

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Angeles Mesa Green Infrastructure Corridor Project
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles, LA Sanitation and Environment
Total Funding Requested	\$8,401,432
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need storage volume justification • Recommend using 'Dry Well' BMP type
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need justification for 0.5 cfs, since dry well manufacturer spec has max rate of 0.25 cfs • Recommend a new monitoring plan specific to project
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10	5	• No points for greening schools
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	• Robust future plan
TOTALS	79	110	Unable to score	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Edward Vincent Jr. Park Stormwater Improvements Project
Project Lead	City of Inglewood
Total Funding Requested	\$4,270,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	14	20	14	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	0	• No water supply support letter, no recharge potential
Community Investment	10	10	10	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	• Had 3 workshops, 16 letters of support
TOTALS	73	110	68	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Ladera Heights - W Centinela Ave Green Improvement
Project Lead	Los Angeles County Public Works
Total Funding Requested	\$500,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Series of drywells (67) and bioswales
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quantified amount of trees and bioswales Engagement more about informing community than outreach 6 trees not adequate for heat island effect reduction
Nature-Based Solutions	14	15	14	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not strong community support
TOTALS	74	110	72 To be confirmed	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Central Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	West Los Angeles College Soccer Field Basin Dry Well Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles Community College District & BuildLACCD
Total Funding Requested	\$399,967
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm total capacity is 1.5 cfs
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Nature-Based Solutions	5	15	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would be great to get support from students, soccer team, etc Missing letter of support
TOTALS	65	110	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Lower Los Angeles River
Project Name	Salt Lake Park Infiltration Cistern
Project Lead	City of Huntington Park
Total Funding Requested	\$29,000,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm 9M gal for Stormwater use
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide justification for 100% pollutant reduction Consider Dry weather project
Water Supply Part 1	6	13	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide justification for WS cost effectiveness. Use Portal generated values. WRD letter seems unrelated to Project
Water Supply Part 2	12	12	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide justification for 542 ac-ft Water Supply
Community Investment	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Nature-Based Solutions	14	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Justify impermeable area removed
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One way outreach
TOTALS	96	110	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

- TO BE VOTED BY SCORING COMMITTEE -

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Lower Los Angeles River
Project Name	Apollo Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of Downey
Total Funding Requested	\$2,832,639
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20	11	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	5	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	14	15	14	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	• One way outreach
TOTALS	69	110	67	•

- TO BE VOTED BY SCORING COMMITTEE -

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Stormwater Treatment and Reuse System (STAR System) Hacienda Park (re-submittal with leveraged funds)
Project Lead	City of La Habra Heights
Total Funding Requested	\$644,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 out stormwater use • Provide documentation for drawdown rate • Drainage area incorrect
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Community Investment	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No irrigation use
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vague details on community meetings/outreach • Only 1 letter of support from resident
TOTALS	72	110	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Artesia Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of Artesia
Total Funding Requested	\$1,250,502
Project Type	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not clear how water will be used. • Provide more detail regarding sewer diversion
Community Investment	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Passive recreation • Need to detail net gain for heat island reduction due to trees
Nature-Based Solutions	12	15	12	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	• No robust community outreach
TOTALS	71	110	Unable to score	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Bellflower Simms Park Stormwater Capture Project (Construction)
Project Lead	City of Bellflower
Total Funding Requested	\$15,666,700
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	25	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	2	12	0	• Lacks detail for Mayfair Park diversion
Community Investment	5	10	5	• Lacks clarity on net tree gain
Nature-Based Solutions	12	15	12	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	• No details on outreach
TOTALS	68	110	64	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Heartwell Park at Clark Channel Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of Long Beach
Total Funding Requested	\$23,874,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pollutant reduction number not accurate, use online number • Recommend using trash as secondary pollutant
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	2	12	2	•
Community Investment	10	10	10	• New recreational benefit
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lacks community outreach detail • No specific outreach with adjacent DAC
TOTALS	76	110	68	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Heartwell Park at Palo Verde Channel Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of Long Beach
Total Funding Requested	\$10,695,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	• Recommend classifying as dry weather project
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	Unable to score	• Not clear on how flows will be utilized
Community Investment	10	10	5	• No points for recreational opportunities
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	1	• No specific outreach to nearby DAC • One way exchange of info
TOTALS	69	110	Unable to score	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	Lakewood Equestrian Center
Project Lead	City of Lakewood
Total Funding Requested	\$1,114,794
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	25	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	5	• Confirm when requesting construction funds
Community Investment	10	10	5	• Unclear if there is a net gain for vegetation/trees
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	•
TOTALS	77	110	72	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Lower San Gabriel River
Project Name	York Field Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of Whittier
Total Funding Requested	\$1,873,720
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	2	12	2	• Confirm when requesting construction funding
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	11	15	11	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	• No specific outreach to DAC
TOTALS	65	110	64	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	North Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Liberty Canyon Road Green Improvement
Project Lead	Los Angeles County Public Works
Total Funding Requested	\$100,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	14	20	14	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30	25	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	• 5 letters of support and community meeting
TOTALS	61	110	60	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	East Los Angeles College East Drainage Area Biofiltration and Stormwater Capture & Use Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles Community College District & BuildLACCD
Total Funding Requested	\$2,411,477
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	0	20	0	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarify storage volume • Double counting storm capacity
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	15	15	Unable to score	• Clarify Impermeable Area
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	1	•
TOTALS	60	110	Below Threshold	•

