Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Thursday, February 27, 2025 1:30pm – 3:30pm Los Angeles County Sanitation District – Conference Room E&F 1955 Workman Mill Rd Whittier, CA 90601 WebEx Meeting

Committee Members Present:

Julian Juarez, Los Angeles County Flood Control District (Agency)
*Christopher Lapaz, Los Angeles County Sanitation District (Agency)
*Andrea Vona, Los Angeles Country Parks and Recreation (Agency)
David Marquez, Urban Semillas (Community)
Drew Ready, Council for Watershed Health (Community)
Jeanette Flores, Charter Oak Unified School District (Community)
Fernando Villaluna, Los Angeles County (Municipal), Vice-Chair
Valerie Velasquez-Santoya, Glendora (Municipal)
Julie Carver, Pomona (Municipal), Chair
Mathew Hudson, Industry (Municipal)
Romany Basilyous, Baldwin Park (Municipal)
Stephanie Sandoval, Duarte (Municipal)
Roberto Ortega, West Covina (Municipal)

James Cortes, Day One (Watershed Coordinator, non-voting member)

*Committee Member Alternate

Committee Members Not Present:

Tom Love, Upper San Gabriel District (Agency)
Kelly Gardner, Main San Gabriel Basin (Agency)
Manuel Perez, Valley Vista Services, Inc. (Community)

See attached sign-in sheet for full list of attendees.

1) Welcome and Introductions

Julie Carver, Chair of the Upper San Gabriel River (USGR) Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC), welcomed Committee Members and called the meeting to order. Los Angeles County Public Works (Public Works) staff conducted a brief tutorial on WebEx and facilitated the roll call of Committee Members. Public Works made self-introductions and quorum was established.

2) Approval of Meeting Minutes from January 23, 2025

Public Works staff presented the meeting minutes from January 23, 2025. Member Drew Ready motioned to approve the meeting minutes, seconded by Member Jeanette Flores. The WASC voted to approve the meeting minutes with 11 votes in favor, 0 vote in abstention, and 0 votes opposed, and 2 members were absent at the time of vote (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

3) Committee Member and Program Updates

Public Works staff provided a statement addressing the recent fires in the Los Angeles region. The Safe, Clean Water (SCW) Program team is evaluating how the fires impacted funded projects, and evaluating

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



how lessons learned from these events can be integrated into the Initial Watershed Plans to enhance climate resilience.

Public Works' Watershed Planning staff provided additional updates, noting that a methodology has been prepared to develop plans for each Watershed Area, and that the team is starting with the Lower Los Angeles River Watershed Area to ensure quality control and consistency across all nine Watershed Areas. Watershed Planning staff shared that based on baseline findings and benefit forecasts, strategies to achieve SCW Program goals are also being developed.

The Watershed Planning Framework, Community Strengths and Needs Assessment (CSNA) Survey, and Frequently Asked Questions document are available on the SCW Program website under the <u>Adaptive Management</u> tab. The Watershed Planning Tool, including a GIS map and dashboard, will also be launched to illustrate survey results and identify opportunity areas. For more information, Watershed Planning staff can be reached at <u>watershedplanning@pw.lacounty.gov</u>.

Public Works staff provided an update, noting:

