

Santa Clara River Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Thursday, February 19, 2026
1:00pm - 3:00pm
Santa Clarita City Hall
Carl Boyer Room
23920 Valencia Blvd. Valencia, CA 91355
WebEx Meeting

Committee Members Present:

*Alynn Sun, Los Angeles County Flood Control District (Agency)
Ali Elhassan, Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency (Agency)
Steve Cole, Santa Clarita Valley Groundwater Sustainability Agency (Agency)
Francisco Guerrero, LA County Sanitation Districts (Agency)
Mayor Laurene Weste, City of Santa Clarita Recreation/ Open Space (Agency)
Mary Johnson, Agua Dulce Town Council (Community)
Sandra Cattell, Sierra Club (Community), Vice-Chair
Dianne Erskine-Hellrigel, St. Francis Dam Disaster National Memorial Foundation (Community)
Bruce Hamamoto, Los Angeles County Department of Public Works (Municipal)
Darin Seegmiller, City of Santa Clarita (Municipal), Chair
Amanda Begley, TreePeople (Watershed Coordinator, non-voting member)
*Committee Member Alternate

Committee Members Not Present:

Hunt Braly, Poole and Shaffery (Community)
Ivan Voischenk, Evolve Business Strategies (Community)

See attached sign-in sheet for full list of attendees.

1. Welcome and Introductions

Darin Seegmiller, Chair of the Santa Clara River (SCR) Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC), welcomed Committee Members and called the meeting to order. Los Angeles County Public Works (Public Works) staff gave a brief WebEx tutorial. Public Works staff facilitated the roll call of Committee Members, and a quorum was established.

2. Approval of Meeting Minutes from January 15, 2026

Public Works staff presented the previous meeting minutes. Member Ali Elhassan noted that the meeting minutes denoted them absent, and requested that the meeting minutes be revised to corrected attendance as present in the January 15, 2026 minutes. Vice-Chair Sandra Cattell motioned to approve the meeting minutes from January 15, 2026 with the amendment to mark Member Elhassan as in attendance. Member Steve Cole seconded the motion. The Committee voted to approve the January 15, 2026 meeting minutes, with 12 votes in favor, 3 vote in abstention, 0 votes opposed, and 0 absent at the time of the vote (approved, see vote tracking sheet).

3. Watershed Coordinator Updates

Watershed Coordinator Amanda Begley shared an update on Watershed Coordinator activities in the Watershed Area. Presentation slides are available on the [SCW Program website](#).

Upcoming events include a tour of Placerita Canyon Nature Center. Watershed Coordinator Begley highlighted their role as a connector across interest groups within the Safe, Clean Water (SCW) Program and beyond. Watershed Coordinator Begley encouraged Committee Members to share ideas regarding the

Santa Clara River Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



drafting of the WASC Strategic Outreach and Engagement Plan (SOEP). The Committee celebrated the Via Princessa Park groundbreaking ceremony.

4. Ex Parte Communication Disclosures

There were no ex parte communications disclosures.

5. Committee Member and Program Updates

Chair Seegmiller shared updates from attending the February 2026 Regional Oversight Committee (ROC) meeting and recommended the WASC Members tune into ROC meetings if they have the availability.

Public Works staff shared the following Program Updates:

- The Public Comment Period for the ROC Biennial Progress Report (BPR) closed on February 15, 2026. The ROC will meet on March 11, 2026 to discuss the Biennial Report and vote to move it to review by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors (Board).
- The SCW Program Strategic Advancement Section, formerly known as the Watershed Planning Section, shared an update on the Initial Watershed Plans. Staff noted that the final Initial Watershed Plans are set to be published at the end of February 2026. Since the launch of the Community Strengths and Needs Assessment (CSNA), the Program has received 1,300 responses across all nine Watershed Areas, and has received 38 responses in the SCR Watershed Area. The CSNA has been updated to include a storymap that will help users navigate the Dashboard.
- To keep up with Program Updates as they are shared, please subscribe to receive email notifications on the SCW website. This newsletter provides important updates on the Regional, Municipal, and District Programs, designed to help our community stay informed and engaged. Implementation Updates are also located in the [News and Updates webpage](#) on the SCW Program website.

