

Regional Oversight Committee

Meeting Minutes



Wednesday, August 14, 2024

1:00pm – 3:30pm

LA County Public Works Headquarters, 1st Floor (Courtyard) Conference Rooms C&D

900 S. Fremont Ave, Alhambra, CA 91803

Zoom Meeting

Committee Members Present:

Diana Tang, Long Beach Water Department, Vice-Chair

Belinda Faustinos, Retired NGO & State Agency Executive, Chair

Lauren Ahkiam, LAANE

Charles Trevino, Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District

Mark Gold, Natural Resources Defense Council

Diana Mahmud, Former City Councilmember, City of South Pasadena

Carl Blum, LA County Flood Control District (non-voting member)

Committee Members Not Present:

Kristine Guerrero, League of Cities

Barbara Romero, City of Los Angeles

Norma Camacho, LA Regional Water Quality Control Board Chair (non-voting member)

Maria Mehranian, Cordoba/Former LA Regional Water Quality Control Board Chair

Meeting Summary:

At the August 2024 ROC meeting four of the FY 2024-25 Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs) were considered and recommended that the Board of Supervisors approve the SIPs for Santa Clara River Watershed Area, South Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area, Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Area, and Upper San Gabriel River Watershed Area. The ROC received a presentation from the SCW Program Watershed Planning Section about progress developing Initial Watershed Plans.

Key Action Items:

- Public Works will identify and plan future agenda items related to the review of Scientific Studies completed to date, and their findings, update on Municipal Program activities and progress, the linkages between the Regional and Municipal Programs, and nexus between water quality and water supply projects in the SIPs in relation to the LA County Water Plan, and details about Project Labor Agreements.
- Public Works will finalize and share the Regional Oversight Committee Charter and Operating Guidelines prior to the September 11, 2024, ROC meeting.

1. Welcome and Introductions

Belinda Faustinos, Chair of the Safe Clean Water (SCW) Program Regional Oversight Committee (ROC), welcomed Committee Members and called the meeting to order.

2. On-line and Spanish Language Participation Information

The Executive Clerk conducted a brief tutorial on Zoom and announced that the meeting was being broadcast live in Spanish.

3. Roll Call

The Executive Clerk conducted roll call and confirmed that a quorum was established.

4. Agenda Review and Meeting Purpose

Regional Oversight Committee

Meeting Minutes



Chair Faustinos shared that the ROC will continue its review of the final four of the nine Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs) that the Watershed Area Steering Committees (WASCs) have recommended for Fiscal Year (FY) 2024-25. The ROC will also hear an update on the SCW Program Watershed Planning effort.

5. Ex Parte Communication Disclosures

There were no ex parte communication disclosures.

6. Approval of July 10, 2024, Meeting Minutes

Chair Faustinos presented meeting minutes from the previous meeting. Member Charles Trevino motioned to approve the meeting minutes with revisions to section 8, regarding the Clean Water State Revolving Fund and assessment of how future projects' achievements align with the SCW Program goals. The motion was seconded by Member Carl Blum. The Committee voted to approve the July 10, 2024, meeting minutes with 6 votes in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 in abstention (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

7. Public Comment Period

The Executive Clerk compiled all public comment cards received by 5:00pm the day before the meeting. One comment card was received prior to the meeting and can be found on the [SCW Program website](#). Participants on the Spanish translation line and call-in users were also invited to provide public comment.

The Executive Clerk noted a public comment was received during the July 10 ROC meeting, was included in this meeting packet, and can be found on the SCW Program website.

Maggie Gardner (Our Water LA) provided public comment that reiterated a letter submitted to the ROC that can be found on the SCW Program website.

8. Discussion Item: Fiscal Year 2024-25 Stormwater Investment Plans

The Executive Clerk reminded the Committee that it is within the ROC's authority to recommend some, all, or none of the SIPs to the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors (Board) for adoption.

a) Santa Clara River

Ryanna Fossum (Stantec, Regional Coordination) presented a summary of the SIP recommended by Santa Clara River (SCR) WASC. Presentation slides can be found on the [SCW Program website](#).

