North Santa Monica Bay

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC)



Meeting Minutes

Thursday, April 10, 2025 12:00pm - 3:00pm Las Virgenes Municipal Water District Conference Room 4232 Las Virgenes Rd, Calabasas, CA 91302 WebEx Meeting

Committee Members Present:

Marcela Benavides-Aguilar, Los Angeles County Flood Control District (Agency) William Johnson, Los Angeles County Waterworks (Agency) *Craig Jones, Las Virgenes Municipal Water District (Agency) Jessica Forte, Las Virgenes Municipal Water District (Agency), Chair Madelyn Glickfeld, Resident (Community) Andrew Nickerson, PSOMAS (Community) Susan Nissman, Resident (Community) Tevin Schmitt, Wishtoyo Chumash Foundation Ventura County Jacy Shillan, Resident (Community) Charmaine Yambao, Agoura Hills (Municipal) Curtis Castle, Calabasas (Municipal) Kelsey Reed, Hidden Hills (Municipal) Bruce Hamamoto, Los Angeles County (Municipal) *Ewelina Mutkowska, Malibu (Municipal) Roxanne Hughes, Westlake Village (Municipal), Vice-Chair Melina Sempill Watts, Melina Sempill Watts Inc. (Watershed Coordinator, non-voting member)

<u>Committee Members Absent:</u> Brian Baldauf, Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority (Agency) Aaron Ordower, LAC Supervisor District 3 (Municipal)

*Committee Member Alternate

See attached sign-in sheet for full list of attendees.

1) Welcome and Introduction

Jessica Forte, Chair of the NSMB Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC), welcomed Committee Members and called the meeting to order. Public Works staff facilitated the roll call of Committee Members. Committee Members made self-introductions and a quorum was established. Public Works staff provided a brief WebEx tutorial for meeting participants joining online.

2) Approval of Meeting Minutes from February 25, 2025 & March 13, 2025

Chair Forte presented the minutes from the February 25, 2025 and March 13, 2025 meeting. Chair Forte motioned to approve the minutes, seconded by Member Susan Nissman. The WASC voted to approve the February 25, 2025 and March 13, 2025 meeting minutes with 10 votes in favor, 3 in abstention, 0 opposed, and 2 absent at the time of the vote (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

3) Ex Parte Communication Disclosures



Meeting Minutes

Chair Forte disclosed discussions with Member Madelyn Glickfeld regarding meeting quorum and the SCW Program budgeting timeframe of five years. Chair Forte also had conversations with Vice-Chair Roxanne Hughes, Watershed Coordinator Melina Watts, and Public Works staff discussing limitations caused by requirements to have no greater than 5% of the five year budget for Scientific Studies (SS).

Chair Forte also spoke with Member Charmaine Yambao and Vice-Chair Hughes regarding the North Santa Monica Bay Dry Weather Storm Drain Diversions SS (hereafter referred to as the Storm Drain Diversions SS), as their respective agencies would be key stakeholders.

Vice-Chair Hughes disclosed conversations with Chair Forte and mentioned additional conversations with Member Glickfeld regarding the Storm Drain Diversion SS goals.

Member Nissman disclosed a conversation with Los Angeles County Supervisor Lindsey Horvath, conceptually discussing opportunities for water related improvement efforts.

Member Kelsey Reed disclosed discussions with the Malibu Creek Watershed Group as they created a comment letter in support of the Bacteria Source Identification and Implementation Study (hereafter referred to as the Bacteria SS). Member Reed also disclosed developing a matrix to compare the SSs being presented during SIP deliberations. This matrix was shared with Chair Forte, Vice-Chair Hughes, and Member Bruce Hamamoto.

Chair Forte disclosed discussions about all the SSs being deliberated within the NSMB WASC, connecting with the other Las Virgenes Municipal Water District staff, including Member Craig Jones, David Pedersen, and Jeremy Wolf.

Member Tevin Schmitt disclosed a conversation with Chair Forte regarding the Bacteria SS.

Member Yambao disclosed participation in a Public Works sub-committee regarding budget cycles.

4) Public Comment Period

Public comment cards were submitted and can be found on the <u>SCW Program website</u>.

Richard Watson, Consultant to the Los Cerritos Watershed Group and Project Proponent for Regional Pathogen Reduction SS, noted that the Gateway Management Authority, the lead agency for the Pathogen Reduction SS, has released a Request for Proposals (RFP) to hire a manager to administer the SS. Watson shared that the SS will be launched in early summer or early fall.

