

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Central Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Angeles Mesa Green Infrastructure Corridor Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Los Angeles, LA Sanitation and Environment
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$8,401,432
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need storage volume justification</li> <li>• Recommend using 'Dry Well' BMP type</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need justification for 0.5 cfs, since dry well manufacturer spec has max rate of 0.25 cfs</li> <li>• Recommend a new monitoring plan specific to project</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	<b>12</b>	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	• No points for greening schools
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	3	• Robust future plan
<b>TOTALS</b>	79	<b>110</b>	Unable to score	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Central Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Edward Vincent Jr. Park Stormwater Improvements Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Inglewood
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$4,270,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	14	<b>20</b>	14	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	<b>12</b>	0	• No water supply support letter, no recharge potential
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	10	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	4	• Had 3 workshops, 16 letters of support
<b>TOTALS</b>	73	<b>110</b>	68	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Central Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Ladera Heights - W Centinela Ave Green Improvement
<b>Project Lead</b>	Los Angeles County Public Works
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$500,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Series of drywells (67) and bioswales</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	<b>30</b>	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quantified amount of trees and bioswales</li> <li>Engagement more about informing community than outreach</li> <li>6 trees not adequate for heat island effect reduction</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	14	<b>15</b>	14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	<b>6</b>	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not strong community support</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	74	<b>110</b>	72	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Central Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	West Los Angeles College Soccer Field Basin Dry Well Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Los Angeles Community College District & BuildLACCD
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$399,967
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Confirm total capacity is 1.5 cfs</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	<b>30</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	5	<b>15</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	<b>6</b>	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would be great to get support from students, soccer team, etc</li> <li>Missing letter of support</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	65	<b>110</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Salt Lake Park Infiltration Cistern
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Huntington Park
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$29,000,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Confirm 9M gal for Stormwater use</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide justification for 100% pollutant reduction</li> <li>Consider Dry weather project</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	6	<b>13</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide justification for WS cost effectiveness. Use Portal generated values.</li> <li>WRD letter seems unrelated to Project</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	12	<b>12</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide justification for 542 ac-ft Water Supply</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	14	<b>15</b>	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Justify impermeable area removed</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	0	<b>6</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One way outreach</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	96	<b>110</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Apollo Park Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Downey
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$2,832,639
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20	11	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	12	5	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	14	15	14	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	6	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	2	• One way outreach
<b>TOTALS</b>	69	110	67	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	Stormwater Treatment and Reuse System (STAR System) Hacienda Park (re-submittal with leveraged funds)
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of La Habra Heights
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$644,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0 out stormwater use</li> <li>• Provide documentation for drawdown rate</li> <li>• Drainage area incorrect</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	<b>30</b>	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No irrigation use</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	<b>6</b>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vague details on community meetings/outreach</li> <li>• Only 1 letter of support from resident</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	72	<b>110</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	Artesia Park Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Artesia
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$1,250,502
<b>Project Type</b>	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	<b>30</b>	20	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	<b>12</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not clear how water will be used.</li> <li>• Provide more detail regarding sewer diversion</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Passive recreation</li> <li>• Need to detail net gain for heat island reduction due to trees</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	12	<b>15</b>	12	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	• No robust community outreach
<b>TOTALS</b>	71	<b>110</b>	Unable to score	•



# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	Bellflower Simms Park Stormwater Capture Project (Construction)
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Bellflower
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$15,666,700
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	<b>30</b>	25	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	2	<b>12</b>	0	• Lacks detail for Mayfair Park diversion
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	• Lacks clarity on net tree gain
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	12	<b>15</b>	12	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	0	<b>6</b>	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	• No details on outreach
<b>TOTALS</b>	68	<b>110</b>	64	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	Heartwell Park at Clark Channel Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Long Beach
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$23,874,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pollutant reduction number not accurate, use online number</li> <li>• Recommend using trash as secondary pollutant</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	2	<b>12</b>	2	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	10	• New recreational benefit
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lacks community outreach detail</li> <li>• No specific outreach with adjacent DAC</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	76	<b>110</b>	68	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	Heartwell Park at Palo Verde Channel Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Long Beach
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$10,695,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	<b>30</b>	20	• Recommend classifying as dry weather project
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	<b>12</b>	Unable to score	• Not clear on how flows will be utilized
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	• No points for recreational opportunities
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	1	• No specific outreach to nearby DAC • One way exchange of info
<b>TOTALS</b>	69	<b>110</b>	Unable to score	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	Lakewood Equestrian Center
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Lakewood
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$1,114,794
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	<b>30</b>	25	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	<b>12</b>	5	• Confirm when requesting construction funds
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	• Unclear if there is a net gain for vegetation/trees
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	<b>6</b>	3	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	4	•
<b>TOTALS</b>	77	<b>110</b>	72	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	York Field Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Whittier
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$1,873,720
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	<b>30</b>	20	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	2	<b>12</b>	2	• Confirm when requesting construction funding
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	11	<b>15</b>	11	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	<b>6</b>	3	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	3	• No specific outreach to DAC
<b>TOTALS</b>	65	<b>110</b>	64	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	North Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Liberty Canyon Road Green Improvement
<b>Project Lead</b>	Los Angeles County Public Works
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$100,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	14	<b>20</b>	14	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	<b>30</b>	25	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	<b>6</b>	3	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	3	• 5 letters of support and community meeting
<b>TOTALS</b>	61	<b>110</b>	60	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Rio Hondo
<b>Project Name</b>	East Los Angeles College East Drainage Area Biofiltration and Stormwater Capture & Use Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Los Angeles Community College District & BuildLACCD
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$2,411,477
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	0	20	0	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarify storage volume</li> <li>• Double counting storm capacity</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	12	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	15	15	Unable to score	• Clarify Impermeable Area
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	6	6	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	1	•
<b>TOTALS</b>	60	110	Below Threshold	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Rio Hondo
<b>Project Name</b>	Vincent Lugo Park Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of San Gabriel
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$4,078,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	<b>30</b>	20	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	13	<b>13</b>	13	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	12	<b>12</b>	12	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	• Does not provide Flood benefit (Dry)
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	•
<b>TOTALS</b>	89	<b>110</b>	82	•



# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Santa Clara River
<b>Project Name</b>	Pico Canyon Park Stormwater Improvements Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Los Angeles County
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$500,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	14	<b>20</b>	14	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	<b>6</b>	6	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	0	<b>4</b>	0	•
<b>TOTALS</b>	65	<b>110</b>	65	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	South Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Downtown Lomita Multi-Benefit Stormwater Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Lomita
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$449,300
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	13	<b>15</b>	13	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	<b>6</b>	6	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	•
<b>TOTALS</b>	78	<b>110</b>	76	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	South Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Fulton Playfield Multi-Benefit Infiltration Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Redondo Beach
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$4,292,138
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recommend using a lower more conservative infiltration rate (0.25 cfs). Update application.</li> <li>Adjusted drawdown rate to 0.25 cfs</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	6	<b>13</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	<b>12</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not part of a school</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	15	<b>15</b>	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	0	<b>6</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Outlined community identified needs</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	90	<b>110</b>	63	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add explanation of how detention basin works</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	South Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Hermosa Beach Multi-Benefit Parking Lot Greening Project (Lot D)
<b>Project Lead</b>	Hermosa Beach
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$423,950
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	• Credit for localized flooding
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	11	<b>15</b>	11	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	<b>6</b>	6	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	4	• 4 letters of support and extensive community engagement
<b>TOTALS</b>	76	<b>110</b>	76	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	South Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Los Angeles Harbor College Central and West Campus Underground Infiltration and Biofiltration Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Los Angeles Community College District & BuildLACCD
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$3,152,758
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	7	20	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Need justification of how effective drawdown rate and stormwater use was determined</li> <li>Provided requested geotech info</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minimal park space and recreation enhancement</li> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	15	15	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Removal of impermeable area</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Have not engaged benefitting DACs</li> <li>Need to provide referenced letter of support</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	62	110	51	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not meet points threshold</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	South Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	West Rancho Dominguez - San Pedro Street Green Improvement
<b>Project Lead</b>	Los Angeles County Public Works
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$800,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	<b>20</b>	11	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	• Addresses localized flooding, improving vegetation
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	13	<b>15</b>	10	• Natural materials • Over claim of removal of impermeable area
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	<b>6</b>	6	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	• Provide info on August community engagement
<b>TOTALS</b>	69	<b>110</b>	64	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	South Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Wilmington-Anaheim Green Infrastructure Corridor Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation and Environment
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$12,808,258
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	7	20	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Need verification of how infiltration rate was determined</li> <li>No geotech report or plans provided</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Need to provide proof of water supply benefit</li> <li>General letter of support from WRD</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Project not in a school</del></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	11	15	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	67	110	56	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not meet points threshold</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Acacia Avenue Storm Drain Infiltration Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Glendale
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$2,086,500
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Request for additional details, need additional justification</li> <li><b>Revised budget request and reduced number of dry wells</b></li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	<b>30</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	2	<b>12</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	0	<b>4</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	62	<b>110</b>	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Applicant can resubmit or be considered for TRP</b></li> </ul>



# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Alexandria Park Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$7,019,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	7	20	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Close to capturing 85<sup>th</sup> % storm.</li> <li>Recommendation to change size of BMP to obtain additional points</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	2	12	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	10	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Design not clear on access to waterways</li> <li>Need additional information</li> <li>Concerns over displacement of tiny home village</li> <li>Does project truly increase pervious area?</li> <li>Have addressed access to waterways</li> <li>Concern over impermeable area</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	15	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Issue with removal of affordable housing</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	64	110	59	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not meet points threshold</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	California Avenue and Adjacent Streets Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Glendale
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$5,320,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>		• Needs more information
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	<b>30</b>		•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	3	<b>13</b>		•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	<b>12</b>		•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	0	<b>4</b>	0	•
<b>TOTALS</b>	63	<b>110</b>	Unable to score	• Will need to submit in next call for projects round

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Echo Park Lake Rehabilitation Operation and Maintenance
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Los Angeles, LA Sanitation and Environment
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$2,400,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recommend submitting project as dry weather project</li> <li>• Disagree with 90 ac-ft capacity</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	2	<b>12</b>	2	• Request for additional info. What is the potable water consumption of the lake?
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	<b>6</b>	3	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	•
<b>TOTALS</b>	79	<b>110</b>	62	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Jackson Elementary School Campus Greening and Stormwater Quality Improvement Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Amigos de los Rios (ADLR) and Pasadena Unified School District (PUSD)
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$3,018,148
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cannot calculate scores with given data in application</li> <li><b>Provided requested information</b></li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	<b>12</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increase due to active community use of school</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	11	<b>15</b>	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	0	<b>6</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strong community support</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	70	<b>110</b>	<b>75</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	La Crescenta Green Improvement Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	County of Los Angeles
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$1,500,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	<b>30</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need to adjust drawdown rate</li> <li>• <b>Provided requested justification</b></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	2	<b>12</b>	2	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	<b>6</b>	6	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	•
<b>TOTALS</b>	67	<b>110</b>	<b>65</b>	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	LA River Green Infrastructure Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation and Environment
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$31,821,160
<b>Project Type</b>	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No dry weather cost effectiveness criteria</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	<b>30</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	12	<b>12</b>	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reduced points since project is adjacent to school, not within school.</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	11	<b>15</b>	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Outreach was not participatory, one way sharing of information</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>70</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	North Hollywood Park Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	SEITec
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$68,229,081
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Request for additional information to demonstrate how various values were calculated</li> <li>Provided requested app justification values</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	<b>30</b>	<b>25</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	3	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No letter of support attached from LADWP, if provided would have awarded 15 pts for Water Supply 1+2</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	12	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No points for enhancing access to waterway and greening of school</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	15	<b>15</b>	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One way outreach. Need clarity on entities supporting project</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	89	<b>110</b>	<b>65</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Whitsett Fields Park North Stormwater Capture Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$8,393,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	<b>12</b>	5	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	• Quantification of net trees
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	<b>6</b>	6	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	4	• Strong coordination effort with community
<b>TOTALS</b>	80	<b>110</b>	80	•



# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Winery Canyon Channel and Descanso Gardens Stormwater Capture and Reuse Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Descanso Gardens Guild, Inc.; City of La Canada Flintridge
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$6,848,600
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provided requested supporting info</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need to add a drawdown rate in app</li> <li>• Revised calcs requested</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	2	12	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	6	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Several letters of support from conservation groups</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	65	110	64	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	Glendora Avenue Green Streets
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Glendora
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$555,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	<b>30</b>	25	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	3	<b>13</b>	3	• High daily average assumption; to provide clarification in next round
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	5	<b>12</b>	5	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	<b>10</b>	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	11	<b>15</b>	11	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	<b>6</b>	3	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	3	• Not in DAC, but conducted many engagements
<b>TOTALS</b>	76	<b>110</b>	76	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	MacLaren Hall BMP & Park Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of El Monte
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$11,500,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>		•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>		•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	13	<b>13</b>		•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	12	<b>12</b>		•
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>		•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	13	<b>15</b>		•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	0	<b>6</b>		•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>		•
<b>TOTALS</b>	102	<b>110</b>	N/A	• Withdrawn by Applicant

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	Marchant Park
<b>Project Lead</b>	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$675,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	14	20	14	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	2	12	2	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	5	10	5	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	15	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	6	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	2	• No prior outreach • 5 letters of support
<b>TOTALS</b>	65	110	63	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper San Gabriel River
<b>Project Name</b>	Pelota Park
<b>Project Lead</b>	East San Gabriel Valley Watershed Management Group
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$1,325,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	0	<b>20</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SC considered project as dry weather for scoring</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	12	<b>12</b>	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No point for flood management for dry weather</li> <li>No point for access/recreation benefit</li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	12	<b>15</b>	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	<b>6</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strong coordination with school</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	68	<b>110</b>	71	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Lower Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Willow Springs Park Wetland Restoration and Expansion Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Long Beach
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$1,200,200
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	14	20	14	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	25	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	12	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	10	10	•
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	15	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	N/A	6	0	• Recommend pursuing cost share in the future
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	2	• Recommend strengthening community support
<b>TOTALS</b>	63	110	61	•

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	South Santa Monica Bay
<b>Project Name</b>	Machado Lake Ecosystem Rehabilitation (MLER) Operations and Maintenance
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Los Angeles, LA Sanitation and Environment
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$5,700,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	<b>20</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recommend scoring as dry weather project</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	<b>30</b>	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	<b>13</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	9	<b>12</b>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Need verification of amount of potable water offset by project</li> </ul>
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	<b>10</b>	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	<b>15</b>	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	3	<b>6</b>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	<b>4</b>	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discussion of ongoing engagement</li> </ul>
<b>TOTALS</b>	86	<b>110</b>	61	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	LAMC South Arroyo Improvement and Deep Underground Infiltration Project
<b>Project Lead</b>	Los Angeles Mission College/BuildLACCD
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$1,210,433
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	0	20	0	•
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	12	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	10	5	• Minimal rec benefits and park space benefits
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	10	15	10	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	6	6	6	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	2	• Pending letter of support. Lacking strong community support
<b>TOTALS</b>	60	110	53	• Below Threshold



# Safe, Clean Water Program

## Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



<b>Watershed Area</b>	Upper Los Angeles River
<b>Project Name</b>	Watts Civic Center Serenity Greenway
<b>Project Lead</b>	City of Los Angeles, Council District 15
<b>Total Funding Requested</b>	\$2,664,000
<b>Project Type</b>	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather  Part 1	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant should provide additional information to justify inconsistencies in values throughout the application</li> <li>No geotech report to justify infiltration rate</li> <li>Changed funding request</li> <li>Recommend classifying project as dry weather</li> <li>Wet weather info unknown until there is more geotech data</li> </ul>
<b>Water Quality</b> Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	20	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 1	0	13	0	•
<b>Water Supply</b> Part 2	0	12	0	•
<b>Community Investment</b>	10	10	5	• Points for increasing trees and shade
<b>Nature-Based Solutions</b>	15	15	15	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 1	0	6	0	•
<b>Leveraging Funds</b> Part 2	4	4	4	• Strong community support
<b>TOTALS</b>	79	110	64	•