Upon inquiry, Fossum clarified that funding for FY 2024-25 is allocated, and future year funding is projected, rather than guaranteed earmarked.

b) South Santa Monica Bay

Fossum presented a summary of the SIP recommended by the South Santa Monica Bay (SSMB) WASC. Presentation slides can be found on the SCW Program website.

SSMB WASC Chair Craig Cadwallader clarified the SSMB WASC reviewed and approved both PMRs and approved additional funding for one. The complexity of reporting for these projects was acknowledged, emphasizing the need for effective tracking. An interest in summarizing projects subject to Project's Labor Agreement was also expressed.

c) Upper Los Angeles River

Regional Oversight Committee

Meeting Minutes



Fossum presented a summary of the SIP recommended by the Upper Los Angeles River (ULAR) WASC. Presentation slides can be found on the SCW Program website.

Public Works staff clarified that the 100% Water Quality points shown in the spider web diagram for new Infrastructure Program (IP) projects in the ULAR SIP reflects that all new IP projects recommended by the WASC received the maximum number of points for Water Quality Benefits from the Scoring Committee. Member Diana Mahmud confirmed with Public Works staff that the Scoring Criteria might be updated in the future as part of the SCW Program's adaptive management efforts. ULAR WASC Chair Teresa Villegas clarified that the presentation slides currently reflect the Watershed Area's allocations without the anticipated retraction of funding due to the WASC's decision on the Metro Orange Line Project Modification Request (PMR), with the funding retraction pending Board approval.

d) Upper San Gabriel River

Fossum presented a summary of the SIP recommended by the Upper San Gabriel River (USGR) WASC. Presentation slides can be found on the SCW Program website.

The Committee discussed the Finkbiner Park Stormwater Capture Project's artificial turf components. Committee Members noted that the artificial turf component of the project appears misaligned with SCW Program goals and discouraged its use in future projects. USGR Chair Julie Carver shared that the WASC requested the project proponent revisit plans to avoid using artificial turf.

The Chair reflected on the four SIPs presented at the meeting and summarized the discussion. The Committee discussed project labor agreements (PLAs) and proposed adding language in future SCW Program applications to remind applicants about the applicability of PLAs. Member Mahmud expressed a desire to see more leveraged funding from SCW Program projects, such as the Identifying Best Practices for Maintaining Stormwater Drywell Capacity Scientific Study (SS), while Member Ahkiam highlighted the Bowtie Demonstration Project's strong multi-benefit components and community engagement efforts included in the ULAR SIP.

Committee Members discussed the vetting process for SS. Committee Members proposed adding a future agenda item to discuss the approach to SS moving forward. Committee Members also requested additional time at a future meeting to discuss the nexus between water quality and water supply projects in the SIPs in relation to the LA County Water Plan. The Committee additionally noted the value of the MMS Executive Summary for recommendations and expressed interest in further discussions on the use of the scientific data.

Member Blum highlighted the progress of the SIP process becoming more proactive but stressed the need for clearer measurable goals, plans, and timelines. Member Blum also called for more discussion on the outcomes of SS and the integration of SCW Regional and Municipal Programs, noting that the two programs are both interconnected to investments in the same Watershed Areas.

Public Works Deputy Director Adam Ariki noted that the County could clarify intentions regarding the acceleration of the SCW Program and described that PW is creating a group for municipalities to share and develop scientific knowledge.

Member Tang asked whether the SCW Program Watershed Plans would incorporate findings from other existing and related watershed management plans and expressed support in using WASC-specific data.

Member Ahkiam expressed interest in better understanding different Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) requirements across the WASCs. Member Ahkiam acknowledged that many WASCs have more demand than resources available, and asked if there were strategies in the County or as a ROC to support proponents with additional resources. The distinction between MS4 permittees, who are responsible for complying with TMDL requirements within the watershed, and the WASC, a body that is not responsible for meeting water quality targets but rather recommends funding for projects that increase water quality, was highlighted.