- The Mid-Year Report (covering progress and expenditures between July and December 2024, previously known as Quarter 1 (Q1) and Q2 Reports) are due on February 28th.
- The new Metrics & Measures section is now available in the Reporting Module for Project Developers approved for projects in the FY20-21 through FY23-24 Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs) and are required to fill out by February 28th. Project Developers received an email with instructions and a link to the Regional Program Performance Measures Guidelines.
- The <u>2025 Quarter 3 Quarterly Funding Report & Matrix</u> is now available on the SCW Program
 website under the <u>Resources tab</u>. The Funding Report and Matrix includes an overview of recent
 funding policy highlights and identifies active and upcoming funding opportunities. The Regional
 Coordination team has been monitoring ongoing activity related to federal funding opportunities.
- FY24-25 Transfer Agreements/Addendum distribution began in December 2024. An email was sent
 out to new and continuing Project Developers with instructions on how to use the Transfer
 Agreement functionality within the Reporting Module. Project Developers are advised to start
 uploading support documents, such as the Scope of Work (SOW), Authorization, and California
 Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documents as applicable to execute their agreements. For
 questions about specific Transfer Agreements or Addendums, email
 SafeCleanWaterLA@pw.lacounty.gov.
- The upcoming Regional Oversight Committee (ROC) meeting is scheduled for March 12 at 1:00pm where the ROC will begin its first Biennial Report Session of the series. The meeting will gather public input and public comment will be extended from two to five minutes. To view meeting details please visit the ROC events webpage.
- The 2024 Municipal Transfer Agreements are currently being processed. Disbursements were scheduled for the end of December 2024. Cities will need to comply with all reporting requirements to receive the fifth disbursement. Public Works staff will follow up with any pending municipalities.
- The Public Education and Community Engagement Grants Program formally launched on September 24, 2024. The Public Education and Community Engagement Grants Program, in collaboration with the Water Foundation, will support education and community engagement efforts related to stormwater and urban runoff capture. The deadline to submit a grant proposal to be evaluated during Round 3 is April 4, 2025. After the Round 3 deadline, proposals will be evaluated and awarded on a first-come, first-serve rolling basis until May 31, 2025, as long as funds are still available. More information can be found on the Water Foundation website.

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



 The SCW Program offers a newsletter subscription to receive email notifications about Regional, Municipal, and District Program updates. Implementation updates can also be viewed on the News and Updates page on the SCW Program website.

4) Watershed Coordinator Updates

Watershed Coordinator James Cortes presented on recent activities, community feedback, and events. Presentation slides can be found on the <u>SCW Program website</u>.

Watershed Coordinator Cortes shared that the community is very interested in post wildfire Water Quality and has expressed interest in learning more about how water is conveyed to residential homes. Watershed Coordinator Cortes also shared about recent efforts to overcome misinformation and ensure that the community receives accurate information. Watershed Coordinator Cortes also noted that community members are interested in whether the turf at Finkbiner Park Stormwater Capture project will be artificial.

Member Christopher Lapaz shared that Los Angeles County Sanitation District's Earth Day event is on April 12 and invited Watershed Coordinator Cortes to attend.

Member Ready commended Watershed Coordinator Cortes' efforts in dispelling misinformation surrounding the wildfires and noted that the Council for Watershed Health has been working on a Frequently Asked Questions document regarding the types of landscapes burned, whether exotic or native. Member Ready also commented that there is training occurring for contracted arborists and offered to share the information if Watershed Coordinator Cortes would like to attend.

5) Ex Parte Communication Disclosure

There were no ex parte communication disclosures.

6) Presentation and Discussion Items

a. Peer Review Summary of Scientific Studies (SS)

Emily Ng (Stantec, Regional Coordination) provided an overview of the Peer Review Summaries and shared that the SS proposals were reviewed by CASC Engineering, who is the designated third-party review team. The review team evaluated study objectives, technical approaches, and whether the SS meets the goals of the SCW program, For FY25-26, 14 SS were submitted across the nine Watershed Areas, with the USGR WASC receiving four SS proposals. The Peer Review Summaries can be found on the SCW Program website.

Ng added that the ROC has expressed interest in identifying Countywide priorities for SS and hosting a symposium to share lessons learned and promote regional collaboration.

i. Data-Driven Resource Optimization and Planning System (DROPS) Foothill Municipal Water District

The Peer Review Summary of Data-Driven Resource Optimization and Planning System (DROPS) can be found on the SCW Program website.

Christopher Tull (California Data Collaborative (CaDC)) noted that the SS Developers agree with the peer review's findings. Tull noted that the comments regarding the source and accessibility of data sets will be developed during the pilot phase, which is currently ongoing and funded separately.

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Member Ready requested that the SS Developer comment on the three technical risks the peer review identified. Tull noted regarding Risk 1, data and accuracy may vary based on source and that the SS Developers see no issue with accessing multiple public data sets needed for the SS. Tull noted that soil type data may be challenging to collect. Regarding Risk 2, Tull noted SS Developers are only looking at three sites to capture general trends across Los Angeles. In response to Risk 3, regarding future funding sources, Tull noted that the CaDC may be able to fund the DROPS Tool through membership dues or for SS Developers to return to the SCW Program for additional funding.

