Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Thursday, March 13, 2025 1:00pm – 3:00pm Las Virgenes Municipal Water District Conference Room 4232 Las Virgenes Rd, Calabasas, CA 91302 WebEx Meeting

Committee Members Present:

Marcela Benavides-Aguilar, Los Angeles County Flood Control District (Agency)

Craig Jones, Las Virgenes Municipal Water District (Agency)

Jessica Forte, Las Virgenes Municipal Water District (Agency), Chair

Madelyn Glickfeld, Resident (Community)

Andrew Nickerson, PSOMAS (Community)

Susan Nissman, Resident (Community)

Tevin Schmitt, Wishtoyo Chumash Foundation Ventura County

Jacy Shillan, Resident (Community)

*Kelly Fisher, Agoura Hills (Municipal)

Tatiana Holden, Calabasas (Municipal)

Kelsey Reed, Hidden Hills (Municipal)

Aaron Ordower, LAC Supervisor District 3 (Municpal)

Bruce Hamamoto, Los Angeles County (Municipal)

*Ewelina Mutkowska, Malibu (Municipal)

Roxanne Hughes, Westlake Village (Municipal), Vice-Chair

Melina Sempill Watts, Melina Sempill Watts Inc. (Watershed Coordinator, non-voting member)

Committee Members Attending Virtually:

Brian Baldauf, Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority (Agency)

William Johnson, Los Angeles County Waterworks (Agency)

See attached sign-in sheet for full list of attendees.

1) Welcome and Introduction

Jessica Forte, Chair of the NSMB Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC), welcomed Committee Members and called the meeting to order. Public Works staff facilitated the roll call of Committee Members. Committee Members made self-introductions and a quorum was established. Public Works staff provided a brief WebEx tutorial for meeting participants joining online. Members Brian Baldauf and William Johnson joined the meeting virtually with just cause under AB 2449.

2) Approval of Meeting Minutes from February 13, 2025

Chair Forte presented the minutes from the February 13, 2025 meeting. Vice-Chair Roxanne Hughes motioned to approve the minutes, seconded by Member Craig Jones. The WASC voted to approve the February 13, 2025 meeting minutes with 13 votes in favor, 4 in abstention, 0 opposed, and 0 absent at the time of the vote (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

3) Committee Member & Program Updates

Public Works' Watershed Planning staff provided an update:

 Watershed Planning staff is continuing to assess the impacts of recent wildfires and explore how the findings can be integrated into the Initial Watershed Plans and/or future Adaptive Plans.

^{*}Committee Member Alternate

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



- Development of the Watershed Planning tool is ongoing. This tool will accompany the Initial Watershed Plans and serve to illustrate and track progress toward Watershed Area and programwide goals, targets, opportunities, and needs.
- The Community Strengths & Needs Assessment (CSNA) Dashboard has been launched and is now available on the Adaptive Management page of the SCW Program website.
- At the next WASC meeting, the Watershed Planning Team will give an in-person presentation on Watershed Planning efforts.
- The Draft Initial Watershed Plans will be available for review in late Summer 2025, followed by a 30-day public review period.
- In Fall 2025, the Initial Watershed Plans will be presented to each WASC and the Regional Oversight Committee (ROC). The final Initial Watershed Plans will be published in early 2026.
- The Watershed Planning Team expressed gratitude for the interest and participation in this effort and remains available to answer any questions via email at watershedplanning@pw.lacounty.gov.

Member Madelyn Glickfeld suggested addressing gaps in critical watershed data by leveraging existing projects and evaluating targets from other plans. Member Glickfeld commented that if County-wide targets are unavailable, forecasting should be used to determine projects.

Member Susan Nissman clarified with Public Works staff that fire impacts are being addressed in Watershed Planning by reviewing existing data to identify opportunity areas in regions at higher risk of wildfires. Public Works staff noted that this will be further explored in future meetings. Member Nissman clarified that the broader impacts of increased fire risk due to climate change, such as erosion, should be prioritized over immediate fire damage. Public Works staff acknowledged these concerns and noted that while fire-related issues may not be included in Initial Watershed Plans, fire impacts will be considered in future plans.

Mike Antos (Stantec, Regional Coordination) presented the CSNA Dashboard and explained how the tool will evolve as data is collected, with more updates to be provided in future Watershed Planning meetings.

Watershed Coordinator Melina Sempill Watts has shared the CSNA Dashboard with Committee Members and encouraged the WASC to review the Dashboard and its features.