Regional Oversight Committee

Meeting Minutes



Committee Members expressed a need for a more strategic approach, including revisiting the Scoring Criteria for Water Supply and Leverage Funding categories. Committee Members discussed meeting more frequently or for longer durations and potentially adjusting the ROC meeting time. The Committee also emphasized the importance of promptly transmitting SIPs to the ROC to provide sufficient time for review.

9. Voting Items

- **Recommendations for FY 2024-25 Stormwater Investment Plans**

Member Trevino motioned to recommend that the Santa Clara River Watershed Area FY 2024-25 SIP is helping to achieve SCWP Program Goals and therefore be approved, seconded by Member Diana Tang. The Committee approved the motion to recommend the SCR SIP with 6 votes in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 in abstention (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

Member Mahmud motioned to recommend that the South Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area FY 2024-25 SIP is helping to achieve SCWP Program Goals and therefore be approved, seconded by Member Trevino. The Committee approved the motion to recommend the SSMB SIP with 6 votes in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 in abstention (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

Chair Faustinos motioned to recommend that the Upper Los Angeles River Watershed Area FY 2024-25 SIP is helping to achieve SCWP Program Goals and therefore be approved, seconded by Member Tang. The Committee approved the motion to recommend the ULAR SIP with 6 votes in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 in abstention (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

Chair Faustinos motioned to recommend that the Upper San Gabriel River Watershed Area FY 2024-25 SIP is helping to achieve SCWP Program Goals and therefore be approved, seconded by Member Mahmud. The Committee approved the motion to recommend the USGR SIP with 6 votes in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 in abstention (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

10. Safe Clean Water Program Watershed Planning Update

SCW Program Watershed Planning staff within Public Works provided an update on the Watershed Planning effort. Presentation slides can be found on the [SCW Program website](#).

SCW Program Watershed Planning staff shared a timeline of Watershed Planning efforts and described recent Watershed Planning workshops conducted with the WASCs. The September 11 ROC meeting will also feature a feedback exercise with Committee Members that will help inform the next steps of Watershed Planning.

Upon inquiry, SCW Program Watershed Planning staff clarified that the Performance Measures presented to the ROC at a previous meeting have been incorporated into the Watershed Planning effort. Chair Faustinos requested that the Executive Clerk recirculate information about regional goals to ROC Committee Members prior to the next Committee meeting.

Member Gold highlighted the California Water Plan's recommendations as a good reference for Watershed Planning. Member Gold emphasized the importance of self-selected priorities, quantifiable milestones, and identifying resources and collaborative partnerships. Member Gold additionally underlined the importance of co-benefits, such as climate resilience and equity.

Several Committee Members discussed the importance of utilizing existing plans and SS, such as the Pre-SIP and Gateway Area Pathfinding (GAP) Analysis. SCW Program Watershed Planning staff shared that the effort includes compiling a comprehensive list of relevant plans and data sources, with recommendations from WASCs. Planning tools, including a map and dashboard, will also be developed as part of the Watershed Planning effort.

The Committee discussed funding aspects as it relates to capital versus operations and maintenance (O&M) funding, and the possibility of using bond financing for SCW Program projects.

Regional Oversight Committee - August 14, 2024

	Quorum Present						
			Approve the July 10, 2024 Meeting Minutes	Motion to recommend that the SCR FY2024-25 SIP is helping to achieve SCWP Program Goals and therefore be approved	Motion to recommend that the SSMB FY2024-25 SIP is helping to achieve SCWP Program Goals and therefore be approved	Motion to recommend that the ULAR FY2024-25 SIP is helping to achieve SCWP Program Goals and therefore be approved	Motion to recommend that the USGR FY2024-25 SIP is helping to achieve SCWP Program Goals and therefore be approved
Member Type	Member	Present?					
Voting Member	Maria Mehranian						
Voting Member	Barbara Romero						
Voting Member	Diana Tang	x	y	y	y	y	y
Voting Member	Kristine Guerrero						
Voting Member	Belinda Faustinos	x	y	y	y	y	y
Voting Member	Lauren Ahkiam	x	y	y	y	y	y
Voting Member	Charles Trevino	x	y	y	y	y	y
Voting Member	Mark Gold	x	y	y	y	y	y
Voting Member	Diana Mahmud	x	y	y	y	y	y
Non-Voting Member	Carl Blum	x					
Non-Voting Member	Norma Camacho						
Total Non-Vacant Seats	9	Yay (Y)	6	6	6	6	6
Total Voting Members Present	6	Nay (N)	0	0	0	0	0
		Abstain (A)	0	0	0	0	0
		Total	6	6	6	6	6
			Approved	Approved	Approved	Approved	Approved