Member Ready clarified with Tull that the DROPS Tool will be publicly available. SS Developers may create a premium tier but would not use public, SCW Program funding to develop those additional capabilities.

Watershed Coordinator Cortes noted that there are many existing data tools and asked about the relationship between DROPS and other existing tools. Watershed Coordinator Cortes additionally asked for clarification on whether DROPS is a separate tool or a data layer. Tull clarified that the SS Developers intend to integrate the DROPS Tool with existing data layers and add functionality. Tull explained that the DROPS Tool will be more similar to a software on a web-based platform than a map with data layers.

Watershed Coordinator Cortes requested that the SS Developers present the interface of the pilot study when available, as Tull noted the pilot study is still in its user research phase.

Member Ready clarified with Tull that the DROPS Tool will be available for use to stakeholders beyond water agencies, which are the target audience.

ii. Depave LA: Prioritizing Parking Lots for Green Retrofitting Council for Watershed Health

The Peer Review Summary of Depave LA: Prioritizing Parking Lots for Green Retrofitting (hereafter referred to as the Depave LA SS) can be found on the SCW Program website.

Watershed Coordinator Cortes asked if the tool is a data layer and how this works with Accelerate Resilience Los Angeles' (ARLA) Living Infrastructure Field Kit Tool. Jason Casanova (Council for Watershed Health (CWH)) noted that the Depave LA Tool is a compilation of data layers where raw data can be downloaded. Casanova noted that the Depave LA Tool is meant to help users unfamiliar with Geographic Information Systems (GIS) prioritize project sites. Craig Doberstein (Herrera) added that the proposed Tool will enable more user customization and allow users to develop design criteria to meet individual goals for site selection. Casanova clarified that the SS Developers are working with ARLA to integrate existing impervious delineation data for this SS.

Upon inquiry, Casanova clarified that the tool would include public and private parking lots, including schools, churches, and businesses.

Member Ready requested that the SS Developers review the risks outlined in the peer review. Doberstein noted that data gaps could be a potential risk to the SS as varying resolution exists among data sets. However, Doberstein commented that data sets like aerial imagery typically all have high resolution. Doberstein added that SS Developers are deliberately not defining a 'primary' layer. Regarding stakeholder coordination, Casanova noted that a third of the SS budget is devoted to community-based organization (CBO) engagement. Regarding tool usability and maintenance, CWH would like to run the tool as an inkind service which will be available in perpetuity by being archived online.

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



iii. Hardscape and Brownfield Transformation Opportunity Study San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments

The Peer Review Summary of the Hardscape and Brownfield Transformation Opportunity Study can be found on the SCW Program website.

Watershed Coordinator Cortes asked how the proposed SS will work with existing tools, such as ARLA's Living Infrastructure Field Kit Tool, which was utilized during the development of the Elephant Hill Open Space and Stormwater Infrastructure Feasibility Study, and the other two proposed SS tools presented during this meeting. Paulina Mejia (San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (SGVCOG)) noted that SS Developers will be leveraging ARLA's data set and have been coordinating with the SS Developers of the Depave LA SS. Mejia noted that the SS tools are complementary, and that the Hardscape and Brownfield Transformation Opportunity Study provides a programmatic approach which could help scale up the Depave LA to encompass entire Watershed Areas.

Brad Wardynski (Craftwater Engineering) noted that the proposed SS intends to determine the total impact of retrofitting hardscapes and transforming brownfields at the Watershed Area scale, in order to meet SCW Program goals. The proposed SS will utilize ARLA's Tool as baseline data with data gathered from the SCW Program Metrics and Monitoring Study. Wardynski noted that the results will be published as a public story map on the SGVCOG's website to ensure open accessibility. The key outcomes would be analyzing the total Watershed Area benefits of these studies.

