchanged
$\square$ change in primary or secondary of	bjective
☐ change in Project benefits	
$\hfill\square$ change in methodology (e.g., infilt	ration instead of diversion to sanitary sewer)
☐ decrease in BMP capacity	
$\hfill\Box$ change in Project or Study locatio	n
$\hfill\Box$ change in capture area where ber	nefits claimed are diminished or where there is a
change in the municipalities that are	receiving benefits
$\hfill\Box$ updated engineering analysis res	ulting in a reduction of benefits
$\hfill\Box$ increase in community support	
$\hfill\Box$ reduction or withdrawal of commu	ınity support
$\Box$ change in amount or status of lev	
✓ any modification resulting in an in	crease of the total amount of Regional Program
funding for the Project or Study	
$\hfill\Box$ any modification resulting in a dec	crease of the estimated total amount of Regional
Program funding for the Project or S	tudy
☐ other, please describe:	
Impact on scope or benefits?	
☐ Improved	✓Neither
☐ Diminished	☐ Not Sure

Description of the proposed modification(s), a comparison to the previously approved Project, and the reason(s) why the modification(s) is/are being proposed. Attach additional pages, as needed.

The City of Norwalk executed a contract with Reves Construction to provide design-build services to implement the Hermosillo Park Project. Leading up to 35% engineering design of the project, the design-builder obtained technical requirements for diversion and discharge from and to existing storm drains operated by LA County Flood Control District. Minor revisions to the project concept was necessary to comply with Flood Control's technical requirements. The overall project scope and projected benefits remain consistent with the project concept. The most significant design change is consolidation of two small subsurface detention basins, modifying the diversion from gravity flow to pumped, and shifting the diversion pipe from Algardi Street to 161st Street. The design-builder provided the 35% plans and construction cost estimate on August 16, 2024. Upon review of the 35% submittal, the City was made aware of a significant funding gap between the construction cost estimate and project budget estimate from Transfer Agreement 2020RPLSGR03. The funding gap is approximately \$9.9 million dollar stemming primarily from cost escalation due to inflation from 2020 Covid pandemic to present. The project budget estimate was developed in 2018 and incorporated into the Measure W grant application for the project.

If applicable, list previously approved funding allocations/disbursements and revised funding request:

Note, if some or all of a previously Funded Activity cannot be completed as a result of the proposed modification, please include a description and indicate the amount of unused funds. Any unused funds should be reallocated and accounted for in your revised funding request. Attach additional pages, as needed.

SIP Fiscal Year	Approved Funding Allocations	Increase/ Decrease Requested	Revised Funding Request	Description/Phase/Status If applicable, include description of unused funds
20/21	\$20,110,000			TA Approved Budget
25/26		\$1,923,977	\$22,033,677	Year 1 Cont. Cost Increase
26/27		\$7,983,124	\$30,017,101	Year 2 Const. Cost Increase
TOTAL	\$20,110,000	\$9,907,101	\$30,017,101	

A: Approved Total Funding Allocations	\$20,110,000
B: Revised Estimate of Total Funding from Regional Program	\$30,017,101
Regional Program Funds Received to date	\$2,240,000
Regional Program Expenditures to date	\$160,360
Difference between B and A	\$9,907,101
Percent change between B and A	49.3%

Would the additional funding request be the only option that would allow the project to be implemented? Please describe.

YES

This design-build project is currently in design. Current estimate shows significant cost increases due to escalation mainly caused by pandemic impacts resulting in labor and materials cost increases which creates a funding gap from when the project estimate was developed in 2018. Additional funding is required to complete construction beyond year 2.

Would delaying funding allocations impact the project's ability to be implemented? Please describe.



This design-build project is currently in design. Delay in funding would increase the construction schedule beyond year 2 and may increase construction cost due to mobilization, demobilization and materials costs. Also, it puts in jeopardy Proposition 68 funds currently allocated to this combined project which includes scope to rehabilitate the existing park situated directly above the SCW project.

Would funding only a portion of the additional funding request impact the project's ability to be implemented? Please describe.



Any remaining funding gap will impact construction starting year 2. The city will likely not start construction if there's insufficient funding for construction. Project requires contractor to procure large quantity of pre-cast concrete cells at the start of construction. Starting construction and pausing at year 2 will create logistics issues with delivery and storing the concrete cells. Also, delay will jeopardize Proposition 68 funds currently allocated to this combined project which includes scope to rehabilitate the existing park situated directly above the SCW project.

Has the Recipient considered other funding sources? Please describe. Include type of funding, status, and amount.



The city is actively seeking other funding sources, but to date no alternate funds have been identified or secured.

If applicable, a description of difference in SCWP Anticipated Total Funding Request. As a reminder, annual funding is at the discretion of the WASC, ROC, and ultimately the Board of Supervisors. Attach additional pages, as needed.