- TO BE VOTED BY SCORING COMMITTEE -

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Rio Hondo
Project Name	Vincent Lugo Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of San Gabriel
Total Funding Requested	\$4,078,000
Project Type	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	•
Water Supply Part 1	13	13	13	•
Water Supply Part 2	12	12	12	•
Community Investment	10	10	5	• Does not provide Flood benefit (Dry)
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	•
TOTALS	89	110	82	•

- TO BE VOTED BY SCORING COMMITTEE -

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Santa Clara River
Project Name	Pico Canyon Park Stormwater Improvements Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles County
Total Funding Requested	\$500,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	14	20	14	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	0	4	0	•
TOTALS	65	110	65	•

- TO BE VOTED BY SCORING COMMITTEE -

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	South Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Downtown Lomita Multi-Benefit Stormwater Project
Project Lead	City of Lomita
Total Funding Requested	\$449,300
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	13	15	13	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	•
TOTALS	78	110	76	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	South Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Fulton Playfield Multi-Benefit Infiltration Project
Project Lead	City of Redondo Beach
Total Funding Requested	\$4,292,138
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommend using a lower more conservative infiltration rate (0.25 cfs). Update application.
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	6	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not part of a school
Nature-Based Solutions	15	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outlined community identified needs
TOTALS	90	110	68 To be confirmed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add explanation of how detention basin works

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	South Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Hermosa Beach Multi-Benefit Parking Lot Greening Project (Lot D)
Project Lead	Hermosa Beach
Total Funding Requested	\$423,950
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	• Credit for localized flooding
Nature-Based Solutions	11	15	11	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	• 4 letters of support and extensive community engagement
TOTALS	76	110	76	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	South Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Los Angeles Harbor College Central and West Campus Underground Infiltration and Biofiltration Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles Community College District & BuildLACCD
Total Funding Requested	\$3,152,758
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	7	20	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need justification of how effective drawdown rate and stormwater use was determined
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimal park space and recreation enhancement
Nature-Based Solutions	15	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Have not engaged benefitting DACs Need to provide referenced letter of support
TOTALS	62	110	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	South Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	West Rancho Dominguez - San Pedro Street Green Improvement
Project Lead	Los Angeles County Public Works
Total Funding Requested	\$800,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20	11	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	• Addresses localized flooding, improving vegetation
Nature-Based Solutions	13	15	10	• Natural materials • Over claim of removal of impermeable area
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	• Provide info on August community engagement
TOTALS	69	110	64	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	South Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Wilmington-Anaheim Green Infrastructure Corridor Project
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation and Environment
Total Funding Requested	\$12,808,258
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	7	20	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need verification of how infiltration rate was determined No geotech report or plans provided
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to provide proof of water supply benefit
Community Investment	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project not in a school
Nature-Based Solutions	11	15	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
TOTALS	67	110	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Acacia Avenue Storm Drain Infiltration Project
Project Lead	City of Glendale
Total Funding Requested	\$2,086,500
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request for additional details, need additional justification
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30		<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13		<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	2	12		<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	5	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	0	4	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
TOTALS	62	110	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Alexandria Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)
Total Funding Requested	\$7,019,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	7	20	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Close to capturing 85th % storm. Recommendation to change size of BMP to obtain additional points
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	2	12	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	10	10		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Design not clear on access to waterways Need additional information Concerns over displacement of tiny home village Does project truly increase pervious area?
Nature-Based Solutions	15	15	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
TOTALS	64	110	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	California Avenue and Adjacent Streets Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	City of Glendale
Total Funding Requested	\$5,320,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20		• Needs more information
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30		•
Water Supply Part 1	3	13		•
Water Supply Part 2	5	12		•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	0	4	0	•
TOTALS	63	110	Unable to score	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Echo Park Lake Rehabilitation Operation and Maintenance
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles, LA Sanitation and Environment
Total Funding Requested	\$2,400,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommend submitting project as dry weather project • Disagree with 90 ac-ft capacity
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	2	12	2	• Request for additional info. What is the potable water consumption of the lake?
Community Investment	10	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	•
TOTALS	79	110	62	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Jackson Elementary School Campus Greening and Stormwater Quality Improvement Project
Project Lead	Amigos de los Rios (ADLR) and Pasadena Unified School District (PUSD)
Total Funding Requested	\$3,018,148
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cannot calculate scores with given data in application
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30		<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13		<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	0	12		<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	5	10	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase due to active community use of school
Nature-Based Solutions	11	15	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strong community support
TOTALS	70	110	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	La Crescenta Green Improvement Project
Project Lead	County of Los Angeles
Total Funding Requested	\$1,500,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	Unable to score	• Need to adjust drawdown rate
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	2	12	2	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	•
TOTALS	67	110	Unable to score	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	LA River Green Infrastructure Project
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation and Environment
Total Funding Requested	\$31,821,160
Project Type	Dry