The SS Developers answered questions regarding compiling data from various existing sources, current funding commitments, interested stakeholders, school transformation considerations, and whether adaptive management strategies exist in the current scope. Member Ready requested clarification regarding maintenance of the proposed tool. SGVCOG intends to maintain the tool given the benefits to its constituents in both the USGR and Rio Hondo Watershed Areas but would require additional funding.

Watershed Coordinator Cortes commented that there has been concern regarding how the parcels impacted by the wildfires in Altadena will contribute to the existing tax revenue system and clarified with the SS Developer that while the overall SCW Program will need to consider how that is navigated, the SS intends to consider any subsequent impacts to the SCW Program's Tax Credit Program.

Watershed Coordinator Cortes commented that brownfields present a strong but risky opportunity for development. Member David Marquez shared experiences regarding brownfield sites, commenting that in disadvantaged communities, public agency staff is limited, and the sites may not be identified. Member Marquez highlighted the need for stakeholder involvement as community members may be able to inform developers about local brownfields. Member Marquez also commented that disadvantaged communities may prioritize housing and economic development rather than parks. Member Marquez noted that the proposed SS could create a well-informed guide to development, which is needed in disadvantaged communities.

Vice-Chair Villaluna asked how the proposed SS will differentiate from the Depave LA SS. Wardynski noted that the two SS will be working with similar data sets, but that this proposed SS will also review a broader set of hardscapes, in addition to brownfields, and then scale up the analysis to see the Watershed Area wide impacts.

iv. Next Gen Bioretention: Towards Living and Adaptive Stormwater Systems for a Resilient Los Angeles County

TreePeople

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



The Peer Review Summary of Next Gen Bioretention: Towards Living and Adaptive Stormwater Systems for a Resilient Los Angeles County can be found on the SCW Program website.

Member Ready requested that the SS Developers comment on the risks identified in the Peer Review Summary. Regarding the integration of artistic elements, Member Ready asked how signage can be developed to be sustainable in highly public landscapes, especially if stakeholders have varying expectations. Dustin Hermann (TreePeople) noted that the SS Developers are looking for community input to humanize the infrastructure and convey infrastructure as a community asset. The SS Developers include teams of landscape architects and creative staff that are experienced in working with diverse communities and developing cultural connections to landscapes. Hermann highlighted that Water Quality is the priority, and that artistic elements only make up three to four percent of the project. Member Ready asked about long-term maintenance of artistic elements. Hermann explained that the SS Developers want to build cultural relevance of these structures.

Vice-Chair Villaluna asked what benefits jurisdictions would receive from this SS. Hermann explained that the proposed SS will develop a database of existing infrastructure, determine flaws in existing systems, and identify necessary upgrades. Hermann added that SS Developers intend to use site data and models to design living stormwater management systems.

Additionally, the SS Developers intend to develop specifications, which will include design, plants, operation and maintenance best practices, and model parameterization. Hermann clarified that the proposed SS intends to develop standard designs. The SS Developers will attempt to get the developed specifications incorporated into public agency design standards. Doberstein noted that the SS will prioritize retrofit opportunities, as well as improving existing systems. Member Ready asked if there is a goal to develop engineered soil media specifications and ideal plant palettes for various project applications. Doberstein shared project experience in Washington where Herrera has developed media blends and non-proprietary media.

b. Project Modification Requests (PMR) for WASC Discussion

USGR WASC received three PMR forms for consideration per the Project Modification Guidelines, which can be found on the SCW Program website.

Public Works staff deemed the proposed modifications for two of the three projects to be inconsistent with the USGR SIP. As a result, the WASC is invited to explore these PMRs in more detail. A background on PMRs and the WASC's role can be found on the SCW Program website.

Public Works staff will share a summary of resources document for completing preliminary rankings for all projects prior to SIP deliberations, including new Project Applications and PMRs.

i. Encanto Park Stormwater Capture Project City of Monrovia

Oliver Galang (Craftwater Engineering) noted that construction costs have increased severely since the Project Application. Galang commented that project design remains consistent, and the design has incorporated some additional benefits. Galang noted that a similar project located in Carson is in construction and experiencing a similar cost increase. Galang also commented that the Joint Powers Authority (JPA), which includes the Cities of Arcadia, Bradbury, Duarte, and Sierra Madre contributes \$2.4 million towards the Regional Program annually. Watershed Coordinator Cortes confirmed with the Project Developers that the cities in the JPA will contribute \$250,000 of their municipal funds to the project.