Public Works staff noted that SCW Program updates would be deferred to the next meeting.

4) Ex Parte Communication Disclosures

Vice-Chair Hughes disclosed a discussion regarding the Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP) tool with Chair Forte and Public Works staff.

5) Watershed Coordinator Updates

Watershed Coordinator Watts presented an update on Watershed Coordinator activities in February, including community engagement efforts, identifying and developing project concepts, working with Technical Assistance Teams, facilitating the identification and representation of community priorities, integrating priorities through partnerships, potential cost share partnerships, pursuing outside funding, and local stakeholder education. Presentation slides are available on the SCW Program website.

Watershed Coordinator Watts discussed the Fire and Water: Water Quality event with the WASC. Member Tevin Schmitt proposed a two-phase approach to first meet with technical staff and then conduct a public meeting. It was mentioned that the event could be open to all WASCs and Public Works staff to ensure all relevant parties are involved. The Committee decided to discuss this event at a future meeting as a separate Agenda Item.

6) Discussion Item

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



a) Project Modification Request (PMR) Overview for WASC Presentation

Antos provided an overview of the PMRs. Presentation slides can be found on the <u>SCW Program website</u>. The NSMB WASC received two PMRs for consideration. The PMR guidelines were posted to the WASC webpage and shared with the WASC members prior to the meeting.

The two submitted PMRs included the Liberty Canyon Road Green Improvement Project and the Viewridge Road Stormwater Improvements Project; both expressed a schedule change/delay. Public Works staff reviewed the proposed modifications for both projects and determined that the PMRs were consistent with the SIPs.

Watershed Coordinator Watts noted that the Viewridge Road Stormwater Improvements Project (hereafter to referred to as the Viewridge Project) is not within the footprint of the Palisades Fire but is nearby. The Viewridge Project was one of the first projects approved in this WASC, with the Liberty Canyon Road Green Improvement Project approved soon after. Committee Members expressed the need to avoid holding onto funds indefinitely if the project is not progressing and suggested that if further delays are necessary, the WASC should discuss how to ensure funds do not sit idle.

The Viewridge Project is funded by a variety of sources, including SCW Program funds, Integrated Regional Water Management funds, and Los Angeles County's General Fund. The WASC requested a breakdown of this funding to better understand funding allocations before considering additional delays or funding.

The WASC discussed ongoing cost overruns, noting that projects often come back with requests for additional funds due to underestimated bids or unforeseen increases in costs. Antos explained that while some guidance had been provided, cost overruns are mainly addressed through the PMR process. The WASC expressed concern about delays that lead to projects being bid multiple times.

Member Nissman inquired about potential reductions of the parcel taxes funding the SCW Program due to the wildfires. Member Ordower explained that reassessment is possible for structures that were damaged or destroyed, but that there is no immediate expectation of a significant drop in revenue.

Member Baldauf expressed concerns about how new projects struggle to gain funding due to the priority given to PMRs and suggested Public Works staff investigate how to prioritize new projects, especially smaller ones. The WASC also discussed how projects struggle to obtain additional funding once the SIP process has begun, particularly if the projects encounter cost overruns or changes in scope. Several Committee Members commented that more flexibility in the Project Application review process could help expedite project approvals.

Antos mentioned that a presentation on SIP Programming Guidelines will be presented at the next meeting that addresses some of these topics.

Committee Members recognized the unique challenges faced by the NSMB WASC, especially given its limited resources compared to other Watershed Areas. Despite these challenges, Committee Members expressed a shared commitment to ensuring that at least one project moves forward.

b) Fiscal Year 2025-2026 (FY25-26) Scientific Studies (SS) Peer Review Summary

Chair Forte noted that the SS Peer Review Summary was distributed to Committee Members and posted on the <u>SCW Program website</u> prior to the meeting. Chair Forte shared that this independent review provides an unbiased evaluation of the technical adequacy and robustness of each SS proposal. The independent and rapid review process followed four steps: creating a standardized review template, organizing a technical expert review panel, assigning the proposals, and synthesizing the expert panel's proposal reviews

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Public Works staff noted that the SS Peer Review Summary is meant to serve as a resource for WASC decision-making and that the WASC can request responses from the Project Applicant regarding concerns raised in the summary. Committee Members were encouraged to ask questions during this meeting or in future meetings, and SS Applications were invited to attend this meeting to answer any questions.

i) The Bacteria Source Identification and Implementation Study City of Agoura Hills

Member Schmitt clarified with Ashli Desai (Larry Walker & Associates, Project Applicant) that while the SS does not yet have a specific sampling plan, the project team has extensive experience conducting similar studies across the state. This experience had allowed the team to estimate the costs, including additional charges for overnight sampling. Desai confirmed that the project team is comfortable that the expected costs are within the allocated budget agreed upon with the NSMB WASC, despite the short timeframes involved in dealing with bacteria and human markers.