On the direction of the Chair, a public comment card submitted in advance of the meeting from the Malibu Creek Watershed Group was read into the record by Mike Antos (Stantec, Regional Coordination).

- 5) Discussion Item
 - a) Regional Program Quarterly Report Summary for FY23-24 Q3 and Q4

Antos presented the Regional Program Quarterly Report for Fiscal Year 2023 – 2024 (FY23-24) Quarter 3 (Q3) and Q4, including reviewing Reporting requirements, the Reporting repository, timeline and project deadlines, the Metrics & Measures Tool, and overall WASC roles and responsibilities. Public Works staff presented a reporting overview of Q3 and Q4 of FY 23-24. Presentation slides can be found on the <u>SCW</u> <u>Program website</u>.

The Committee Members reviewed the status of several projects: the Cornell - Mulholland Highway Green Improvement Project, Liberty Canyon Road Green Improvement, Viewridge Road Stormwater Improvements Project, and the Regional Pathogen Reduction SS. All the IP projects are experiencing delays. Upon discussion of how funding works, it was specified that although some projects have been



Meeting Minutes

awarded funds, the process of actually receiving those funds involves administrative steps and Transfer Agreements that can take two to three years, depending on project documentation, and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. Funds are not immediately available upon allocation, as additional steps are required for both Applicants and Public Works. Project Developers have up to five years to spend disbursed funds, which can result in some funds being held while additional funding sources are gathered.

Member Nissman inquired about whether the allocated amounts remain the same over time and whether interest is accrued. It was clarified that as part of the transfer agreement, funds must be held in interestbearing accounts. However, in the case of the Viewridge Road Stormwater Improvements Project (hereafter referred to as the Viewridge Project), no interest has accrued. Public Works staff added that tax revenue collection affects timing, with the earliest dispersal possible in November. Once funds are dispersed, Project Developers may use them for project activities.

Member Nissman also asked whether homes in Malibu affected by fire disasters have received property tax exemptions or reductions and what the impact has been. Public Works noted no changes in expected revenue and highlighted that existing low-income support programs are in place.

Upon inquiry, Public Works invited the Project proponents for the three projects with schedule delays, including Cornell-Mulholland Highway Green Improvement Project, Liberty Canyon Road Green Improvement Project (hereafter referred to as the "Liberty Canyon Project"), and the Viewridge Project, to explain the delays. Alberto Grajeda, Project Manager for all three projects, shared some insight to the project status and reasoning for scheduling delays. Specifically speaking of the Liberty Canyon Project, it was confirmed that funds were being provided for design, and the intent is for project proponents to return back to the WASC for construction funding once the current design is close to completion.

Upon discussion, WASC members inquired if there would be additional costs to the design contract associated with the scheduling delays of the Liberty Canyon Project that may be asked of the NSMB WASC to cover. Grajeda confirmed that project proponents will not returning back to the WASC for additional Design Funds for the Liberty Canyon Project. Grajeda mentioned that that the current status of the Liberty Canyon Project is that the proponents have completed their project concept report, and it will soon be entering the pre-design phase, where last minute details will be identified for the project concept before entering the design phase, which is expected soon.

Member Jones inquired about design progress moving forward, including if a 30-60-90% completion status would be shared, to which Grajeda confirmed that it's common practice in LA County to have these phases, including a 30% design phase, which is the project concept report as discussed. After that, moving into 60%, 90%, and finally 100%. The schedules for the 30-60-90% design and final deliverables for the Liberty Canyon Project are unknown since they are all pending the final approval of the project concept report.

Member Yambao requested a draft of the project concept report. Member Hamamoto commented that while the full Project Application has been shared at community meetings, the concept report is an internal document and design plans are not made public before the bidding process but welcomed direct discussions with cities that may have an interest in the project, like City of Agoura Hills.

Upon inquiry of the potential total budget for all the projects being discussed, Member Hamamoto stated the project would be in the millions and is constantly changing through the refinement of the design, which exceeds the WASC's monetary capacity. However, Member Hamamoto commented that the County is committed to being reasonable in funding requests.