Project scope and anticipated benefits remain as described in the preliminary engineering report. The cost increase at 35% design is attributed to inflation over the recent years relative to the preliminary project cost estimate developed in 2018.	

Brief description of Supporting Documentation provided. Please include any documentation needed to support benefits claimed by the modified Project or Study and confirm compliance with the Feasibility Study Guidelines.

See attached summary table of 35% project cost estimate.	

Contact information of persons who should be included in correspondence with the SCWP regarding this Project or Study. Attach additional pages, as needed.

Name	Title	Email Address
Mario Mera	Engineering Manager	mmera@norwalkca.gov
Run Chen, P.E., PMP	Principal Civil Engineer	rchen@norwalkca.gov

I certify the information and supporting documentation provided is accurate and true.	YES
I certify the modified Project complies with all requirements described in the Feasibility Study Guidelines.	YES
I understand this is a request and it is under the WASC's discretion to consider requested modifications.	YES

Name <u>R</u> ı	un Chen, P.E.	Organizatio	on_ City of Norwalk
Signature	Par Uhen	Date	10/17/24

### FOR SCWP STAFF USE ONLY

## **Proposed Modifications to Projects or Studies:**

	Status	Date
Scope/benefits of the modified Project or Study is <b>consistent</b> with the Project or Study included in the current fiscal year's SIP and proposed modifications were <b>approved</b> by the SCWP.	□ YES	
Scope/benefits of the modified Project or Study requires reapproval in the SIP. If yes, select all that apply:	<b>✓</b> YES	1/17/2025
Budget/schedule modifications would impact future SIP funding allocations. If yes, select all that apply:	YES	1/17/2025
PMR was received <b>after</b> October 31 of a fiscal year and the PMR will be considered for approval during the preparation of <b>subsequent</b> SIP for the fiscal year <u>after</u> the next	□ YES	-
Project or Study abandoned the proposed modifications	☐ YES	
Projector or Study was <b>withdrawn</b> from consideration by the WASC and shall issue repayment of unspent funds	□ YES	
Proposed <b>scope/benefit</b> modifications were <b>recommended for approval</b> in the SIP	☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ N/A	
Modifications to the Project or Study's <b>funding allocations</b> were <b>recommended for approval</b> as identified in the SIP	☐ YES ☐ PARTIAL ☐ NO	

## **Proposed Modifications to Project Concepts:**

	Status	Date
Proposed modifications were deemed <b>consistent</b> with the Project concept that was approved by the WASC, ROC and Board for inclusion in the SIP and can be addressed within the existing budget. SCWP staff will proceed to incorporate the proposed modification into the Feasibility Study immediately.	□ YES	
Proposed modifications were deemed significant enough to result in a <b>significantly different</b> Project concept from the one approved by the WASC, ROC and Board for inclusion in the SIP. <b>If yes, select one:</b>	□ YES	
SCWP staff to <b>discontinue</b> work on the Feasibility Study, return unused funds to be programmed in the SIP for the next fiscal year, and advise the proponent to submit the modified Project concept during the Call for Projects for a future fiscal year.	□ YES	-
SCWP staff to <b>abandon</b> the proposed modifications and proceed with the Project concept included in the SIP.	☐ YES	-

## City of Norwalk - Hermosillo Park Rehabilitation, Stormwater Capture & Infiltation Project Stormwater Capture & Infiltration Expendatures (Based on 35% Estimate)

Year 0 (2024)	Estimated Costs		Contingency (15%)		Total Estimated Costs w/ Contingency	
Design	\$	1,300,000.00	\$	195,000.00	\$	1,495,000.00
Total	\$	1,300,000.00	\$	195,000.00	\$	1,495,000.00
Year 1 (2025)	Estimated Costs		Cont	ingency (15%)	Total Estimated Costs w/	Contingency
Design	\$	600,000.00	\$	90,000.00	\$	690,000.00
Designer Construction Support	\$	178,549.70	\$	26,782.46	\$	205,332.16
Demo & Clearing/ Grubbing/ Earthwork	\$	635,161.60	\$	95,274.24	\$	730,435.84
Excavation & Shoring	\$	2,209,753.50	\$	331,463.03	\$	2,541,216.53
Storm Capture Mechanical	\$	3,184,920.70	\$	477,738.11	\$	3,662,658.81
Storm Capture System	\$	10,561,719.75	\$	1,584,257.96	\$	12,145,977.71
Electrical System & Commissioning	\$	489,613.60	\$	73,442.04	\$	563,055.64
Total	\$	17,859,718.85	\$	2,678,957.83	\$	20,538,676.68