6. Discussion Items

a) Regional Program FY24-25 Annual Report Summary

Melanie Rivera (HDR) shared a presentation on the Annual Reporting process. Presentation slides are available on the [SCW Program website](#).

The Committee discussed Hasley Canyon Park Stormwater Improvements Project.

Vice-Chair Cattell noted high groundwater levels in Hasley Canyon. Vice-Chair Cattell questioned whether selecting a different infiltration site that could optimally infiltrate into groundwater would have been more appropriate than sending flows to their respective sanitation districts.

Member Bruce Hamamoto explained that project analyses and concepts regarding groundwater levels had been completed, and that Projects were in early design phases, prepared for advancement. Member Hamamoto stated that the final groundwater test occurred after two years of above-average rainfall and showed that the basin was full; the infiltration chamber, on the other hand, had been designed for lower groundwater levels. Member Hamamoto added that additional Santa Clarita Valley Water (SCV Water) groundwater well monitoring data were reviewed, further indicating that elevated groundwater levels may be widespread throughout the SCR Watershed Area. These conditions prompted a pause in Project work; the team is now reevaluating options and determined that diversion to the sanitary sewer would be reasonable, particularly for the Hasley Park area.

Vice-Chair Cattell asked whether options such as directing water into Castaic Lake could be considered instead of moving flows outside the SCR Watershed Area. Hamamoto responded that the Project team could explore the feasibility of using Castaic Lake or other alternatives.

Santa Clara River Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Vice-Chair Cattell commented that substantial funding is being spent and stated that it is important to treat water before it enters the river. Vice-Chair Cattell expressed interest in developing creative storage solutions within the SCR Watershed Area.

Chair Seegmiller noted that the City often reprioritizes projects in response to evolving community needs, climate conditions, and other influencing factors. Chair Seegmiller stated that Project Modification Guidelines allow developers to adjust and pivot when necessary, including through open space acquisition for water-quality purposes, although such processes require time.

Jacqueline Stone (Los Angeles County Public Works, Project Developer), stated that earlier watershed planning identified diversion to the sanitary sewer as the most feasible option. Stone explained that the site, as a park, had been one of the priority areas in the SCR Enhanced Watershed Management Plan. Stone added that Public Works can review potential alternative locations and will incorporate Committee questions and suggestions into further evaluation.

i. Public Comment Period

There were no public comments.

b) FY26-27 Scientific Studies (SS) Peer Review Summary

i. A Holistic Assessment of Trash in Watersheds (*Moore Institute of Plastic Pollution*)

Andrea Amend (Moore Institute of Plastic Pollution) reported that responses for the Proposal Questionnaire were not available to them in advance of this meeting. Chair Seegmiller stated that the Committee could move to the discussion portion of the presentation. Chair Seegmiller reminded the Committee of the Study background and context. The Peer Review Summary is available on the [SCW Program website](#).

Chair Seegmiller explained that overall comments on the Study were generally positive and noted that most comments indicated that the Study's benefits to the SCW Program and SCR Watershed Area would be indirect.

Upon inquiry, Amend commented that this Study is less expensive than the comparable Assessment and Treatment of Contaminants of Emerging Concern SS because A Holistic Assessment of Trash in Watersheds SS would combine conducting the trash survey with consolidating existing data.

Upon inquiry, Amend confirmed that the funding request of \$366,000 applies across nine Watershed Areas. Vice-Chair Cattell expressed difficulty with three aspects: the size of the Watershed Area, the method of implementation, and the relative value of the SS for this Watershed Area compared to others. Vice-Chair Cattell stated a preference for funding projects that directly remove trash rather than evaluating trash presence, emphasizing that community members already have firsthand awareness of trash conditions. Vice-Chair Cattell stated that funding is available, but desired that funds should be allocated to efforts that align with community interests.