Member Glickfeld expressed frustration over the slow pace of the process, noting that Project Applicants are putting in energy to move forward but are met with delays. Chair Forte explained that while WASC

SAFE CLEAN WATER

Meeting Minutes

agreements contain timelines, delays are often caused by external limitations rather than a lack of progress on the projects themselves.

b) North Santa Monica Bay (NSMB) Project Prioritization and Selection Discussion for populating the FY25-26 Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP)

Antos presented an overview on the NSMB Project Prioritization and Selection Discussion for populating the FY25-26 SIP. The Committee Member initial Project ranking results were also presented. Antos highlighted that the WASC has several tools and resources to aid in SIP deliberations, including the SIP tool, meeting minutes, presentation slides, applications, SS peer review, and Project Modification Requests (PMRs). Antos noted that these resources are compiled in the Summary of Resources document on the <u>SCW Program website</u> and shared with WASC before the meeting. Project Applicants, PMR Applicants, and continuing Project Developers are invited to answer questions if needed. Based on the available information, WASC priorities, and funding, the WASC can: (1) program newly submitted concepts and studies, (2) program some or no additional funding requests per PMR, (3) reallocate annual funding projections, and/or (4) remove projects that do not meet SCW Program goals.

Public Works staff reviewed the saved scenarios in the SIP Tool, highlighting all considered projects, including ongoing IP/SS/Technical Resource Program (TRP) efforts and new Applications. In previous SIP cycles, projected construction cost scenarios were created for design-only projects to aid in long-term planning. For the FY25-26 SIP Tool, a long-term scenario was updated to incorporate all considered projects, assumed construction costs for design-only, annual inflation adjustments, and a 50% leveraged funding assumption. This assumption reflects an expectation that IP projects will secure leveraged funding for half of construction and inflation costs, effectively reducing project costs in the SIP Tool. These updates aim to assist WASCs in setting realistic targets for Initial Watershed Plans.

As discussions of the scenarios continued, it was clarified by Public Works staff that, per the Los Angeles County Flood Control District Code Chapter 18, SIPs must operate on a five-year rolling basis. Antos highlighted that earmarks for future years influence long-term planning. Once a SIP is approved by the Board of Supervisors, Public Works staff coordinate with Project Developers for future budgeting. Upon inquiry, Member Hamamoto inquired if a TRP were to be funded for one year, if the funding would need to be disbursed upfront so work could begin without delay. Public Works confirmed, also noting that while the development of the TRP concept's feasibility study may span three to four years, the Technical Assistance Team could begin development immediately and potentially submit their work to be considered for IP funding in future years. Member Glickfeld and Member Marcela Benavides-Aguilar emphasized the five-year evaluation of SIPs, with Member Benavides-Aguilar noting that 5% over five years amounts to \$447,500.

When asked about the amount available for the WASC to program into Scientific Studies, Public Works staff stated that there was approximately \$405,688 available over five years. To support WASC deliberation, Public Works staff asked Project Proponents prior to the meeting if there was any potential for a reduction in project cost, to which the Storm Drain Diversions SS stated that a \$37,000 reduction was possible and the Bacteria SS was able to handle a \$45,000 reduction, in both cases allowing each project to fit within the 5% of SIP funding being allocated to SS.

The WASC discussed whether all proposed projects could be funded. The Prioritization and Development of Parking Lot Retrofit Opportunities in NSMB TRP (hereafter referred to as the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP) was identified as feasible by Committee Members. Member Nickerson confirmed with Public Works staff that project scopes cannot immediately be reduced, but can be considered in the future. Antos mentioned that Project Proponents can be asked to deliver the same scope with a partial amount of funding, but Project Proponents are committed to the scope originally submitted to the WASC.



Meeting Minutes

Member Ewelina Mutkowska proposed including pathogens and human markers in the Storm Drain Diversions SS for dry weather flows and outfalls. Chair Forte emphasized opportunities for any municipalities to use Municipal funds to start sampling and monitoring programs and noted that adding human bacteria markers to the Storm Drain Diversions SS scope would require a project modification or resubmittal of the Project Application. Member Glickfeld advocated maximizing research outcomes as much as possible for the SS and encouraged collaboration with projects that may overlap in scope. Member Reed added that the larger Regional Pathogen Reduction SS offers more widespread benefits, while the Storm Drain Diversions SS is looking at the NSMB waterways specifically. Member Glickfeld commented that funding limits and the lack of scientific data hinders progress, with \$7.2 million currently not being used. Member Hamamoto echoed concerns about delays and budgeting, including the Regional Pathogen Reduction SS delay, but acknowledged progress with the RFP being put out for the SS. Member Hamamoto did anticipate the Regional Pathogen Reduction SS would be looking for human markers and highlighted that collaboration on existing studies should be the starting point. Member Nissman also commented on the importance of ensuring complementary studies. Vice-Chair Hughes emphasized directing limited SS funds to impactful pathogen and ground-level research. The WASC decided that the Storm Drain Diversions SS was considered the highest priority among SS options.