Regarding the number of sampling sites, Desai explained that sampling would begin within Malibu Creek Watershed's Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) sites, which include both receiving water sites and outfall sites. Additional sites might be added depending on the findings. Sampling would occur once a year, coordinating the schedule with existing monitoring efforts. Member Nissman confirmed with Desai that the existing CIMP monitoring already includes downstream locations that cover the end of the creek.

Desai also addressed questions about the types of constituents to monitor. The SS plans to focus on pathogens such as norovirus and campylobacter, as well as human markers. The SS may also include other markers if concerns arise, particularly regarding recycled water. The WASC asked about the variability in the data, and Desai noted that both dry and wet weather data would be collected, but the primary focus would be on dry weather flows. Desai also discussed the possibility of sampling for additional contaminants like nutrients and polyfluorinated compounds, although incorporating these would significantly increase the Study's costs and complexity.

Desai specified that this SS also includes a collaboration with academic institutions and specialized labs. The implementation phase of the SS was clarified by Desai, noting that the results would help identify specific sources of contamination, particularly human-related sources, and guide targeted actions like low-flow diversions. There was no specific timeline for implementation, as it would depend on the locations identified through the SS.

Member Nissman also inquired about the consideration of illegal graywater within the SS. Desai confirmed that the Study could consider specific indicators for graywater if the WASC identified the indicator as a concern. Member Jacy Shillan confirmed with Desai that the project had already been approved for a \$450,000 budget over three years, with no additional funding currently secured. Desai reiterated that the budget would be sufficient to cover the Study's costs.

The WASC also raised questions about the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board's (LA Water Board) stance on the SS and the focus on human waste. Desai confirmed that the LA Water Board is aware of the issue and that the SS aligns with their priority to address human waste contamination, given its higher risk to water quality. However, the LA Water Board has not yet formally endorsed the concept of human waste being the most dangerous source of bacteria compared to other sources like swine or birds. Desai emphasized that the SS is not asserting that other sources do not contribute to contamination, but rather that human waste is considered to pose the highest risk. The discussion concluded with a reiteration that the Study's purpose is to provide valuable data to inform future decisions by the LA Water Board, rather than to formally endorse any specific stance on the risks of human waste versus other sources.

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



ii) The Data-Driven Resource Optimization and Planning System (DROPS) for Los Angeles County

Foothill Municipal Water District

Watershed Coordinator Watts asked how the team responded to the comments provided by the peer reviewers. Chris Tull (California Data Collaborative, Project Applicant) explained that the team broadly agreed with the reviewers' assessment of the project.

Tull clarified that the funding request was for Phase 2 of the DROPS tool, and that Phase 1 is already underway, focusing on design and user interviews. The team is currently finalizing the datasets that will be incorporated into the DROPS tool. It was mentioned that most of the datasets will be public, with only a few datasets potentially contributed by stakeholders, and that no proprietary data is expected to be included.

Upon inquiry, Tull confirmed that the software tool is being designed to be available to everyone in Los Angeles County, including disadvantaged community members. Tull emphasized that the DROPS tool would incorporate information regarding the socio-demographics of communities.

iii) The North Santa Monica Bay Dry Weather Storm Drain Diversions m6 Consulting

Member Schmitt mentioned discussions with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) staff, and expressed concerns over how dry weather flows might negatively impact habitats and asked the Project Applicant whether an adaptive management plan is in place to minimize those impacts. Rachel Williams (m6 Consulting, Project Applicant) explained that the SS involves a stream gain/loss study to measure and understand the contributions of dry weather flows at various Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) outfalls. Williams explained that the main objective of the SS is to quantify the flow from these outfalls and assess how removing them might impact tributary creeks, particularly with respect to biological habitats.

