

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Thursday, August 8, 2024
1:00pm – 3:00pm
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District Conference Room
4232 Las Virgenes Rd, Calabasas, CA 91302
WebEx Meeting

Committee Members Present:

William Johnson, Los Angeles County Waterworks (Agency)
*Craig Jones, Las Virgenes Municipal Water District (Agency)
Madelyn Glickfeld, Resident (Community), Chair
Andrew Nickerson, PSOMAS (Community)
Susan Nissman, Resident (Community)
Jacy Shillan, Resident (Community)
Tevin Schmitt, Wishtoyo Chumash Foundation Ventura County (Community)
Jessica Forte, Agoura Hills (Municipal), Vice-Chair
Tatiana Holden, Calabasas (Municipal)
Joe Bellomo, Hidden Hills (Municipal)
Bruce Hamamoto, Los Angeles County (Municipal)
Mark Johnson, Malibu (Municipal)
Melina Sempill Watts, Melina Sempill Watts Inc. (Watershed Coordinator, non-voting member)

Committee Members Not Present:

Marcela Benavides-Aguilar, Los Angeles County Flood Control District (Agency)
David Pedersen, Las Virgenes Municipal Water District (LVMWD) (Agency)
Aaron Ordower, Los Angeles County SD3 (Municipal)
Roxanne Hughes, Westlake Village (Municipal)

*Committee Member Alternate

See attached sign-in sheet for full list of attendees.

1) Welcome and Introduction

Madelyn Glickfeld, Chair of the North Santa Monica Bay (NSMB) Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC), welcomed Committee Members and called the meeting to order. Los Angeles County Public Works (Public Works) staff facilitated the roll call of Committee Members. Public Works staff and all Committee Members made self-introductions and a quorum was established. Public Works staff provided a brief WebEx tutorial for meeting participants joining online.

2) Approval of Meeting Minutes from July 11, 2024

Chair Glickfeld presented the minutes from the previous meeting. Vice-Chair Jessica Forte motioned to approve the meeting minutes, seconded by Member Susan Nissman. The WASC voted to approve the July 11, 2024, meeting minutes with 12 votes in favor and 1 in abstention (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

3) Committee Member Updates

Member Mark Johnson announced their departure from the City of Malibu and the Committee. Member Johnson shared that a new staff member will represent the City of Malibu on the WASC.

Watershed Coordinator Melina Watts announced that there will be a nature-based solutions tour on August 27 starting at 7:30am, meeting at Las Virgenes Municipal Water District (LVMWD). The tour will include

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



visits to the US Navy Base and areas at the Santa Barbara Creeks Division to see projects that incorporate nature-based solutions. More information can be found on the [SCW Program website](#).

Member Nissman shared that the Topanga Lagoon Restoration Project held a stakeholder meeting to review the final Environmental Impact Report (EIR). Comments for the EIR will be accepted until August 13. Chair Glickfeld commented that there are opportunities to improve water quality and water supply around the Topanga Lagoon and suggested that the WASC discuss opportunities.

4) Program Updates

Public Works staff provided an update, noting:

- Round 6 Call for Projects will defer Infrastructure Program (IP) project submissions until Summer 2025. The deadline for Scientific Study (SS) and Technical Resource Program (TRP) applications was July 31. This WASC received five SS and two TRP applications. Public Works staff will conduct completeness checks on the received applications brought to the WASC.

5) Discussion

a) Ex Parte Communications Disclosure

This item was skipped.

b) Watershed Planning Workshop

i. Introduction to Watershed Planning

SCW Program Watershed Planning staff within Public Works presented an overview of Watershed Planning. Presentation slides can be found on the [SCW Program website](#).

Upon inquiry, SCW Program Watershed Planning staff clarified that the Watershed Planning effort is led by SCW Program Watershed Planning staff within Public Works, with support from a team of consultants.

Chair Glickfeld confirmed with SCW Program Watershed Planning staff that population indicators are not demographic indicators but are targets within the SCW Program Watershed Planning process.

Vice-Chair Forte noted that the Watershed Plans being developed need to be in alignment with Watershed Management Plans already approved by various cities in the region. Vice-Chair Forte explained that even if Watershed Plans do not identify specific projects, the population indicators will drive project scopes. SCW Program Watershed Planning staff clarified that the Watershed Plans are not meant to conflict with existing plans and that plans are in place as part of the Watershed Planning effort to engage municipalities and other interested parties.