<b>Year 2 ( 2026)</b> Es	stimated Costs	Contingency (15%)	Total Estimated Costs w/ Contingency
Design \$	-	\$ -	\$ -
Designer Construction Support \$	76,521.30	\$ 11,478.20	\$ 87,999.50
Demo & Clearing/ Grubbing/ Earthwork \$	158,790.40	\$ 23,818.56	\$ 182,608.96
Excavation & Shoring \$	736,584.50	\$ 110,487.68	\$ 847,072.18
Storm Capture Mechanical \$	1,714,957.30	\$ 257,243.60	\$ 1,972,200.90
Storm Capture System \$	3,520,573.25	\$ 528,085.99	\$ 4,048,659.24
Electrical System & Commissioning \$	734,420.40	\$ 110,163.06	\$ 844,583.46
\$	6,941,847.15	\$ 1,041,277.07	\$ 7,983,124.22



(http://www.ca.gov)

F G+

(mailto:?

subject=DGS%20California%20Construction%20Cost%20Index%20CCCI&body=%0ahttps%3A%2F%2Fwww.dgs.ca.gov%2FRESD%2FResources%2FPage-Content%2FReal-Estate-Services-Division-Resources-List-Folder%2FDGS-California-Construction-Cost-Index-CCCI%0a%0a)

Translate



(https://www.dgs.ca.gov/RESD)

HOME (/) » REAL ESTATE SERVICES DIVISION (HTTPS://WWW.DGS.CA.GOV/RESD) » RESOURCES (HTTPS://WWW.DGS.CA.GOV/RESD/RESOURCES) » DGS CALIFORNIA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX CCCI (HTTPS://WWW.DGS.CA.GOV/RESD/RESOURCES/PAGE-CONTENT/REAL-ESTATE-SERVICES-DIVISION-RESOURCES-LIST-FOLDER/DGS-CALIFORNIA-CONSTRUCTION-COST-INDEX-CCCI)

## **DGS California Construction Cost Index CCCI**

Client agencies can find current construction cost index for California by the Real Estate Services Division.

The California Construction Cost Index (CCCI) is developed based upon Building Cost Index (BCI) cost indices average for San Francisco and Los Angeles ONLY as produced by Engineering News Record (ENR) and reported in the second issue each month.

The current five year CCCI table is updated the 2nd half of the month for the current month. The ENR BCI reports cost trends for specific construction trade labor and materials in the California market and does not reflect current market bidding environment. Prior to July 1991, CCCI was recorded quarterly, all months post July 1991 are calculated based on the ENR BCI reports and recorded for each month.

#### California Construction Cost Index 2021-2025

Month	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
January		9680	9246	8151	7090
February		9692	9166	8293	7102
March		9660	9118	8736	7130
April		9688	9026	8903	7150
Мау		9655	9621	9001	7712
June		9651	9508	8925	7746
July		9646	9526	9110	7892
August		9749	9560	8729	8122
September		9751	9592	8604	7900
October		9785	9654	8712	8080
November			9682	8765	8141
December			9654	8823	8072

Month	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Annual % *			9.4%	9.3%	13.4%

<sup>\*</sup>Annual Percentage is calculated from December to December.

#### HISTORIC CALIFORNIA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX

**Expand All** 

#### **CALIFORNIA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX 2016-2020**

Month	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	
January	6995	6684	6596	6373	6106	
February	6945	6700	6596	6373	6132	
March	6947	6616	6596	6373	6248	
April	6955	6841	6596	6461	6249	
Мау	6958	6852	6596	6455	6240	
June	7041	6854	6598	6470	6238	
July	6984	6854	6643	6474	6245	
August	6988	6823	6613	6620	6244	
September	7036	6814	6674	6620	6267	
October	7120	6851	6679	6596	6343	
November	7123	6895	6679	6596	6344	
December	7120	6924	6684	6596	6373	
Annual % *	2.8%	3.6%	1.3%	3.5%	4.4%	

<sup>\*</sup>Annual Percentage is calculated from December to December.

**CALIFORNIA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX 2011-2015** 

**CALIFORNIA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX 2006-2010** 

**CALIFORNIA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX 2001-2005** 

**CALIFORNIA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX 1996-2000** 

### **QUESTIONS AND RESOURCES**

Have questions about the CCCI, please contact us.

#### CONTACT

#### **Project Management & Development Branch**

Department of General Services Real Estate Service Division

707 Third St, 4th Floor

West Sacramento, California 95605

Phone: (916) 376-1700

 $Email: \underline{DGSRESDGeneralInquiries@dgs.ca.gov\ (mailto: \underline{DGSRESDGeneralInquiries@dgs.ca.gov)}}$ 

#### PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION.

Back to Top

 $Accessibility (\c/en/Configuration/Footer-Utility-Links/Accessibility)$ 

Certification (/en/Certification)

Employee Corner (/en/Employee-Corner)

Privacy Policy (/en/Privacy)

 $\textbf{f} (\texttt{https://www.facebook.com/CalDGS/?ref=settings}) \qquad (\texttt{https://x.com/califdgs?lang=en}) \qquad \textbf{(https://www.youtube.com/user/CalifDGS)}$ 

(https://www.instagram.com/dgscalif/?hl=en) in (https://www.linkedin.com/company/cadgs)

Copyright © 2018 State of California