Chair Seegmiller noted that a concern raised during SS review was that the WASC focuses on stormwater and water quality, while the Study identifies trash and pollutants located in the public right-of-way, which may not necessarily reach the river. Chair Seegmiller stated that a more effective method of assessing trash accumulation would be to evaluate trash within the river rather than along the right-of-way. Chair Seegmiller acknowledged that the proposed Study has limited river-related impacts and emphasized that SCR WASC funding should support activities related to SCW Goals per the SCW Program Ordinance. Chair Seegmiller also expressed concern that the Study cost is distributed across Watershed Areas without proportional consideration of differing funding capacities, unique stormwater needs, diverse constituents, and varying sizes of each Watershed Area.

Vice-Chair Cattell commented that a clear and direct nexus to the SS and the SCW Program is not evident.

Santa Clara River Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Amend responded that trash data could inform decisions regarding the placement of Best Management Practices (BMPs) and help refine where resources are allocated. Amend added that understanding trash patterns could provide insight into which BMPs are effective and which are not, thereby improving long-term project decision-making.

ii. **Benefits of Restoration and Land Preservation as Nature-based Solutions to Water Quality and Water Supply (*Council for Watershed Health*)**

Jason Casanova (Council for Watershed Health) provided a reminder of the Benefits of Restoration and Land Preservation as Nature-based Solutions to Water Quality and Water Supply SS, explaining that the intent is to quantify Nature-based Solutions (NBS) for SCW Program implementation projects. Casanova reflected on reviewer comments and confirmed that the Study will use a holistic approach. Casanova clarified that the Study does not evaluate the economics of NBS and is intended as a preliminary “foot-in-the-door” effort to quantifying NBS for quantitative water benefits. Casanova emphasized that the Water Quality and Water Supply nexus needs to be better understood, and that the proposed SS aims to advance that understanding within the SCW Program Ordinance and implementation framework. The Peer Review Summary is available in detail on the [SCW Program website](#).

Casanova explained that the SCW Program does not currently allow eligibility for certain nature-based activities, even though engineered bioswales and constructed wetlands qualify. Casanova stated that the Study aims to determine whether NBS can strengthen eligibility for future nature-based projects as definitions evolve. Casanova noted that peer review comments revealed a clear divide and stated that this divide reinforces the value of advancing a SS that connects NBS and open space to stormwater and runoff capture and quality. The Peer Review Summary is available in detail on the [SCW Program website](#).

Member Hamamoto stated appreciation for the evolution of NBS definitions and acknowledged collaboration with the team on the [NBS Task Force as part of the Los Angeles County Water Plan](#) implementation. Member Hamamoto asked why the Study is proposed for the SCR Watershed Area instead of another with more concrete channels, noting that this Watershed Area already recognizes the importance of maintaining natural channel bottoms rather than armoring them.

Melina Watts (Melina Sempill Watts Consulting) explained that Measure W was written to support the acquisition of open space and the use of NBS. Watts added that significant opportunities exist in this Watershed Area to implement riparian restoration and natural hydrologic water treatment. Watts stated that the Study could build scientific support demonstrating the effective benefits of NBS and could help the ROC to reconsider future project pathways. Watts emphasized that the Study would serve as a tool for the SCR WASC to support its long-term goals.

Casanova added that urban neighborhoods will continue to include channelization and culverts and stated that the Study would also evaluate smaller-scale projects, sub watersheds, storm drains, and gray infrastructure at a broader scale. Casanova stated that the SCR Watershed Area can be proactive with open space preservation and serve as an example for others. Casanova added that communities with wells, agricultural lands, and groundwater demands benefit most from retaining open space for water supply recharge and water quality benefits.

Chair Seegmiller concurred that Measure W supports open space acquisition but a formal process for implementing such acquisitions has not yet been developed. Chair Seegmiller stated uncertainty about whether the Study will resolve all process-related questions but agreed that the Study could move the region in that direction. Watts emphasized the importance of revisiting the discussion with the ROC and completing foundational work.

Vice-Chair Cattell expressed strong support for the Study and stated that minor issues exist but can be resolved, referencing support for similar projects with broader community benefits and consistent

Santa Clara River Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



groundwater recharge. Vice-Chair Cattell described the proposed Study as visionary, cost-effective, and capable of providing strong value for the funding SCR WASC has available.

Member Cole stated that the Watershed Area benefits from an existing natural system and emphasized the importance of maintaining that condition. Member Cole conveyed support for the Study if it would strengthen opportunities to preserve natural river-adjacent parcels under public ownership, enabling the natural system to function effectively.