Williams emphasized the unknowns surrounding the actual flow from these outfalls and stated that the SS intends to provide crucial data on flow volumes. The SS would also test for contaminants, particularly bacteria, in the dry weather flow to assess water quality and identify any potential risks to local habitats. Williams noted that m6 Consulting has yet to engage with external agencies such as CDFW but agreed that it would be essential to involve CDFW during Phase 1 of the SS. Phase 1 would include stakeholder development and working group involvement to address these concerns.

Williams clarified that the SS is focused primarily on the Malibu Creek Watershed, specifically the tributary areas connected to the Tapia Water Reclamation Facility and Las Virgenes Municipal Water District (LVMWD) facilities, to assess the potential impact of implementing dry weather flow diversions. It was also clarified that the water involved in this SS would be non-treated water. If diversions are implemented, this water would be conveyed to LVMWD's treatment facilities for processing. Currently, this would not involve treated water, but Williams commented that this SS could eventually inform the Pure Water Southern California Program and broader water management strategies.

Watershed Coordinator Watts expressed concerns over differentiating natural streamflow (base flow) and nuisance flows, which result from human activities, such as irrigation over-spray. Williams acknowledged the complexity of the issue, as some local species could have now adapted to dry weather flows, but commented that the SS could help make these determinations.

Watershed Coordinator Watts inquired about Project Applicant's responses to the peer review. Williams noted that in regards to comments about the permitting process, that a working group with MS4 permitters and LVMWD to identify constituents of concern and treatment potential is being developed. The SS intends

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



to explore whether these flows can be safely diverted, treated, and used without violating water quality standards or overwhelming existing treatment systems.

Williams shared that m6 Consulting has experience with low-flow diversion projects and permitting processes, giving examples of working with the City of Agoura Hills and the Los Angeles County Flood Control District.

Member Nissman confirmed with Williams the specific constituents that the SS intends to test for. The Agoura Hills Diversion Project also conducted testing, and the same testing parameters that were used within that Project would be used for this SS. Chair Forte clarified that the Agoura Hills Diversion Project's goals included testing for regulatory compliance in addition to identifying other contaminants that could affect treatment systems.

Member Ewelina Mutkowska inquired about expanding the diversion to include a 0.1-inch rainfall event, which aligns with MS4 compliance for dry weather bacteria. Williams clarified that while a 0.1-inch rain event affects outfalls, such an event cannot be measured accurately at this time. Williams stated that the project team could theoretically model a 0.1-inch rain event but would prefer a better understanding of contributing factors, including base flow and additional flows from dry weather runoff.

Member Jones mentioned the challenges of managing water and referenced large-scale projects like the Pure Water Southern California Program, which is designed with nominal gravity flows. Member Jones highlighted the importance of conservation efforts, noting that droughts severely impact systems. It was emphasized that it is important to find solutions that treat and conserve water, improving both environmental resilience and local water supply.

iv) The NSMB Stream Ecological Stressors Assessment Herrera

Craig Doberstein (Herrera, Project Applicant) highlighted that the peer reviewers stated an appreciation for the Study's objectives, which intend to provide foundational data for prioritizing restoration efforts, informing policies on water use, stormwater management, habitat conservation, and guiding MS4 permittees. The need to assess the impact of programs like runoff control and irrigation was also emphasized, and Doberstein stated that the Study's goal was to offer context and data about hydrological changes, but it was not designed to provide direct solutions or recommendations.

Doberstein noted that modeling was a concern raised by the peer reviewers. Doberstein explained that the SS intends to use downscaled local climate change modeling to project future flows and precipitation. This technique involves taking robust existing models and adapting them to local hydrological and physical data, and Doberstein explained that this is common practice in climate projections.

Doberstein clarified that identifying data gaps and inconsistencies was a key purpose of the SS. By going back to the 1800s, the SS aims to document where data is missing and identify trends. Doberstein acknowledged that there would be gaps and inconsistencies, particularly in older data, but that the goal was to report these gaps and how data should influence future decisions.

Several comments suggested expanding the SS to include fire impacts and deeper habitat investigations. Doberstein agreed these would be valuable additions but explained that the Project Applicant had kept the Study's scope focused due to budget limitations. Doberstein noted that a third of the peer review comments mentioned additional studies, which are not included in the current scope.

Doberstein noted that the lack of recommendations being included in the SS is intentional. The SS is more academic in nature, designed to report findings on hydrology over time rather than proposing solutions. The

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



SS intends to highlight how hydrology has changed, how hydrology might change in the future, and seasonal patterns, which would give the WASC more information to make decisions. However, the SS will not directly recommend actions like changes to irrigation or diversions.