From: STEPHEN B <srbz@aol.com>

Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2024 9:42 AM

To: DPW-SafeCleanWaterLA <SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov>

Subject: July 10 Mtg Comments - La Habra Heights Project - Lower San Gabriel - Discrepancies and Scoring - Needs review Before Approving

CAUTION: External Email. Proceed Responsibly.
--

Chair and Committee Members,

Someone needs to review the figures, calculations and scoring for the La Habra Heights project (Exhibit 7-1 on Page 19 of the Stormwater Investment Plan for Lower San Gabriel River) as **2/3** of the described catchment area is NOT actually part of the project, reducing the benefit similarly.

Also, the benefits are overstated.

This needs to be done before approval.

The below quotes and diagrams come from the Project Application, found here:

<https://portal.safecleanwaterla.org/projects-module-api/api/reportdownload/pdf/13/332>

The parking lots at the Park are not heavily used outside of discrete events such as summer Music in the Park and some community and other events.

Much of the pollutants are described as coming from Hacienda Rd, a 20,000 +/- daily volume road.

Application Page 10

"The catchment for the system includes a section of Hacienda Road that is above the upper parking lot and was recently upgraded along with a section of Encanada Road that adjoins the park."

Application p18

"Hacienda Road has significant traffic loadings that result in a concentration of heavy metals and toxic compounds (e.g hydrocarbons) impacting the catchment. "

Application P27


"The system also had a high efficiency rate for removing common pollutants such as nutrients, heavy metals, bacteria, toxic compounds and microplastics that Hacienda Road and surrounding areas impact Hacienda Park with."

Catchment Area Substantially Overstated

The project description and benefits include that it is capturing and treating storm water runoff from Hacienda Rd, Encanada Rd, and 4 houses on Nueva Vista Rd, even though those roads and houses do NOT drain to the Park and are below the elevation of the Park.

These rather obvious flaws reduce the project's catchment area by over 2/3. Diagram from Application Page 59 below

As hard as it may be to believe such an obvious flaw exists, it is true. Someone may not have visited the site before doing the Application.



Again on page 75 of the Application (below), where 2/3 of the alleged capture area is mistaken. The Residential roof and road surface are not draining to the Park or altered to get there.

Catchment Descriptions

The catchment for the model was divided into various sub-catchments. The catchment areas are summarised below in Table 2.

Table 2 Catchment descriptions

Catchment	Approx. Area	Treatment
Carpark 1	1,300m ²	STAR System Trench advanced biofiltration
Carpark 2	3,500m ²	
Function centre Roof	800m ²	
Residential Roof	3076m ²	
Road surface	8340m ²	
Total	17016m²	

Unusable "Benefit"

One of the important claimed benefits of the project is that the water stored can be used for irrigation of the Park, but the fine print says (Application page 10):

"It should be noted that the project provides treatment and storage of stormwater runoff only, any additional assets, like pumping the water to the irrigation system are not included in this proposal."

Apparently there is a small drip irrigation included in the demonstration.

Further, the Application claims 20% reduction in irrigation needs, but the area is in a Mediterranean climate with rainfall mostly between October and March, and dry the rest of the year. As such, there would likely be only a few opportunities to use the stored water, as there won't be rainfall to refill it between approximately March and October, not a continual drain and refill.