Chair Glickfeld clarified with SCW Program Watershed Planning staff that Watershed Plans will be available for the WASC to use during Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP) deliberations to see how well a potential project aligns with the watershed plan priorities.

ii. WASC Feedback on existing plans and datasets for Watershed Planning

SCW Program Watershed Planning staff solicited feedback from Committee Members on additional datasets or plans that should be considered for the Watershed Planning effort. SCW Program Watershed Planning staff also noted that additional input can be submitted using the [Watershed Planning Post-Workshop Input Form](#).

Member Nissman noted that the Malibu Creek Watershed Management Plan is a living document and includes the 30 largest subwatersheds in the area and should be considered in Watershed Planning effort.

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Vice-Chair Forte noted that the Malibu Creek Watershed Nutrient Study should be considered, as well any initial findings from the SCW Program's Regional Pathogen Reduction Scientific Study.

Member Nissman shared that the Topanga Source ID Study (conducted from 2012-2014) should be considered, as the study was accepted by the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board.

Chair Glickfeld expressed desires to see data gaps within Watershed Planning identified and cited monitoring data as a limitation related to water quality.

SCW Program Watershed Planning staff also noted that performance measures were developed as a result of the Metrics and Monitoring Study (MMS). A recording of the MMS information session can be found on the [SCW Program website](#).

Chair Glickfeld clarified with SCW Program Watershed Planning staff that the performance measures are program level, but targets are set for each Watershed Area. Watershed Planning tools will be created that link to the SIP tool to visualize how a project would fulfill different performance measures.

iii. SCWP Goals & Strategies Workshop

Mike Antos and Hayat Rasul (Stantec, Watershed Planning) facilitated a workshop that revisited SCW Program goals and solicited recommended strategies that are important to Committee Members and the Watershed Area.

The Committee reviewed the 14 SCW Program Goals from the Program Ordinance and Members reflected on which of the goals should have greater emphasis for the NSMB Watershed Area.

Chair Glickfeld commented that the SCW Program's Regional Program project criteria does not encourage neighborhood-scale projects. Chair Glickfeld asserted that there are ambitious goals for capturing water, which is difficult to implement on a neighborhood scale.

Member Nissman expressed agreement and noted the expansive reach of the 14 SCW Program goals, which are broader than the three goals of improving water quality, increasing water supply, and providing Community Investment Benefits. Member Nissman highlighted additional opportunities for the SCW Program to improve public health in relation to visitors that come to NSMB Watershed Area's beaches and mountains.

Several Committee Members noted that the goal to recharge groundwater basins is not possible in this Watershed Area, as there are no usable, efficient recharge opportunities available.

The Committee also discussed the SCW Program's policies for making investments to Disadvantaged Communities and how this Watershed Area does not have any populations that fall under the SCW Program's Disadvantaged Communities definition. A project does not receive additional points for simply meeting the Disadvantaged Community Benefit criteria, but the Community Investment Benefits category does consider that designation. Since there are no Disadvantaged Communities in this Watershed Area, projects in this Watershed Area are often unable to receive full Community Investment Benefit points. Committee Members discussed that there was a study conducted by Los Angeles County's Department of Beaches and Harbor that designated Zuma Beach as a disadvantaged beach area, given the visiting population.

Committee Members also discussed the goal of prioritizing nature-based solutions, and how projects that expand natural habitat are not always considered nature-based solutions. Antos explained that nature-based solutions are not limited to efforts of adding nature to an area that is absent of nature, but also of using nature-based processes to combat a particular issue, such as water quality or extreme heat.

Watershed Coordinator Watts noted that the SCW Program Ordinance stipulated that SCW Program funds could be used for open space acquisition to prevent water quality issues but commented that there is yet

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



to be a mechanism within the Regional Program to acquire open space. Antos noted that there are opportunities within a municipalities' return funds to be used for acquisition.

Committee Members and members of the public participated in a workshop activity to identify priority strategies that should be used by projects and studies in the NSMB Watershed Area to achieve SCW Program goals in the next five years. Committee Members and members of the public individually brainstormed strategies and wrote them on the notecards provided.

Antos and Rasul read the strategies submitted by Committee Members and facilitated a discussion on categorizing the strategies into relevant groupings.