Upon inquiry from Member Ali, Casanova clarified that deliverables would include a spatial product supporting prioritization in coordination with Tribal partners, the WASC, and SCV Water. Casanova added that the Study would address floodplain protection in the SCR, produce a GIS database, provide a parcel-prioritization tool, and include a story map. Craig Doberstein (Herrera Environmental Consulting) added that deliverables would also include a white paper, review of national and international precedents, expansion of the regional NBS framework, a monitoring plan, local-condition data collection, and recommendations addressing Water Quality and Water Supply. Doberstein explained that the Study would include a literature review and provide Program-level recommendations for the SCR WASC while allowing for interpretation consistent with SCW guidelines.

Casanova noted that the Study would address environmental water that is not currently counted or scored in funding evaluations.

Doberstein stated that reviewer comments requested cost-benefit analysis and field studies, but that the Study remains intentionally narrow and affordable. Doberstein added that expanded analyses, such as infiltration modeling, cost-benefit evaluation, or parcel-level feasibility, would be more appropriate for a future Feasibility Study.

iii. Public Comment Period

There were no public comments.

c) Stormwater Investment Plan (SIP) Deliberation Overview Presentation

Emily Ng (Stantec, Regional Coordination) presented an overview of the SIP Deliberation tools and Programming. Watershed Coordinator Begley presented on the assessing Disadvantaged Community Benefits for SCR Projects and Programs. Presentation slides and additional resources can be found on the [SCW Program website](#).

Watershed Coordinator Begley highlighted that the Jake Kuredjian Park Stormwater Improvements Feasibility Study and Project did not denote that they were in a Disadvantaged Community in their applications, but are within a Disadvantaged Community Census Block Group. Watershed Coordinator Begley recommended that the WASC denote that benefit for the Project.

d) Santa Clara River Project Prioritization and Selection Discussion for populating the Fiscal Year 2026-2027 SIP

Public Works staff provided a demonstration of the SIP Tool for funding scenarios in their Watershed Area.

Vice-Chair Cattell asked about the breakdown of funding in the five-year distribution for SCR WASC. Public Works staff shared that those funds are split into already-allocated revenue and projected revenue, clarifying that some years may not have Infrastructure Program (IP) Projects to consider; for SS and Technical Resource Program (TRP) Projects, staff based the math on their five-year projected revenues.

Santa Clara River Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Vice-Chair Cattell asked about other WASCs and their limited funding, noting that SCR WASC is the second lowest in funding and stating that this is additional cause for the WASC to reason to be specific with how it allocates its dollars.

Jake Kuredjian Park Stormwater Improvements Project

The Committee first discussed the Jake Kuredjian Park Stormwater Improvements Project (Design-Only) IP project.

Vice-Chair Cattell stated support for the Project and noted that the initial Jake Kuredjian Park Stormwater Improvements Feasibility Study TRP originated from Committee interest. Vice-Chair Cattell explained that the Committee reviewed the park and determined that combining the Jake Kuredjian Park Study with the proximate Pico Canyon Stormwater Improvements Project would result in long-term cost-savings.

Public Works toggled the project “ON” on the SIP Tool for SCR WASC review.

Chair Seegmiller concurred with Vice-Chair Cattell. Committee members expressed collective support for the Project.

A Holistic Assessment of Trash in Watersheds

Member Hamamoto stated that the NSMB WASC was moving toward a recommendation not to fund the Study but has yet to finalize their SIP. Member Cole suggested adopting a wait-and-see approach regarding the Study and recommended reviewing decisions and feedback from other WASCs before deciding. Vice-Chair Cattell concurred with this approach and cited lingering concerns regarding whether the Study meets the specific needs of the Watershed Area.

The Committee discussed whether the Study should be held for revisions and brought back at a later time. Chair Seegmiller expressed a preference not to include the Study in the SIP at this stage. Member Mary Johnson agreed and stated that funding should be directed toward efforts directly connected to core SCW Program objectives.

Member Laurene Weste commented that not every area of Los Angeles County has sufficient water resources and emphasized the importance in understanding how trash is transported across different regions. Member Weste added that if certain WASCs do not support the project, it remains essential to understand how trash affects those areas.