Below from Application Page 77

Month	%
Jan	5
Feb	6.5
Mar	7.4
Apr	8.8
May	10.2
Jun	10.9
Jul	12.5
Aug	10.9
Sep	9.5
Oct	8.1
Nov	5.8
Dec	4.4
Total	100

Figure 8: MUSIC Model input node for Irrigation

Conclusion

This application needs to be corrected reflecting the true catchment area, with figures revised, and that the storage drawdown will likely occur only a few times reducing the irrigation benefit.

After the Application is corrected in needs to be rescored to see if there is a benefit beyond competing projects.

Thank you

Stephen Blagden
La Habra Heights



Date: 07/08/2024

To: Regional Oversight Committee
cc: SCWP Staff

From: OWLA Core Team (Heal the Bay, LAANE, LA Waterkeeper, Nature for All, Pacoima Beautiful, SCOPE, The Nature Conservancy and TreePeople)

RE: Input on SCWP SIP Review

Chair Kristine Guerrero, Vice Chair Belinda Faustinos, and Committee Members:

On behalf of the OurWaterLA (OWLA) coalition, the undersigned recommend that the Regional Oversight Committee (ROC) approve the Stormwater Investment Plans (SIPs) for the 9 Watershed Area Steering Committees (WASCs) to expedite funding allocation for selected projects and studies.

OWLA has identified the following projects that were included in SIPs as exemplars in Fiscal Year 2023-2024, and have elaborated on what elements have made them stand out.

Upper LA River WASC:

1. Bowtie Demonstration Project
 - a. **Extensive community engagement** that included working with partners on the ground for community outreach in English and Spanish, hosting events and workshops, regularly attending four monthly Neighborhood Council meetings, and compensated listening sessions with a tribe
 - b. **Creation and restoration of riparian habitat and wetlands** with more than 50% of the site being established with native species,
 - c. Using a combination of hydrodynamic separators, membrane filtration, and a constructed wetland to **treat dry weather and partial wet weather flows for metals, bacteria, and organics,**
 - d. Within a **DAC census block group** and efforts to identify how the project can support monolingual Spanish-speaking and unhoused community members with community-based partners
 - e. **Quality labor standards** under Proposition 68 including prevailing wage.
2. Green Street Demonstration Project on Main Street
 - a. Provides **water supply benefits + ecosystem water supply benefits**
 - b. **Creation of nearly 1 acre of new habitat** (58,000 square feet of native plants)
 - c. Located within a **DAC**
 - d. Inclusion of **ADA accessible ramps and crosswalks**

Rio Hondo WASC:

1. South El Monte High School Stormwater Improvement Project
 - a. Example of a school district project and **located on school grounds and within a DAC**

- b. **Addressed feedback from community** in their application
- c. Distributed stormwater facilities across the campus that will include **native vegetation and compost amended soil**
- d. While no water supply benefit due to high groundwater, project is providing **surface level ecosystem water supply benefits**

When OWLA reviews projects, it considers several different categories:

- Location (within/outside a DAC)
- Does the project address an actual need of an underserved community?
- Anti-displacement measures
- Community support and engagement
- Water quality and water supply
- Public health, community investments, and multiple benefits
- Nature-based solutions
- Green jobs
- Cost and leveraged funding

We have summarized some of our observations across these categories to highlight the considerations we make and the concerns we have to help SCWP committee members as they review projects. We hope these observations can also inform project developers and the upcoming visioning process occurring at the ROC.

OWLA wants to see better representations of meaningful community engagement to ensure projects are responsive to community needs and priorities, especially for projects located in and claiming to serve disadvantaged communities. Projects should seek to involve—if not collaborate with and empower—community members that are and will be impacted by the proposed project through an iterative process of actively soliciting and incorporating input.