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Galang shared that the Project Developers have been unsuccessful in pursuing other funding sources, noting that the team applied to the Clean California Local Grant but was not selected. Additionally, the Project Developers reached out to a local Congress Member's office for \$750,000 in federal funding, which is currently pending. Project Developers also considered Caltrans funding, however, Galang expressed difficulties and challenges associated with smaller projects pursuing Caltrans funding, including sharing Water Quality benefit credit.

Vice-Chair Villaluna confirmed that Project Developers stayed within the design budget of \$670,000. Galang noted that the 60 percent design is complete, draft administrative Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) has been completed, AB 52 consultation has been initialed, and that CEQA is expected to be released in June. The project has a 100-acre drainage area to treat the 85th percentile storm (2.5 acre/ft in a 24-hour period).

Member Ready expressed that a 201 percent cost increase seems higher than average and requested evidence regionally for inflation. Galang noted that for larger facilities, 20 to 30 percent increases are common, but due to the small scale of the proposed facility, the cost increases are even higher. Member Ready requested more information to better understand inflation and cost escalations across the region. Galang cited similar cost increases from the Compton Creek Implementation Plan: Alondra Gateway Park and Adventure Park Multi Benefit Stormwater Capture Project.

Public Works staff clarified that this PMR will be deliberated along with the SS Applications during FY25-26 SIP deliberations.

ii. Maximizing Impact of Minimum Control Measures San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments

Brianna Datti (Craftwater Engineering) presented an overview of the additional sampling and funding requested. Elizabeth Fassman-Beck (Southern California Coastal Water Research Project (SCCWRP)) explained the need for the additional data and street sweeping, explaining sensitivities of environmental data and that newly collected data will help refine the model. The data collection will be conducted through SCCWRP, which has successfully completed pilot testing.

Watershed Coordinator Cortes requested the results from the pilot study. Fassman-Beck noted that the pilot study was very limited and just tested the methodology of collecting the data; the pilot study did not create the data required for modeling.

Member Ready commented that there have been efforts to conduct street sweeping right after trash collection, which is when trash is often littered. Member Ready added that there are many potential influences on street sweeping efficacy, such as the time between sweeping and rainfall, type of street sweeper, and proximity to the date of trash collection.

Fassman-Beck commented that mechanical broom sweepers are typically ineffective and will not be considered in the SS. The additional data collection will provide the opportunity to narrow down the scope to isolate factors. Without expanding data collection, Fassman-Beck commented that there will be higher variability and uncertainty. Datti shared that Craftwater Engineering currently has a significant amount of existing data from where street sweeping occurs, which will help narrow down which additional areas to test.

Watershed Coordinator Cortes clarified with Datti that there would be greater variability in the SS model if the SS does not receive the \$479,469 from the USGR WASC, and that testing will just be based on older data. Watershed Coordinator Cortes asked the SS Developer to justify the \$800,000 request for decreasing

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



variability, and Datti explained that an improved model would instill confidence in regulators. Fassman-Beck noted that the California Regional Water Quality Boards are looking for quantitative evidence of pollutant reduction.

Watershed Coordinator Cortes highlighted that this SS and the Los Angeles Sanitation and Environment's Street Sweeping Study were both funded during FY24-25 by three other WASCs. Fassman-Beck noted that the SS Developers are not familiar with the Street Sweeping SS but will reach out and see if collaboration is possible.

Public Works staff noted that the PMR Project Developers will be present at the next meeting for SIP deliberations to answer any further questions.

c. Upper San Gabriel River FY25-26 Stormwater Investment Plan Preview

Public Works staff reviewed the Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP) tool and presented the WASC's approved SIP from the previous year. Public Works staff noted that funding allocations are to follow Regional Program Distribution requirements, which means that the minimum percentage allocation for Infrastructure Projects is 85 percent of the total allocated funds in the 5-year SIP period, while SS and Technical Resource Program (TRP) project concepts a maximum of 5 and 10 percent, respectively, of the 5-year total revenue available to the WASC. Public Works staff noted that as TRPs are completed, the remaining unused funds will be rolled back into the WASC's budget, so the actual projected available funds may vary.