The WASC decided to not move forward with the Study in the FY26-27 SIP recommendation to the ROC.

Benefits of Restoration and Land Preservation as Nature-based Solutions to Water Quality and Water Supply (Council for Watershed Health)

Member Hamamoto stated uncertainty regarding whether the Study would provide regulatory benefits, but noted that some progress had been made.

Vice-Chair Cattell referenced the San Joaquin Marsh Reserve, a NBS and open space project in Orange County, and expressed interest in advancing NBS and open space in Los Angeles County as a beneficial multi-benefit stormwater management strategy.

Member Johnson stated that the Study provides an avenue to pursue NBS. Vice-Chair Cattell stated that the Study is visionary, highlighting the focus on strong community partners, including the Fernandefio Tataviam Band of Mission Indians and Council for Watershed Health, and commended the role of land preservation as a watershed-management strategy. Vice-Chair Cattell described the Study as a strong and worthwhile effort for both the SCR WASC and the SCW Program at-large.

Santa Clara River Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Chair Seegmiller stated the hope that the region will move closer to enabling developers to identify a parcel and proceed through the SCW Program application processes to create an acquisition-and-preservation project with a Scoring metric that satisfies Program requirements and qualifies for SCW Program funding.

Public Works reported that the Benefits of Restoration and Land Preservation as NBS to Water Quality and Water Supply Scientific Study was toggled “ON” in the SIP Tool alongside the Jake Kuredjian Park Stormwater Improvements Project. Public Works staff stated that the current allocation would be 4.5%. Public Works staff referred to the 5% allocation of funds to Scientific Studies and the importance of maintaining total commitments under 5%. The Committee stated that current conditions with the Project and Study mentioned above remained favorable.

Recommendations

Public Works reminded the WASC that the Jake Kuredjian Park Stormwater Improvements Project originally claimed no Disadvantaged Community Benefit as mentioned in Agenda Item 6c.

Member Johnson emphasized the importance of claiming the Disadvantaged Community Benefit for future reference and project justification within the area.

Member Cole asked for clarification regarding the timing of the funding request, noting that expenditures would occur in future years. Public Works staff stated that the SIP process is intended to strategically recommend the strongest projects to the ROC, after which Project Developers proceed with implementation.

Public Works clarified with the WASC that their SIP recommendation will include the Jake Kuredjian Park Project with the addition of the Disadvantaged Community Benefit and one SS, along with recognition of restoration benefits. Member Cole made a motion to proceed. Vice-Chair Cattell seconded the motion. The agenda was then forwarded to the Voting Item in the Agenda, Item 8.

i. Public Comment Period

There were no public comments.

e) Discussion of Invasive Species

Watershed Coordinator Amanda Begley and Scientist Thierry Rivard (TreePeople) provided a presentation on invasive-species management, particularly regarding *Arundo Donax*. The presentation slides are available on the [SCW Program website](#).

Member Hamamoto asked about disposal methods for removed *Arundo Donax* and whether the material could be mulched. Thierry Rivard explained that mulching is permitted by the Ventura County Resource Conservation District under strict monitoring. Rivard stated that all removed *Arundo Donax* is mulched and left onsite in a manner that prevents reintroduction into the channel. Rivard added that regrowth has not been observed from mulched material, and that regrowth typically occurs only from rhizomes rather than mulch stock.

Chair Seegmiller expressed appreciation for the invasive-species removal work and noted visible improvements while biking along the SCR.

Member Weste asked about the evapotranspiration rate of *Arundo Donax* and inquired whether the plant could be put to beneficial use, comparable to materials like bamboo. Rivard noted that a staff member who is a musician uses *Arundo* to make reeds but explained that the material is not commercially viable at-scale.

Santa Clara River Watershed Area Steering Committee (WASC) Meeting Minutes



Member Elhassan stated that local artists have expressed interest in using removed Arundo Donax for art projects and have taken small quantities for school-age art project use. Member Elhassan shared that artists were aware of SCV Water activities and used the material for classes, tying in the importance of plants and water to their classwork. Member Elhassan also noted seasonal limitations in removing invasive species due to nesting season, which was beginning February 1, 2026, and explained that efforts are underway with California Department of Fish and Wildlife to extend the work window until March 15, 2026, since no nesting activity is observed at their removal sites. Watershed Coordinator Begley stated that some stems were intentionally left onsite to support woodrats and birds.