The final categories identified during the workshop were:

- a) Nature-based Solutions
- b) Water Quality Regulatory Compliance
- c) Small-scale Stormwater Projects Everywhere
- d) Water Storage and Reuse
- e) Leveraging Funding
- f) Partnerships to Amplify Benefits and Project Eligibility
- g) SCW Program Specific Strategies

Discussion regarding each grouping is captured below.

a) Nature-based Solutions

Strategies included: use creek and wetland restoration to improve water quality, including keeping water in stream as an increase in water supply; dredging lakes to increase water storage during storms and better management to prevent anoxic conditions; native planting; water quality enhancements; count nature-based water supply benefits as water supply points; distributed bioswales in green streets; bioswales for water quality improvements by reducing pollutants at sources prior to entering the vast area of protected lands; focus on urbanized area of watershed; prioritization of native plants indigenous to the region; treat and release to attenuate flows; open space; flow-through bioswales to the lagoon and beaches.

Committee Members discussed difficulties with open space acquisition. Several Committee Members also noted that the NSMB Watershed Area has a large number of existing, privatized lakes that have sediment build up. Because of the sediment build up, the depth of the lake is decreased and creates anoxic water that eventually flows to creeks during storm events. Financing dredging would be a way to prevent less damage downstream. Vice-Chair Forte also noted that the dredged material is often so nutrient-dense that storing dredged material is often expensive as well. Member Nissman recalled that the Woolsey Fire created a lot of debris in Malibu Lake and noted that understanding how toxins were eliminated in that scenario could be useful.

b) Water Quality Regulatory Compliance

Strategies included: dry weather diversion grounded in compliance, making progress towards municipal separate sewer system (MS4) compliance; harvest and reuse; and apply the Envision rating system to the project to determine a preliminary score.

The Committee discussed diversion to sanitary sewer during dry weather and wet weather events to receive Water Supply points, noting that LVMWD has been one of the first agencies to do so. However, the Committee noted that there are not identified ways to capture water outside of LVMWD's service area. Member Nissman confirmed with Member Johnson that the Paradise Cove Clean Ocean Project and Legacy Park in the City of Malibu are mainly treatment projects as opposed to storage projects. Member Craig Jones shared that LVMWD is interested in supporting diversion projects but noted that the Pure Water Advanced Water Purification Facility (AWPF) has competing interests, as wastewater is only built for gravity flows. At a small scale, stormwater diversion is acceptable, but LVMWD must message appropriately as a

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



water supplier. Antos commented that other sanitary agencies in the region have expressed concern over how much capacity is available and how to allocate capacity, as pure water efforts do include stormwater. Antos also noted that identifying best places to divert stormwater to the LVMWD AWPf has been a topic previously discussed in this WASC.

Member Nissman noted that in areas outside of LVMWD service areas, such as Malibu or Topanga communities in Los Angeles County's Waterworks District 29, potable water is used to irrigate. Therefore, many residents use unpermitted greywater systems since there are strict requirements to receive a permit for greywater systems. Member Nissman suggested a water supply strategy to create a mechanism to divert household water to be used as irrigation instead of being sent to the septic systems. Antos clarified that points for Water Supply would only be possible if the irrigation was classified as dry weather flows; and that simply reusing potable water that comes from water agencies would not qualify for Water Supply credits within the SCW Program. Watershed Coordinator Watts noted that overspray of irrigation can be counted as dry weather flows, and strategies to increase water supply would look like educating residents on how to conserve water, diminishing dry weather flow. Community members need education on how the concepts of conservation and reuse are related.

Member Jones commented that nature-based solutions are encouraged within the SCW Program, but solutions are often too small to receive a passing score from the Scoring Criteria. Member Jones explained that the collective efforts of small-scale projects often work together for a larger benefit.

c) Small-scale Stormwater Projects Everywhere

Strategies included: creating a program of small-scale storage facilities in neighborhoods; small scale stormwater capture stored in man-made structures at a neighborhood scale for landscape, irrigation, and fire supply; identify non-permeable surfaces like parking facilities and turning into permeable; replacing non-permeable surfaces with permeable; funding small-scale and large-scale cisterns and stormwater capture to treat and store water; simplify the SCW Program process for businesses to get funding for parking lot replacements, rain gardens, cisterns; permeable parking lots and water runoff from commercial roofs captured just on one project.