Chair Forte asked whether the Bacteria SS could be resubmitted as a TRP. Member Glickfeld supported this, recognizing the project's broader benefits. Member Glickfeld reiterated the importance of scientific information to support IP projects. Member Schmitt noted that SSs may examine the same pollutant but differ in scope. Chris Minton (Larry Walker Associates, Project Proponent) clarified the distinction between regional and localized studies, explaining that the Bacteria SS would pinpoint specific project areas. Member Schmitt asked if the Regional Board staff had contributed input and whether certain human indicators like HF183 had been considered.

Minton noted the SS's focus was not on compliance but on understanding resource allocation and noted that due to limited funding, prioritization is critical. The SS scope relates to urban runoff. Vice-Chair Hughes stated that the goal is compliance through smart, impactful projects and asked if the Bacteria SS could be reframed as a TRP. Minton highlighted the distinction between a source study and a diversion study, and Chair Forte added that the diversion study helps determine if diverted water impacts local creeks. Member Nissman commented that both constituent identification and diversion studies should occur simultaneously.

Upon discussion of potential outcomes of the TRP, Member Benavides-Aguilar and Member Castle clarified with Antos that PMRs for TRPs are not typical. Member Castle asked whether projects that reach the design or construction phase, particularly those that involve permitting and public water infrastructure, could receive points in the Scoring Criteria used by the SCW Program. Public Works staff confirmed that projects diverting dry or wet weather flow to be recycled through sanitary systems count as providing a Water Supply benefit. Member Castle expressed support for the Storm Drain Diversion SS given its potential to contribute to IP projects with strong Water Supply benefits.

Member Benavides-Aguilar noted the WASC's focus on SSs and asked whether other projects were being excluded, and commented that top-ranked SSs, such as the Bacteria SS and the Storm Drain SS, are unaffordable. As a Flood Control District representative, Member Benavides-Aguilar emphasized feasibility and suggested funding the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP given funding limitations. Member Schmitt expressed support in prioritizing dry weather stormwater management. Member William Johnson expressed support for funding the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP and the Storm Drain Diversions SS. Member Jacy Shillan also favored the Storm Drain Diversion SS, expressing concern about budget constraints but supporting the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP if feasible.

Member Yambao expressed support for both the Bacteria SS and Storm Drain Diversions SS, citing future benefits and the City of Agoura Hills' experience with diversions. Member Yambao commented that the



Meeting Minutes

Bacteria SS is important but expressed a concern regarding the public-private funding for the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP. Member Curtis supported both the Bacteria SS and Storm Drain Diversions SS, but prioritized the Storm Drain Diversions SS due to quicker implementation potential, and expressed limited enthusiasm for the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP. Member Nickerson advised tightening budgets and scopes for project flexibility and expressed support for the educational potential of stormwater diversions, and supported the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP only if it would lead to a viable IP project.

Vice-Chair Hughes expressed the need to use funds designated for this WASC and emphasized the region's unique nature and expressed support to fund both the Bacteria SS and Storm Drain Diversion SS, and expressed limited support for the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP due to the region's existing Enhanced Watershed Management Plan (EWMP). Chair Forte noted the value of the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP in recognizing the EWMP's potential but expressed more support on the future SS and diversion investments. Member Glickfeld noted the direct benefits of the Storm Drain Diversions SS and the importance of determining diversion capacity in Las Virgenes and stated that the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP would be more worthwhile if the EWMP had identified viable sites.

Watershed Coordinator Watts commented that a feasibility study is needed before applying for an IP project and added that identifying a specific site like a parking lot involves extensive evaluation, which the TRP could streamline. Member Reed commented that other priority projects already exist and need attention. Member Glickfeld inquired if the EWMP includes project locations for feasibility studies. Member Jones stressed focusing on a single project for impact, favoring the Storm Drain Diversions SS for its multiple benefits and compliance potential. Member Jones expressed support for the concept of the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP but also expressed concerns about implementation.