Member Shillan expressed concerns about the small budget and short timeline, asking how the SS could achieve its goals within these constraints. Doberstein explained that the project team deliberately kept the SS as simple as possible to stay within budget but reassured the WASC that the SS would still provide valuable data.

Member Glickfeld asked if the SS would provide the NSMB WASC tools for selecting locations for future projects. Doberstein responded that the current SS is more focused on hydrological changes than ecological functioning, but that the SS intends to help identify where hydrology might be a limiting factor in a creek. While this SS will not focus on ecological functions, a potential next phase of the Study, which would be part of a larger project, would provide more detailed information on identifying locations with the highest potential for water capture and restoration projects.

v) The Optimizing Safe, Clean Water Capture Opportunities in NSMB Craftwater

Brad Wardynski (Craftwater, Project Applicant) highlighted that the peer reviewers emphasized the importance of the SS objectives, particularly in terms of addressing technical risks. The peer reviewers also mentioned modifying feasibility study requirements and suggested that the Project Applicant work with Public Works staff to align the SS with the feasibility guidelines. Wardynski acknowledged the Adaptive Management approach and noted that this was an aspect the SS intends to address. It was also pointed out that the reviewers raised concerns about data reliability, which the project team is addressing by using a calibrated watershed model with 20 years of rainfall data. Wardynski commented that this model provides a good understanding of potential runoff, accounting for both historical data and recent climate change, ensuring reliable hydrologic data for the SS.

Member Schmitt confirmed with Wardynski that regional data on infiltration is available, but is not very reliable. However, Wardynski commented that since many of the projects in this Watershed Area focus on capture, storage, and diversion rather than infiltration, this will not be as critical of a factor compared to other Watershed Areas.

In response to concerns about the stakeholder process potentially delaying the project, Wardynski explained that the Project Applicant had already collaborated with LVMWD and Accelerate Resilience Los Angeles (ARLA). The project's first task is to engage with LVMWD to understand the runoff capacity and gather data from ARLA, which will help expedite the process.

Chair Forte asked about the approach to determining diversion capacity with LVMWD and how the Project Applicant would quantify the ability to accept certain quantities of flow. Wardynski clarified that this is part of task one of the SS. It was mentioned that each watershed is different, and while some areas can be modeled, others require more site-specific assessments. Wardynski highlighted past experiences working with the Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts and noted that the specific details would need to be discussed with LVMWD.

Member Nissman raised concerns about the impact of the 2018 wildfire, which burned 97,000 acres in the Watershed Area, and asked whether there were any discussions on testing or addressing climate change, ecology, and water quality in the SS. Chair Forte commented that while the Project Applicant did not specifically address these topics, suggested there should be a discussion on fire response and the potential impacts on water quality.

c) Regional Program Quarterly Report Summary for FY23-24 Q3 and Q4

Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



This Agenda Item was deferred to the next meeting.

7) Public Comment Period

There were no public comments.

8) Items for Next Agenda

The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, April 10, 2025 from 12:00pm to 3:00pm. Items for the next agenda include:

- North Santa Monica Bay (NSMB) Project Prioritization and Selection for populating the FY25-26 Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP)
- Regional Program Quarterly Report Summary for FY23-24 Q3 and Q4

Committee Members requested a 10-minute open discussion item on collaborating with stakeholders regarding post-wildfire watershed health to assist Watershed Coordinator Watts in responding to related concerns.

9) Adjournment

Chair Forte thanked the WASC Members and the public for their attendance and participation and adjourned the meeting.