This topic was discussed with the next grouping.

d) Water Storage and Reuse

Strategies included: infiltration even if there is no Water Supply Benefit; implementation of green spaces with incorporated Best Management Practices (BMPs) (e.g., infiltration, bioswales, capture, and reuse) to improve public health and stormwater capture; OneWater and reusing greywater, wastewater in gardens, treatment systems, nature-based solutions; public health and water supply reuse benefits; greywater projects using potable water from sinks and showers; decrease potable use through waste analysis and using stormwater flows and greywater for irrigation to decrease dry weather flows.

The Committee discussed reservations of permeable pavement being built anywhere, as areas with clay soils would result in sidewalk upheaval and not infiltrate. These permeable pavement projects should be built towards the end of creeks, where better soil exists for infiltration. The Committee discussed that infiltration can be done as a water quality strategy, even if no points for Water Supply are awarded, and perhaps discussed that a minimum infiltration rate should govern whether infiltration can occur at a certain area. Some Committee Members noted that where infiltration is not useful, treat and release projects to attenuate flows should be considered.

Member Bruce Hamamoto noted that at Gates Canyon Park, Public Works built a cistern to infiltrate water during storm events. While this project did not receive water supply benefit from infiltration, there are incidental environmental benefits from infiltrating. The water captured in the cistern can be used for irrigation, which offsets demand.

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Committee Members noted that outside of the LVMWD service district, stormwater quality projects can be built in one location and be paired with a stormwater supply project elsewhere, such as in end of creek areas. However, those areas often do not have space for storage; a program of small-scale storage facilities upstream in the watershed would be beneficial.

Watershed Coordinator Watts noted that the dog park located near LVMWD, Calabasas Bark Park, has a rain garden that turns into a vernal pool during storm events and is an example of the ecological benefits that come from infiltration. Treating and releasing all the stormwater could have negative impacts to the ecosystem.

e) Partnerships to Amplify Benefits and Project Eligibility

Strategies included: outlining benefits to the community to increase engagement; cost effective use of SCW Program funds; input from stakeholders by conducting stakeholder analyses and getting input from people of all demographic backgrounds; target projects in underprivileged areas as identified by Justice40 Initiative and in areas prone to water quality issues; education opportunities and events for community members (i.e., students, residents, college students, and businesses) and share tools and education programs to encourage BMPs around water; non-governmental organization partnerships; evaluate opportunities to partner with the Department of Toxic Substance Control for stream assessments and applications of remediation of contaminated sites to facilitate projects; police RVs that do not handle waste properly; commercial properties with large parking lots be funded to convert to permeable surfaces or rain gardens; coordinate with as many nearby projects to minimize community impact (e.g., road projects or utility replacements); partner projects with Water Quality Benefits in one area and strategically group with Water Supply Benefits into one project.

Member Nissman noted that, for SCW Program application purposes, there needs to be more partnerships between Water Quality and Water Supply projects. Watershed Coordinator Watts noted that Member David Pedersen had once suggested allowing Water Supply points to be awarded to projects that create water supply for natural benefits, as opposed to only anthropogenic water supply. Antos noted that water supply for nature was evaluated during MMS and resulted in a conclusion where most in-stream habitat benefits are accredited in the Water Quality category. Watershed Coordinator Watts noted that having those benefits in the Water Quality category does not help projects pass the 60-point eligibility threshold, since points need to be gained in the Water Supply category. Watershed Coordinator Watts noted that the new Public Education and Community Engagement Grants Program would enable this WASC to implement more projects.

Antos noted that some of the strategies mentioned in this grouping are already being considered and implemented as part of Watershed Planning effort. For example, such input from all demographic backgrounds will be solicited as part of a Community Strengths and Needs Assessment.

Vice-Chair Forte added that, while no beach communities in this Watershed Area are identified as underprivileged, many residents across the County use the beaches in the Watershed Area for recreational purposes.

f) Leveraging Funding

Strategies included: match funding for nutrients and fire-water nexus; projects should engage AWPf and vice versa; create sub-funding categories for IP projects; develop partnerships for leveraging funding applications; funding LVMWD for the AWPf project as a sustainable source of potable water that improves the water quality of Malibu Creek; engage with the Los Angeles County Fire Department for stormwater storage during firefighting.