Member Nissman expressed a desire to fund all projects but agreed that the Storm Drain Diversions SS seemed most effective. Member Nissman reflected on prior discussions with Las Virgenes Municipal Water District about the lack of suitable parking lot locations, as City requirements for new developments had to be met.

Member Mutkowska expressed that both the Bacteria SS and Storm Drain Diversion SS were of high priority, but favored the Storm Drain Diversions SS, and commented that the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP lacked clarity on implementation. Member Reed expressed prioritizing the Bacteria SS and also commented that the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP needed more detail.

Member Kelsey inquired about studying human markers for the Storm Drain Diversions SS and if Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) data would be leveraged, to which Chair Forte stated that human indicators would not be studied but CIMP data will be used. Chair Forte commented that one of the key benefits highlighted within the Storm Drain Diversions SS is the ability to identify which outfalls have the highest constituent loads and that once constructed, these sites would offer open access for various types of sampling and testing, particularly for bacteria.

Member Hamamoto expressed that the Storm Drain Diversions SS was a top choice and appreciated the effort to make it more affordable for the WASC. For the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP, Member Hamamoto noted that the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP would need to obtain permission from private owners before pursuing project development, and commented that even well-designed solutions cannot proceed if the property owner does not want the site disturbed. Member Hamamoto stated that WMPs and EWMPs are more focused on a regional solution rather than an individual lot solution and commented that parking lots could be viable for the TRP and future project efforts if they are well-positioned to manage off-site drainage areas, but Member Hamamoto commented that the WASC should not be funding projects addressing drainage that should instead be managed by a property owner themselves. If a suitable, regionally beneficial site, like a large parking lot, is identified and community interest exists, Member Hamamoto encourages a resubmission next year.



Meeting Minutes

6) Public Comment Period

Craig Doberstein (Resident, Parking Lot Retrofit TRP Project Applicant) provided context and clarification around the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP. Doberstein explained that the proposal was developed in response to previous discussions about the need for smaller projects and was intended to help initiate efforts while longer-term studies, such as the Storm Drain Diversions SS, are underway. The Parking Lot Retrofit TRP was designed to be flexible and provide funding opportunities for projects aligning with regional goals, whether sourced from existing City plans or private business owners. Doberstein explained that the intent was to support the development of smaller projects and ensure continued momentum.

Richard Watson (Consultant to the Los Cerritos Watershed Group, Regional Pathogen Reduction SS) responded to earlier discussion topics. Watson specified that the stakeholder committee for the Regional Pathogen Reduction SS is still in the process of refining the scope of work, to ensure input from participating agencies. Watson referred to a table in the proposal's appendix that outlines various types of constituents, emphasizing the use of current scientific methods to evaluate Water Quality. The Regional Pathogen Reduction SS aims to improve standards by analyzing constituents such as pathogenic bacteria, viral DNA/RNA, and human markers like HF183.

A member of the public who did not identify themselves expressed concern about the mention of tax revenues and commented on the lack of SSs that delay project advancement. They questioned the expectation that private property owners should manage stormwater independently despite already paying property taxes and expressed the need for clarity on the division of responsibilities and resource allocation for water quality improvements.

- 7) Voting Items
 - a) Approve the final Fiscal Year 25-26 Stormwater Investment Plan recommendations for the NSMB Watershed Area and submission to the Regional Oversight Committee (ROC) for review

Member Glickfeld commented that the Parking Retrofit TRP needs to specify a physical location in order to be successful, which takes time and resources to identify. Member Glickfeld suggested that potential locations could be found through the EWMP, allowing the Parking Lot Retrofit TRP to return to the WASC with a stronger proposal in the future.

Antos explained that the proposed scope could begin with support from the EWMP group, and that the Technical Assistance Team would be responsible for developing the initial technical scope of work. Public Works staff would assist by assigning a consultant to the TRP team. Antos noted that if the project is found to be infeasible, any unused funding would be returned to the SCW Program.