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No dry weather cost effectiveness criteria
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	20	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	12	12	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduced points since project is adjacent to school, not within school.
Nature-Based Solutions	11	15	11	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outreach was not participatory, one way sharing of information
TOTALS	77	110	70	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	North Hollywood Park Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	SEITec
Total Funding Requested	\$68,229,081
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request for additional information to demonstrate how various values were calculated
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	25	30		<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	3	13		<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	12	12		<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Community Investment	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No points for enhancing access to waterway and greening of school
Nature-Based Solutions	15	15	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	N/A	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One way outreach. Need clarity on entities supporting project
TOTALS	89	110	Unable to score	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Whitsett Fields Park North Stormwater Capture Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP)
Total Funding Requested	\$8,393,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	5	12	5	•
Community Investment	5	10	5	•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	• Quantification of net trees
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	4	• Strong coordination effort with community
TOTALS	80	110	80	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Winery Canyon Channel and Descanso Gardens Stormwater Capture and Reuse Project
Project Lead	Descanso Gardens Guild, Inc.; City of La Canada Flintridge
Total Funding Requested	\$6,848,600
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	11	20		•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to add a drawdown rate in app • Revised calcs requested
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	2	12		•
Community Investment	5	10		•
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15		•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6		•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4		•
TOTALS	65	110	Unable to score	•

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Upper San Gabriel River
Project Name	MacLaren Hall BMP & Park Project
Project Lead	City of El Monte
Total Funding Requested	\$11,500,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20		•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30		•
Water Supply Part 1	13	13		•
Water Supply Part 2	12	12		•
Community Investment	10	10		•
Nature-Based Solutions	13	15		•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6		•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4		•
TOTALS	102	110	N/A	• Withdrawn by Applicant

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	South Santa Monica Bay
Project Name	Machado Lake Ecosystem Rehabilitation (MLER) Operations and Maintenance
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles, LA Sanitation and Environment
Total Funding Requested	\$5,700,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommend scoring as dry weather project
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	20	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Water Supply Part 2	9	12	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need verification of amount of potable water offset by project
Community Investment	10	10	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 1	3	6	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion of ongoing engagement
TOTALS	86	110	61	<ul style="list-style-type: none">

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	LAMC South Arroyo Improvement and Deep Underground Infiltration Project
Project Lead	Los Angeles Mission College/BuildLACCD
Total Funding Requested	\$1,210,433
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	0	20	0	•
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30	30	•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10	5	• Minimal rec benefits and park space benefits
Nature-Based Solutions	10	15	10	•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	6	6	6	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4	2	• Pending letter of support. Lacking strong community support
TOTALS	60	110	53	• Below Threshold

Safe, Clean Water Program

Scoring Rubric - Fiscal Year 2022-2023



Watershed Area	Upper Los Angeles River
Project Name	Watts Civic Center Serenity Greenway
Project Lead	City of Los Angeles, Council District 15
Total Funding Requested	\$2,664,000
Project Type	Wet

Scoring Section	Applicant Score	Maximum Points	Scoring Committee Score	Notes
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather Part 1	20	20		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant should provide additional information to justify inconsistencies in values throughout the application No geotech report to justify infiltration rate
Water Quality Wet + Dry Weather (30 pts) Part 2 Dry Weather (20 pts) Part 2	30	30		•
Water Supply Part 1	0	13	0	•
Water Supply Part 2	0	12	0	•
Community Investment	10	10		•
Nature-Based Solutions	15	15		•
Leveraging Funds Part 1	0	6	0	•
Leveraging Funds Part 2	4	4		•
TOTALS	79	110	Unable to score	•