Public Works staff encouraged Committee Members to reach out for any additional guidance on SIP deliberations and PMRs. An email with a summary of resources will be distributed to Committee Members prior to SIP deliberation. Committee Members will need to consider new SS Applications, earmarked funds for ongoing projects, and PMRs.

7) Public Comment Period

There were no public comments.

8) Items for Next Agenda

The next meeting will be on Thursday, March 27, 2025. Public Works staff will send out an attendance survey to Committee Members to survey availability for extending the next meeting to allow for sufficient time for SIP deliberation. Items to be discussed at the next meeting include:

- a. Regional Program Quarterly Report Summary for FY23-24 Q3 and Q4
- b. Upper San Gabriel River Project, Scientific Study, and Project Concept Prioritization and Selection Discussion for populating the FY25-26 Stormwater Investment Plan

Public Works staff noted that the WASC will receive a presentation and summary regarding FY23-24 Quarterly Reports and the WASC will begin SIP deliberations at the next meeting.

Public Works staff noted that the WASC may potentially vote on the SIP at the next meeting. Public Works staff presented the tentative USGR WASC look-ahead schedule.

Chair Carver noted that Public Works staff had once presented a breakdown of SCW Program funds contributed by cities and what funds are being returned to those cities via funded projects; Chair Carver commented that a few cities are interested in seeing an updated breakdown. Public Works staff noted this request.

Upper San Gabriel River Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



9) Adjournment

Chair Carver thanked the WASC Members and the public for their attendance and participation and adjourned the meeting.

UPPER SAN GABRIEL RIVER WASC MEETING - FEBRUARY 27, 2025							
			Quorum Pres	ent		Voting Items (see meeting minutes	
Member Type	Position	Member	Voting/ Present?	Alternate	Voting/ Present?	Approve 1/23/2025 Meeting Minutes	
Agency	Los Angeles County FCD	Julian Juarez	х	Alynn Sun		у	
Agency	Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District	Tom Love		Patty Cortez			
Agency	Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster	Kelly Gardner		Lauren Augino			
Agency	Sanitation Districts	Francisco Guerrero		Christopher Lapaz	х	У	
Agency	Los Angeles County Parks and Recreation	Sean Woods		Andrea Vona	x		
Community Stakeholder	Valley Vista Services, Inc	Manuel D. Perez					
Community Stakeholder	Urban Semillas	David Marquez	х	Miguel Luna		у	
Community Stakeholder	Council for Watershed Health	Drew Ready	х	Jason Casanova		у	
Community Stakeholder	Charter Oak Unified School District	Jeanette Flores	x			у	
Community Stakeholder							
Municipal Members	Los Angeles County	Fernando Villaluna	х	Joseph Venzon		у	
Municipal Members	Glendora	Valerie Velasquez-Santoya	х	Sandy Costandi		у	
Municipal Members	Pomona	Julie Carver	х	Nicholas Delgado		у	
Municipal Members	Industry	Mathew Hudson	x	Don Nguyen		у	
Municipal Members	Baldwin Park	Romany Basilyous	х	Shari Garwick		у	
Municipal Members	Duarte	Stephanie Sandoval	х	Mario Flores			
Municipal Members	West Covina	Roberto Ortega	х			у	
Watershed Coordinator	Watershad Coordinates	La constant					
Non-Voting Member	Watershed Coordinator	James Cortes	X			44	
Total Non-Vacant Seats		16			Yes (Y)	11	
Total Voting Members Present		13			No (N)	0	
Agency Community Stakeholder		3			Abstain (A)	11	
Municipal Members		7			Total	Approved	
- Mamolpal Members						ripproved	