Member Nissman clarified with Antos that the original TRP Applicant would be welcome to participate in the feasibility study but would not be compensated. Member Reed confirmed with Antos that TRP funds are not given to the Applicant but instead go directly to the Technical Assistance Team. Upon discussion of what occurs to funded TRPs after the WASC includes them into the SIP, Public Works highlighted the development of the Technical Assistance Team as the next step of the project implementation process. Public Works staff explained that one of the first deliverables is a kickoff meeting, where all relevant parties, such as the Project Applicant, involved agencies, and Watershed Coordinators, are brought together. Watershed Coordinator Watts was mentioned as having participated in developing past TRPs. An early task in the TRP process is identifying a potential project location, which is essential before technical studies like geotechnical investigations can begin. Antos noted that there is a system in place to allocate the full budgeted amount or return unused funds. However, Antos noted there is not currently a mechanism to increase funding for an approved TRP, though doing so could be possible and would be a new approach.



Meeting Minutes

Chair Forte expressed concern about approving the WASC budget without reviewing the final scope of the TRP, since the TRP would not need to come back to the WASC for formal approval. It was clarified that all interested parties are included in the kickoff and can remain involved throughout. Member Benavides-Aguilar highlighted that main purpose of the TRP is to develop a feasibility study that can be submitted to the IP. Antos added that the process may also determine that a project is infeasible, either due to technical limitations or because the concept does not align with SCW Program criteria.

Member Benavides-Aguilar motioned to Include the North Santa Monica Bay Dry Weather Storm Drain Diversions with reduced funding request contingent on Partial Funding Concurrence Form along with Continuing Studies and Infrastructure Projects in the SIP, seconded by Chair Forte. The motion was approved, with 15 votes in favor, 0 in abstention, 0 opposed, and 0 absent at the time of the vote (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

Member Schmitt motioned to Include TRP "Prioritization and Development of Parking Lot Retrofit Opportunities in NSMB" with \$300k in funding, seconded by Member Nissman, with 11 votes in favor, 1 in abstention, 3 opposed, and 0 absent at the time of the vote (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

The WASC had a discussion regarding the budget for the Watershed Coordinator position, inquiring about the timeline of future allocation requests.

Vice-Chair Hughes motioned to Approve the Final Fiscal Year 2025-2026 Stormwater Investment Plan pending submittal of Funding Reduction Concurrence form from the applicant for North Santa Monica Bay Dry Weather Storm Drain Diversions for NSMB Watershed Area including Watershed Coordinator and approve submission to the ROC for review (funding scenario "Final – 4/10/2025"), seconded by Chair Forte with 15 votes in favor, 0 in abstention, 0 opposed, and 0 absent at the time of the vote (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

8) Items for Next Agenda

The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, May 8, 2025 from 1:00pm to 3:00pm.

9) Adjournment

Chair Forte thanked the WASC Members and the public for their attendance and participation and adjourned the meeting.

				NSMB	WASC - A	April 10, 2025			
		Quo	orum Prese	nt		Voting Items			
Member Type	Organization	Member	Voting?	Alternate	Voting?	Approve the February 25, 2025 and March 13, 2025 NSMB WASC Meeting Minutes	Include the North Santa Monica Bay Dry Weather Storm Drain Diversions with reduced funding request contingent on Partial Funding Concurrence Form along with Continuing Studies and Infrastructure Projects	Include TRP "Prioritization and Development of Parking Lot Retrofit Opportunities in NSMB" with \$300k in funding	Approve the Final Fiscal Year 2025-2026 Stormwater Investment Plan pending submittal of Funding Reduction Concurrence form from the applicant for North Santa Monica Bay Dry Weather Storm Drain Diversions for NSMB Watershed Area including Watershed Coordinator and approve submission to the ROC for review (funding scenario "Final - 4/10/2025")
Agency	LACFCD	Marcela Benavides-Aguilar	x	Daniel Bradbury		у	у	у	у
gency	LAC Waterworks District	William Johnson	х			у	У	У	у
lgency	MRCA	Brian Baldauf		Rebecca Correa					
gency	LVMWD	David Pedersen		Craig Jones	x	у	У	У	у
gency	LVMWD	Jessica Forte	х	Jeremy Wolf		у	У	а	у
mmunity Stakeholder	Resident	Madelyn Glickfeld	х	Richard Ambrose		absent at vote	У	У	у
mmunity Stakeholder	PSOMAS	Andrew Nickerson	х			у	У	У	у
mmunity Stakeholder	Resident	Susan Nissman	х			у	У	У	у
mmunity Stakeholder	Wishtoyo Chumash Foundation Ventura County	Tevin Schmitt	х	Natalia Cuellar		а	У	У	у
mmunity Stakeholder	Resident	Jacy Shillan	х			у	У	У	у
unicipal Members	Agoura Hills	Charmaine Yambao	х	Kelly Fisher		у	У	n	у
unicipal Members	Calabasas	Curtis Castle	х	Melanis Stepanian		absent at vote	У	У	у
unicipal Members	Hidden Hills	Kelsey Reed	х	Mayra Martinez		а	У	n	у
unicipal Members	LAC Supervisor District 3	Aaron Ordower		Rachel Fox					
unicipal Members	LAC Public Works	Bruce Hamamoto	х	Allen Ma		а	У	n	у
unicipal Members	Malibu	Kiernan Brtalik		Ewelina Mutkowska	х	у	у	у	y
unicipal Members	Westlake Village	Roxanne Hughes	х	Josephine Wilson		у	у	у	у
atershed Coordinator	Melina S. Watts Consulting, LLC	Melina Watts	х						
	Total Non-Vacant Seats	. 17			Yay (Y)	10	15	11	15
	Total Voting Members Present	15			Nay (N)	0	0	3	0
Agency		4			Abstain (A)	3	0	1	0
	Community Stakeholder	5			Total		15	15	15
	Municipal Members	6				Approved	Approved	Approved	Approved