Member Weste asked whether Arundo Donax can still be sold in California through local nurseries. Rivard stated that though not widely known to be available in Los Angeles County as plants for sale, the plant can be purchased online and easily shipped into the County. Member Weste commented that legislative regulation is needed to address continued availability and entry into California.

i. Public Comment Period

Public Works staff moved to Agenda Item 7, the General Public Comment Period.

f) Priorities for FY27-28 Call for Projects (Tentative)

The Chair deferred this Item to the next meeting.

7. General Public Comment Period

There were no public comments.

8. Voting Items

a) Approve the Fiscal Year 2026-2027 Stormwater Investment Plan recommendations for the SCR Watershed Area and submission to the Regional Oversight Committee (ROC) for review

Member Cole motioned to Recommend the Final Fiscal Year 2026-2027 Stormwater Investment Plan for SCR Watershed Area including Watershed Coordinator and approve submission to the ROC for review (funding scenario "All continuing Projects and Scientific Studies (with no funding changes); 1 New Infrastructure Program Project (Jake Kuredjian Park Stormwater Improvements Project and updates its DAC benefit to Yes); 1 New Study (Benefits of Restoration and Land Preservation as Nature-Based Solutions to Water Quality and Water Supply). Vice-Chair Cattell seconded the motion.

The FY26-27 SIP was recommended to go to the ROC with 15 votes in favor, 0 votes opposed, 0 abstentions, and all present at the time of the vote (approved, see attached vote tracking sheet).

The agenda proceeded to Agenda Item 6.d.i.

9. Items for Next Agenda

- a) Priorities for FY27-28 Call for Projects

10. Adjournment

Chair Seegmiller thanked the WASC and members of the public and adjourned the meeting.

SANTA CLARA RIVER WASC MEETING - February 19, 2026

Quorum Present						Voting Items <small>(see meeting minutes for additional details)</small>	
Member Type	Position	Member	Voting/ Present?	Alternate	Voting/ Present?	Approve 1/15/2026 Meeting Minutes with amendment	Recommend the Final Fiscal Year 2026-2027 Stormwater Investment Plan for SCR Watershed Area including Watershed Coordinator and approve submission to the ROC for review (funding scenario "All continuing projects and scientific studies (with no funding changes); 1New Infrastructure Program Project (Jake Kuredjian Park Stormwater Improvements Project and updates its DAC benefit to Yes); 1 New Study (Benefits of Restoration and Land Preservation as Nature-Based Solutions to Water Quality and Water Supply)"
Agency	District	Julian Juarez		Alynn Sun	x	y	y
Agency	Santa Clara Valley Water Agency	All Elhassan	x	Najwa Pitols		y	y
Agency	Santa Clara Valley Groundwater Sustainability Agency	Steve Cole	x	Rick Viergutz		y	y
Agency	LA County Sanitation Districts	Francisco Guerrero	x	Christopher Lapaz		y	y
Agency	City of Santa Clara Recreation/Open Space	Laurene Weste, Mayor	x	Michael Villegas		y	y
Community Stakeholder	People & Shaffery	Hunt Braly				y	
Community Stakeholder	Agua Dulce Town Council	Mary Johnson	x			y	y
Community Stakeholder	Santa Clara Sierra Club	Sandra Cattell**	x	Diane Trautman		y	y
Community Stakeholder	Evolve Business Strategies	Ivan Volschenk		Mitchell van Bekkum			
Community Stakeholder	St. Francis Dam Disaster National Memorial Foundation	Dianne Erskine-Hellrigel	x	Heidi Webber		y	y
Municipal Members	LA County Public Works	Bruce Hamamoto	x	Paul Choy		a	y
Municipal Members	LA County Public Works	Bruce Hamamoto	x	Paul Choy		a	y
Municipal Members	LA County Public Works	Bruce Hamamoto	x	Paul Choy		a	y
Municipal Members	City of Santa Clara	Darin Seegmiller*	x	Oliver Cramer		y	y
Municipal Members	City of Santa Clara	Darin Seegmiller*	x	Oliver Cramer		y	y
Municipal Members	City of Santa Clara	Darin Seegmiller*	x	Haley Lawton		y	y
Municipal Members	City of Santa Clara	Darin Seegmiller*	x	Haley Lawton		y	y
Watershed Coordinator							
Non-Voting Member	TreePeople, Inc.	Amanda Begley	x	Eric Wilson			
Total Non-/vacant Seats		17				Yes (Y)	15
Total Voting Members Present		15				No (N)	0
Agency		5				Abstain (A)	0
Community Stakeholder		3				Total	15
Municipal Members		7				Approved	Approved