Chair Glickfeld suggested that the fire departments should also capture stormwater for firefighting purposes. Member Nissman noted that the 69 Bravo Helistop has a large amount of storage installed for firefighting purposes.

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Vice-Chair Forte noted that this Watershed Area experiences difficulties in securing other grant funding because of the lack of Disadvantaged Communities in the Watershed Area. Vice-Chair Forte shared that, typically, the only grants available are regional grants from Los Angeles County or statewide earmarked funds. Vice-Chair Forte asserted that the SCW Program leverage funding policy needs to have distinctions, since leveraging funding may look different for each Watershed Area. For example, leveraging funds for the NSMB Watershed Area may mean involving a partner that can contribute match funds, rather than including grant funding as leveraged funds.

g) SCW Program Specific Strategies

Strategies included: earmarked funding for ongoing maintenance in the SIP and to allow projects that are incapable of replenishing groundwater be exempt from such criteria.

6) Public Comment Period

No public comment cards were received by Public Works staff prior to the meeting. In person and virtual attendees were invited to provide comment.

Debbie Sharkman commented that a majority of the landowners in this Watershed Area are public park agencies and noted the lack of representation of park agencies on this WASC. Chair Glickfeld noted that there was a Committee Member representing the Santa Monica Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority, but that no replacement has been identified since their resignation. Chair Glickfeld noted that park agencies and associated programs are invited to these meetings, as a lot of the projects affect the mountains and beaches. There are also water quality requirements that park agencies must comply with, and therefore park agencies may be less incentivized to participate in the SCW Program.

Bill Tucker (Owner, Whizin Market Square) noted that the Whizin Market Square has a 10-acre parking lot that would benefit from converting the parking lot to permeable pavement or creating rain gardens. Watershed Coordinator Watts will connect with Tucker to discuss how funds can be used to create a project at Whizin Market Square.

7) Voting Items

a) Vote to select of Chair and Vice-Chair

Nominations were received to select Jessica Forte as Chair and Roxanne Hughes as Vice-Chair. Member Nissman made a motion to select Jessica Forte as Chair and Roxanne Hughes as Vice-Chair for the NSMB WASC, seconded by Member Jones. The motion was approved, with 12 votes in favor, and 1 in abstention (approved, see vote tracker sheet).

8) Items for Next Agenda

Public Works staff noted that the next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, September 12, 1:00 pm – 3:00 pm and will be held in person at the Las Virgenes Metropolitan Water District Conference Room. Items on the next agenda include:

- a) Regional Program Quarterly Report Summary for FY23-24 Q1 and Q2

9) Adjournment

Chair Glickfeld thanked the WASC Members and the public for their attendance and participation and adjourned the meeting.

NORTH SANTA MONICA BAY WASC MEETING - August 8, 2024

		Quorum Present				Voting Items	
Member Type	Organization	Member	Voting?	Alternate	Voting?	Vote to Approve the June 11, 2024 WASC Meeting Minutes	Vote to select Jessica Forte as Chair and Roxanne Hughes as Vice-Chair for the NSMB WASC
Agency	LACFCD	Marcela Benavides-Aguilar					
Agency	LAC Waterworks District	William Johnson	x			y	y
Agency	Vacant	Vacant					
Agency	LVMWD	David Pedersen		Craig Jones	x	y	y
Agency	LVMWD	David Pedersen		Craig Jones	x	y	y
Community Stakeholder	Resident	Madelyn Glickfeld	x	Richard Ambrose		y	y
Community Stakeholder	PSOMAS	Andrew Nickerson	x			y	y
Community Stakeholder	Resident	Susan Nissman	x			y	y
Community Stakeholder	Wishtoyo Chumash Foundation Ventura County	Tevin Schmitt	x			a	y
Community Stakeholder	Resident	Jacy Shillan	x			y	y
Municipal Members	Agoura Hills	Jessica Forte	x	Kelly Fisher		y	a
Municipal Members	Calabasas	Tatiana Holden	x	Melanis Stepanian		y	y
Municipal Members	Hidden Hills	Joe Bellomo	x			y	y
Municipal Members	LAC Supervisor District 3	Aaron Ordower		Rachel Fox			
Municipal Members	LAC Public Works	Bruce Hamamoto	x	Allen Ma		y	y
Municipal Members	Malibu	Mark Johnson	x	Kiernan Brtalik		y	y
Municipal Members	Westlake Village	Roxanne Hughes		Josephine Wilson			
Watershed Coordinator	Melina S. Watts Consulting, LLC	Melina Watts					
Total Non-Vacant Seats		17			Yay (Y)	12	12
Total Voting Members Present		13			Nay (N)	0	0
Agency		3			Abstain (A)	1	1
Community Stakeholder		5			Total	13	13
Municipal Members		5				Approved	Approved