NSMB WASC - March 13, 2025							
		Quorum Present			Voting Items		
Member Type	Organization	Member	Voting?	Alternate	Voting?	Approve February 13, 2025 NSMB WASC Meeting Minutes	
Agency	LACFCD	Marcela Benavides-Aguilar	Х	Daniel Bradbury		у	
Agency	LAC Waterworks District	William Johnson	x			у	
Agency	MRCA	Brian Baldauf	х	Rebecca Correa		у	
Agency	LVMWD	David Pedersen		Craig Jones	x	у	
Agency	LVMWD	Jessica Forte	х	Jeremy Wolf		у	
Community Stakeholder	Resident	Madelyn Glickfeld	х	Richard Ambrose		а	
Community Stakeholder	PSOMAS	Andrew Nickerson	У			у	
Community Stakeholder	Resident	Susan Nissman	х			у	
Community Stakeholder	Wishtoyo Chumash Foundation Ventura County	Tevin Schmitt	х	Natalia Cuellar		у	
Community Stakeholder	Resident	Jacy Shillan	х			у	
Municipal Members	Agoura Hills	Charmaine Yambao		Kelly Fisher	х	a	
Municipal Members	Calabasas	Tatiana Holden	х	Melanis Stepanian		у	
Municipal Members	Hidden Hills	Kelsey Reed	х	Mayra Martinez		a	
Municipal Members	LAC Supervisor District 3	Aaron Ordower	х	Rachel Fox		a	
Municipal Members	LAC Public Works	Bruce Hamamoto	х	Allen Ma		у	
Municipal Members	Malibu	Kiernan Brtalik		Ewelina Mutkowska	x	у	
Municipal Members	Westlake Village	Roxanne Hughes	х	Josephine Wilson		у	
Watershed Coordinator	Melina S. Watts Consulting, LLC	Melina Watts	Х				
Total Non-Vacant Seats		17			Yay (Y)	13	0
Total Voting Members Present		16			Nay (N)	0	0
Agency		5			Abstain (A)	4	0
Community Stakeholder		4			Total	17	0
Municipal Members		7				Approved	Not Approved

Other Attendees

Alexander Iglesias Sa Alexander Ov Bob Woodward Brad Wardynski Brian Baldauf Bryan Igboke Caitlin Gray, SMBRC Call-in User_2 Call-in User_3 Christopher Tull, Cal Daniel Daniel A. Dusadee Corhiran Eric Bonilla Jenny Chau Kirk Allen - LACPW Lisa (Jin) Kim Luis Perez SCW WP Mayra Martinez Melanie Rivera Mike Antos Regiona NSMB WASC 1 NSMB WASC 2

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting COMMITTEE MEMBER AND ALTERNATE SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization	4	Signature
Marcela Benavides-Aguilar	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	Primary	MB
Daniel Bradbury	Los Angeles County Flood Control District	Alternate	
Brian Baldauf	Mountains Recreation & Conservation Authority	Primary	
Rebecca Correa	Mountains Recreation & Conservation Authority	Alternate	
William Johnson	LA County Waterworks District	Primary	
David Pedersen	Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	Primary	
Craig Jones	Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	Alternate	Clar
Jessica Forte	Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	Primary	
Jeremy Wolf	Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	Alternate	
Madelyn Glickfeld	Resident	Primary	K
Richard Ambrose	Resident	Primary	
Andrew Nickerson	Community Stakeholder	Primary	la h'

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting COMMITTEE MEMBER AND ALTERNATE SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization		Signature
Bruce Hamamoto	Los Angeles County	Pimary	BILL
Allen Ma	Los Angeles County	Alternate	
Kiernan Brtalik	Malibu	Primary	
Ewelina Mutkowska	Malibu	Alternate	GULOIM
Roxanne Hughes	Westlake Village	Primary	
Josephine Wilson	Westlake Village	Alternate	Ω4
Melina Watts	Watershed Coordinator	Primary	The Starts
,			
* *			

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting COMMITTEE MEMBER AND ALTERNATE SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization		Signature
Susan Nissman	Resident	Primary	55
Tevin Schmitt	Wishtoyo Chumash Foundation Ventura County	Primary	JR LAK
Natalia Cuellar	Wishtoyo Chumash Foundation Ventura County	Alternate	
Jacy Shillan	Resident	Primary	
Charmaine Yambao	Agoura Hills	Primary	
Kelly Fisher	Agoura Hills	Alternate	
Tatiana Holden	Calabasas	Primary	Thell
Melanis Stepanian	Calabasas	Alternate	Tursing
Kelsey Reed	Hidden Hills	Primary	Helsey Kerd
Mayra Martinez	Hidden Hills	Alternate	
Aaron Ordower	LAC Supervisor District 3	Primary	Haron Chyn
Rachel Fox	LAC Supervisor District 3	Alternate	

North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting PUBLIC SIGN-IN



First Name	Last Name	Municipality/Organization	Email Address
Ashli	Desai	LWA	
Ashli	Doherstein	Herrene Acourt Hills	
KELLY	FISHER	Acourt HILLS	
RUSIN	Adisswan		

^{*}Signing or completing this form is voluntary for members of the public