Webex Attendees
Santa Clara River WASC Meeting
February 19, 2026

Andrea Amend, Moore Institute
Call-in User_1
Call-in User_2
Chris
Chris Carandang
Claire Barr (LACPW)
Daria Antonova | LACDPW
Diego C-LACPW

Drew Ready (CWH)
Dylan Ahearn (Herrera)
Emily Ng (Regional Coordination)
Erum Razzak
Grey Crisanto
Jacqueline Stone (LACPW)
James Carpenter - SCWP
Jason Pepito

Jenny Chau
Julian Juarez
Kristina
Larry Tran
Linnet Khechatoorian
Manuel Gonzalez
Mehrad Kamalzare (CPP)
Melanie Rivera (HDR)

SCR AV
SCR WASC - Presenter
Thierry Rivard
Timothy Lim
WASC Meeting Room
Wayne Lee
natalieo@fmwd.com

Santa Clara River
 Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting
 COMMITTEE MEMBER AND ALTERNATE SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization	Email Address	Signature	
Julian Juarez	FCD		P	
Carolina Hernandez	FCD		A	
Steve Cole	GSA for the Santa Clara River Valley		P	<i>[Signature]</i>
Rick Viergutz	GSA for the Santa Clara River Valley		A	
Janine Prado	City of Santa Clarita Recreation & Community Services		P	
Tyler Pledger	Santa Clarita		A	
Kristen Ruffell	Sanitation Districts		P	
Martha Tremblay	Sanitation Districts		A	
Dirk Marks Ali Elhassan	Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency		P	<i>[Signature]</i>
Mike Alvord	Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency		A	
Hunt Braly	Poole & Shaffery		P	
Mary Johnson	Agua Dulce Town Council		P	<i>[Signature]</i>
Jason Gibbs	GP Strategies		P	
Frederick Andre Hollings	CA Department of Tax and Fee Administration		A	
Dianne Erskine-Hellrigel	St. Francis Dam Disaster National Memorial Foundation		P	

Santa Clara River
 Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting
 COMMITTEE MEMBER AND ALTERNATE SIGN-IN




Member Name	Municipality/ Organization	Email Address	Signature
Heidi Webber	Community Hiking Club		A
Sandra Cattell	Sierra Club		P <i>S. Cattell</i>
Diane Trautman	Santa Clarita Sierra Club		A
Bruce Hamamoto	Los Angeles County		P <i>B. Hamamoto</i>
Allen Ma	Los Angeles County		A
Darren Hernandez	Santa Clarita		P
Darin Seegmiller	Santa Clarita		A
Heather Merenda	Santa Clarita		P
Oliver Cramer	Santa Clarita		A <i>O. Cramer</i>
Robert Newman	Santa Clarita		P
Mike Hennawy	Santa Clarita		A
Tom Cole	Santa Clarita		P
David Peterson	Santa Clarita		A
<i>Amanda Begley</i>	<i>TreePeople</i>		<i>A. Begley</i>
<i>Francis Green</i>	<i>Santa Clarita District</i>		<i>F. Green</i>

Santa Clara River

Watershed Area Steering Committee Meeting

COMMITTEE MEMBER AND ALTERNATE SIGN-IN



Member Name	Municipality/ Organization	Email Address	Signature
Alynn Sun	LACFD		
Laurene Weste <i>Mayor</i>	City Santa Clara		