Other Attendees Alberto Grajeda Anthony Barreras Brad Wardynski Bryan Igboke Call-in User_2 Call-in User_3 Call-in User_4 Call-in User_5 Call-in User_6 Carlos Preciado Chris Minton Christopher Tull, CaD Daniel A. Dusadee Corhiran Jenny Chau Jeremy Wolf Kelly Fisher Linet Khechatoorian Lisa (Jin) Kim Luis Perez SCW WP MB Mayra Martinez Melanis Stepanian Ci Mike Antos Regional NSMB WASC 1 NSMB WASC 2 RG Rachel Williams Rich Ambrose Richard Watson Roxanne Hughes Ruby Cornejo Ryan Parks LWA SCWP NSMB WASC SCWP NSMB WASC H john mendoza

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting COMMITTEE MEMBER AND ALTERNATE SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization		Signature
Marcela Benavides-Aguilar	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	Primary	and
Daniel Bradbury	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	Alternate	
Brian Baldauf	Mountains Recreation & Conservation Authority	Primary	
Rebecca Correa	Mountains Recreation & Conservation Authority	Alternate	
William Johnson	LA County Waterworks District	Primary	h
David Pedersen	Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	Primary	
Craig Jones	Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	Alternate	
Jessica Forte	Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	Primary	
Jeremy Wolf	Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	Alternate	
Madelyn Glickfeld	Resident	Primary	
Richard Ambrose	Resident	Primary	
Andrew Nickerson	Community Stakeholder	Primary	

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting COMMITTEE MEMBER AND ALTERNATE SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization		Signature
Susan Nissman	Resident	Primary	SCALISSZ
Tevin Schmitt	Wishtoyo Chumash Foundation Ventura County	Primary	J-dt
Natalia Cuellar	Wishtoyo Chumash Foundation Ventura County	Alternate	
Jacy Shillan	Resident	Primary	At-
Charmaine Yambao	Agoura Hills	Primary	
Kelly Fisher	Agoura Hills	Alternate	
Curtis Castle	Calabasas	Primary	
Melanis Stepanian	Calabasas	Alternate	
Kelsey Reed	Hidden Hills	Primary	XAM
Mayra Martinez	Hidden Hills	Alternate	
Aaron Ordower	LAC Supervisor District 3	Primary	
Rachel Fox	LAC Supervisor District 3	Alternate	

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting COMMITTEE MEMBER AND ALTERNATE SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization		Signature
Bruce Hamamoto	Los Angeles County	Pimary	BUT
Allen Ma	Los Angeles County	Alternate	
Kiernan Brtalik	Malibu	Primary	
Ewelina Mutkowska	Malibu	Alternate	Elliona
Roxanne Hughes	Westlake Village	Primary	Jak .
Josephine Wilson	Westlake Village	Alternate	
Melina Watts	Watershed Coordinator	Primary	NBU

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting PUBLIC SIGN-IN



First Name	Last Name	Municipality/Organization	Email Address
Melina	Watts	Scup	
Chair	Dober Fin	Henneng	
Bors	WOODWARD	Mb consulting	
Jacob Jensen	Lamport		
)ensen	Schmitt		
	-		