# Public Comment Form

Name:* SCWP tax payer and concerned environmental ac

Organization*: _____

Email*: _____

Phone*: _____

Meeting: Upper San Gabriel River

Date: March 27, 2025

☐ LA County Public Works may contact me for clarification about my comments

*Per Brown Act, completing this information is optional. At a minimum, please include an identifier so that you may be called upon to speak.

Phone participants and the public are encouraged to submit public comments (or a request to make a public comment) to SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov. All public comments will become part of the official record.

Please complete this form and email to SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov by at least 5:00pm the day prior to the meeting with the following subject line: "Public Comment: [Watershed Area] [Meeting Date]" (ex. "Public Comment: USGR 4/8/20").

## Comments

I respectfully request that the WASC take a closer look at the Maximizing Impacts of Minimum Control Measures PMR and consider whether the request should truly be treated as a PMR, or is more accurately characterized as a new Scientific Study proposal that is only loosely (at best) related to the original Study.

SCWP Scientific Study proposals go through a rigorous application, defense, and peer review process to help maximize quality, impact, and value to the SCWP. The PMR in question seems to be deliberately bypassing that process, and as a result adding costly and unvetted WQ monitoring to a (nearly complete) previous Study. Further, if allowed more detailed WASC discussion and peer review, it would likely become apparent the that the PMR misrepresents the lack of prior street sweeping research and relevant findings, and thus the need for the proposed monitoring at this time… especially as part of the existing Study. When asked by the WASC, the existing Study leads themselves confirmed that their Study is nearly complete and will not be compromised without the proposed new street sweeping data.