Upper San Gabriel River Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting COMMITTEE MEMBER AND ALTERNATE SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization	Email Address		Signature
Alynn Sun	FCD	asun@dpw.lacounty.gov	А	
Andrea Vona	Los Angeles County Parks and Recreation	avona@parks.lacounty.gov	A (g
Christopher Lapaz	Sanitation Districts	christopherlapaz@lacsd.org	A	Cles
David Marquez	California Consulting, INC	jhdm.david@gmail.com	A	
Don Nguyen	El Monte	dnguyen@elmonteca.gov	А	
Drew Ready	Council for Watershed Health	drew@watershedhealth.org	Р	Dresic
Fernando Villaluna	Los Angeles County	FVILLALUNA@dpw.lacounty.gov	Р	The
Francisco Guerrero	Sanitation Districts	fguerrero@lacsd.org	Р	
James Cortes Rivera	Day One	james@godayone.orgv	wc	500
Jason Casanova	Council for Watershed Health	cas@watershedhealth.org	А	
Jeanette Flores	Charter Oak Unified School District	jeanettevflores@gmail.com	Р	978
Joseph Venzon	Los Angeles County	jvenzon@dpw.lacounty.gov	А	
Julian Juarez	FCD	JJUAREZ@dpw.lacounty.gov	Р	July,
Julie Carver	Pomona	Julie.Carver@pomonaca.gov; chris_diggs@ci.pomona.ca.us	Р	Y
Kelly Gardner	Main San Gabriel Basin	kelly@watermaster.org	Р	

Upper San Gabriel River Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting COMMITTEE MEMBER AND ALTERNATE SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization	Email Address		Signature
Lauren Augino	Main San Gabriel Basin	Lauren@watermaster.org	А	
Manuel D. Perez	Valley Vista Services, Inc.	manny@myvvs.com	Р	
Mario Flores	Bradbury	mflores@cityofbradbury.org	А	
Matthew Hudson	Industry	mhudson@cityofindustry.org	Р	Nath
Miguel Luna	Urban Semillas	miguel@urbansemillas.com	Р	
Nicholas Delgado	City of Diamond Bar	ndelgado@diamondbarca.gov	А	
Patty Cortez	Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District	patty@usgvmwd.org	А	
Roberto Ortega	West Covina	Rortega@westcovina.org	Р	12
Romany Basilyous	Baldwin Park	RBasilyous@baldwinpark.com	Р	Valor
Sandy Costandi	Covina	SCostandi@covinaca.gov	А	
Sean Woods	Los Angeles County Parks and Recreation	SWoods@parks.lacounty.gov	Р	
Shari Garwick	San Dimas	SGarwick@sandimasca.gov	А	
Stephanie Sandoval	Duarte	ssandoval@accessduarte.com	Р	
Tom Love	Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District	tom@usgvmwd.org	Р	
Valerie Velasquez-Santoya	Glendora	vvelasquez@cityofglendora.org	Р	JU JUNE

Upper San Gabriel River Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting PUBLIC SIGN-IN



First Name	Last Name	Municipality/Organization	Email Address
Olver	Contang	et attowater	elizabethfle scowsp.o.
Bizaleth	Fassman Beck	SCLURP	elizabethfle scowrp.o.
Pavlina	Mejia	SHYCOLI	profia Ord vos. vos
Destin	Herrmann	TREEDEWLE	dherrmann co freepouph.
Brianna	Datti	Craftwater	brianno-datti@craftwater.com

Webex Attendees Upper San Gabriel River WASC Meeting February 27, 2025

Alireza Rahmani Alynn Sun Andrew Kim

Anna Philipp Brad Wardynski **Christopher Tull**

Christopher Tull, CaDC

Craig Doberstein

Daria Antonova - LACPW

Donna T Ed Suher CASC

Grant Garcia - City of San Dimas Gurjot Kohli | Stantec

Jason Casanova

Jason Pepito - SCW WP

Jenny Chau

Leslie Martinez - LACPW

Liana Poghosyan

Mayra Cabrera - LACPW

Melineh Aghakhabian

Nathaly Moreno

Ng, Emily **Nicole Houston** Rafael Piamonte

Sofia Cardenas - LACDPW

Stacy Luell **USGR WASC** Imarshall