- The strongest examples were projects in which there were partnerships with community-based and/or nonprofit organizations, such as the Bowtie Demonstration Project and Finkbiner Park Stormwater Capture Project. These CBO/NGO partnerships realized strategies such as supporting youth councils, conducting surveys with wide reach and synthesized analyses, engaging tribal members, multiple community events, and metrics-based outreach plans.
- While project applicants listed various activities like presentations, community meetings, or tabling events, we would like to see more details about who was engaged, how many people, and how they were engaged (ex. receiving information, filling out passive feedback forms, engaging in active discussion, voting on project elements).
- Another key detail must be whether and how community input was synthesized and incorporated into the project. For example, the Osborne Street Stormwater Capture Green Street Project incorporated safer transportation and green space benefits based on feedback from engagement activities.
- Another concern was a few projects that had only one touchpoint with community members and other stakeholders when outreach/engagement should be an iterative process.
- We also want to flag projects that claim to provide DAC benefits yet explicitly state having not done any DAC outreach/engagement. This reiterates a concern we had with the Imperial Highway Green Infrastructure Project in the last round.

OWLA has always held vegetated nature-based solutions as a cornerstone of our priorities for the SCWP because of their potential to provide a number of community benefits while also

contributing to water quality and water supply. We are concerned with the number of projects we are seeing that are replacing impervious surfaces with permeable pavers, rather than with natural materials. This type of project reinforces our commitment to seeing more nuanced nature-based solutions scoring metrics. We will always prefer to see some impervious surface removal, even if it is replaced with permeable pavers, to none at all. However, permeable pavers do not provide the same multiple benefits to people, wildlife, and ecosystems that native plants, amended soil, or mulch can provide such as habitat and reduced heat island effect. Similarly, we are very much concerned with the conversion to artificial turf. These surfaces may be slightly more permeable than concrete, but they can increase heat island effect and can have troubling environmental impacts such as contributing to microplastic pollution.

Thank you for your consideration of these recommendations. We look forward to continuing our engagement with this committee and the watershed coordinators to ensure a better water future for the region.

Sincerely,

OurWaterLA¹

¹ OurWaterLA is a diverse coalition of community leaders and organizations from across Los Angeles County united to create a strong water future for Los Angeles. Our goal is to secure clean, safe, affordable and reliable water for drinking, recreation and commerce now and for the future. We have a deep commitment to uphold the trust that voters had in us when passing this measure and that projects which achieve Safe Clean Water Program objectives of water quality, water supply, nature-based solutions and community investments are prioritized.



Public Comment Form

Name*: _____ Organization*: _____

Email*: _____ Phone*: _____

Meeting: _____ Date: _____

☐ LA County Public Works may contact me for clarification about my comments

*Per Brown Act, completing this information is optional. At a minimum, please include an identifier so that you may be called upon to speak.

Phone participants and the public are encouraged to submit public comments (or a request to make a public comment) to SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov. All public comments will become part of the official record.

Please complete this form and email to SafeCleanWaterLA@dpw.lacounty.gov by at least 5:00pm the day prior to the meeting with the following subject line: "Public Comment: [Watershed Area] [Meeting Date]" (ex. "Public Comment: USGR 4/8/20").

Comments

	Quorum Present	
Member Type	Member	Attending?
Voting Member	Maria Mehranian	Yes
Voting Member	Barbara Romero	No
Voting Member	Diana Tang	Yes
Voting Member	Kristine Guerrero	No
Voting Member	Belinda Faustinos	Yes
Voting Member	Lauren Ahkiam	Yes
Voting Member	Charles Trevino	Yes
Voting Member	Mark Gold	Yes
Voting Member	Diana Mahmud	Yes
Non-Voting Member	Carl Blum	Yes
Non-Voting Member	Norma Camacho	Sick
Total members present	9	Yay (Y)
Total Voting Members Present	7	Nay (N)
Total voting members remote		Abstain (A)
absent	2	Total