- Other Attendees**
- Allen Ma
 - Brenda Ponton
 - Brenda Rojas
 - Bryant Alvarado
 - Charlie Wyatt
 - Craig Doverstein
 - Daniel Aquino
 - Debbie Sharpton
 - Emily Ng
 - Gavin Tesser
 - Hannah Daum
 - Haris Harouny
 - Jason Jade Pepito
 - Jenny Chau
 - JonPaul Sarro
 - Josephine Wilson
 - Kayla Urbina
 - Kelly Fisher
 - Mary Kohav
 - Matilde Saucedo
 - Mayra Martinez
 - Melania Gaboyan
 - Pablo Forni



Safe, Clean Water Program Watershed Area Steering Committee North Santa Monica Bay

Date Thursday, August 8, 2024
Time 1:00 PM – 3:00 PM
Location Las Virgenes Municipal Water District Conference Room - 4232 Las Virgenes Rd Calabasas, CA 91302
WebEx Hybrid Meeting – See below or [SCW website](#) for WebEx Meeting details

WebEx Meeting Details

Committee members are expected to attend in-person at the address listed above. Members of the public may participate by joining the WebEx Event Meeting below. Please refer to the [Video Conferencing Guidelines](#) available on the Safe, Clean Water Program website for additional information.

Join via WebEx Events (recommended)

Event number: 2481 318 9650

Password: scwp (7297 from phones and video systems)

<https://lacountydpw.webex.com/lacountydpw/j.php?MTID=mc0defd8d22c897152ebfcdafdaf6ec9f>

Join by phone

+1-408-418-9388 United States Toll or

+1-213-306-3065 United States Toll (Los Angeles)

Access Code: 2481 037 5685

Public Comment

Phone participants and the public are encouraged to submit public comments (or a request to make a public comment) to SafeCleanWaterLA@pw.lacounty.gov. All public comments will become part of the official record.

Please complete the [Comment Card Form](#) available on the Safe, Clean Water website and email to SafeCleanWaterLA@pw.lacounty.gov by at least 5:00pm the day prior to the meeting.

Para solicitudes de comentarios públicos en español, envíe un correo electrónico a SafeCleanWaterLA@pw.lacounty.gov o lláme al (833) 275-7297 dos días antes de la reunión para asegurarse de que haya un traductor presente para transmitir el comentario para consideración del comité.

Requests for accommodations may be made to SafeCleanWaterLA@pw.lacounty.gov or by telephone to 833-ASK-SCWP at least three working days in advance of the meeting.

Supporting documentation will be available on the Safe, Clean Water website at www.safecleanwaterla.org.



Safe, Clean Water Program Initial Watershed Planning Workshop #1 *Facilitated by LA County Public Works*

Agenda:

- 1) Welcome and Introductions
- 2) Approval of Meeting Minutes from July 11, 2024
- 3) Committee Member Updates
- 4) Program Updates
- 5) Discussion
 - a) Ex Parte Communications Disclosure
 - b) Watershed Planning Workshop
 - i. Introduction to Watershed Planning
 - ii. WASC Feedback on existing plans and datasets for Watershed Planning
 - iii. SCWP Goals & Strategies Workshop
- 6) Public Comment Period
- 7) Voting Items
 - a) Vote to select Chair and Vice Chair
- 8) Items for Next Agenda
 - a) Regional Program Quarterly Report Summary for FY23-24 Q1 and Q2
- 9) Adjournment

Next Meeting: September 12, 2024 (TBD)
1:00pm – 3:00pm
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District Conference Room
4232 Las Virgenes Rd Calabasas, CA 91302

Requests for accommodations may be made to SafeCleanWaterLA@pw.lacounty.gov or by telephone to 833-ASK-SCWP at least three working days in advance of the meeting.

Supporting documentation will be available on the Safe, Clean Water website at www.safecleanwaterla.org.