PUBLIC COPY, DO NOT REMOVE

Regional Oversight Committee Meeting COMMITTEE MEMBER SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization	Email Address	Signature
Voting Members			
Barbara Romero	City of Los Angeles	barbara.romero@lacity.org; rki.esquer@lacity.org	—
Belinda Faustinos	Nature For All	belinda@lanatureforall.org	Belinda Faustinos
Charles Trevino	Upper San Gabriel Valley MWD	cmtwater@yahoo.com	Charles Trevino
Diana Tang Mahmud	City of Long Beach	Diana.Tang@longbeach.gov	Diana Mahmud
Mark Gold	NRDC	mgold@nrdc.org	Mark Gold
Diana Mahmud	Former City Councilmember, City of South Pasadena	diana.mahmud@gmail.com	Diana Mahmud
Kristine Guerrero	League of Cities	kguerrero@cacities.org	—
Lauren Ahkiam	LAANE	lahkiam@laane.org	Lauren Ahkiam
Maria Mehranian	Cordoba / Former RWQCB Chair	mmehranian@cordobacorp.com	—
Non-Voting Members			
Carl Blum	Flood Control District	cblum@pacbell.net	Carl Blum
Norma Camacho	LA Regional Water Quality Control Board Chair	Norma.Camacho@Waterboards.ca.gov	—

Regional Oversight Committee Meeting PUBLIC SIGN-IN



***Signing or completing this form is voluntary for members of the public**

Zoom Attendees (User Name)

Hayat Rasul (Craig Moyle)
Mike Antos (Stantec)
Spanish interpreter- Gabriela M.
Ryanna Fossum
SP Interpreter Javier Morrone (Javier Morrone)
Eric Bonilla
David Lennon
Larry Tran - LACPW
Majid Sadeghi
Geraldine Trivedi
Sofia Cardenas
Uriel Cobian
Luis Perez
Luis Perez
Alexia Skrbic
Susie Santilena - LASAN, SCWID
Susie Santilena - LASAN, SCWID
Jim Cluxton
Deb Smith
Annelisa Moe (she/her)
Sandra Cattell
Paul Hartman
Vanessa Boudreau
Norma Camacho
Spanish interpreter- Gabriela M.
Bridget Lowry, Stantec
Bridget Lowry, Stantec
Bridget Lowry, Stantec
Bridget Lowry, Stantec
Bridget Lowry, Stantec
Javier Morrone
Anthony O
Ehsan Khodayari
Ehsan Khodayari
Luke Ginger (He/Him SMBRC)
Elva Yanez
pshadmani
pshadmani
Sarah Followill
Sara_McGaugh
Alonso Garcia
Jason Casanova, Council for Watershed Health
Genevieve Osmena

John_Bodenchak
FERNANDO VILLALUNA
Mei-Lin Hanna (JLHA)
James Cortes
Brett Perry
Brett Perry
Julie Carver, Pomona
JonPaul Sarro
Brenda Ponton
Brenda Ponton
Ray Tahir
Ghina Yamout
Susana Arredondo (she/her) Los Angeles Water Board
Jenny Newman
Y
Tara Dales
Stacy Luell, Herrera
FERNANDO VILLALUNA
Oliver Cramer (Santa Clarita)
Nancy Shrodes (she/her)
Eileen Alduenda (CWH)
Jack Mikesell
Pablo
Richard Watson
Conor Mossavi
Mike Scaduto
Paige Bistromowitz - LASAN
Frank Colcord
Haris Harouny - LACPW
Ryanna Fossum
Emily Finnegan
Emily Finnegan
Jenny Chau
guest Guest
Carlos Rodriguez
Haley L
Dee Corhiran
Paul Lorin Pinion
Andrew Kim
Donna Tran - LACPW
Craig Cadwallader-SSMB WASC Chair, Surfrider South Bay
StephanieLuu
LeslieFJ
Kristina Kreter

Emily Ng (she/her)
Julie Millett
Julie Millett
Julie Millett
rebecca kaliff
Hong Lee
Hong Lee
Hong Lee
Stephanie Gebhardt Rath
Jose Castro - LACPW
Oliver Galang (Craftwater Engrg)
Mike Antos (Stantec)
Mike Antos (Stantec)
Mayra Cabrera - LACPW
Adi Liberman (he/him)
Deborah Bloome
Mark Lombos
Mark Lombos
Joyce Amaro
ITD TEST
Display
Joe Venzon - LA County
Kathleen McGowan
Brenda
Brenda
Justin Jones - LACPW
Tatiane Komrij
Tatiane Komrij
Tatiane Komrij
Anthony B - SCW Staff
Amanda Begley
Hans Tremmel
Hans Tremmel